

**N6852022R0042**  
**FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

10/21/2022	Amendment 001	
1	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, Q&A #1, Reference: RFP 2.1.3 Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet
	<b>Discussion:</b>	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet does not contain a “Contract Effort Description.”
	<b>Question:</b>	Where should the “Contract Effort Description” that includes relevant work effort and relevancy be placed on the L-1 PP Data Sheet?
	<b>Answer:</b>	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet Block 13 has been revised to “Contract Effort Description”. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
2	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, Q&A #1, Reference: Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet
	<b>Discussion:</b>	
	<b>Question:</b>	Data_Sheet, Instructions Tab, Line 40, Block 17 references Contractor's Relevancy Assessment; however, P1 Tab lists the Contractor's Relevancy Assessment as Block 18. Please clarify
	<b>Answer:</b>	Attachment L-1 PP-Data Sheet has been revised. It is Block 17. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
3	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, Q&A #1, Reference: Attachment L-1- PP-Data_Sheet, Instructions Tab, Line 40, instructions
	<b>Discussion:</b>	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet instructions state “The detailed information and comparative analysis of the past performance references to the solicitation requirements shall be provided in Part 3.3.a Relevancy of the offeror's proposal.”
	<b>Question:</b>	Please confirm Part 3.3.a Relevancy is the correct reference
	<b>Answer:</b>	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet has been updated to remove the reference. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
4	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, RFP document, page 52 of 66, Paragraph 2.1.3
	<b>Discussion:</b>	VOLUME 2: PAST PERFORMANCE requests a narrative detailing relevancy be completed on the Past Performance Information Form in the section titled Contract Effort Description
	<b>Question:</b>	Please clarify the correct block for entry of the Contract Effort Description on the Past Performance Information Form.
	<b>Answer:</b>	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet Block 13 has been revised to “Contract Effort Description”. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>

**N6852022R0042**  
**FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

<b>5</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	DD254
	<b>Discussion:</b>	
	<b>Question:</b>	<b>Is there a DD 254?</b>
	<b>Answer:</b>	The requirement is for basic maintenance which does not require classified information or facility.
<b>6</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, Solicitation Section L 3.3.5.1
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The Government requests offerors provide (3) years of actual indirect rate information.
	<b>Question:</b>	Question 11a: If an entity doesn't have three-years of experience, what should the entity provide?
	<b>Answer</b>	Please submit the actual indirect rate information for the years of experience that you currently possess, if less than three years. Additionally, if DCAA has not reviewed or audited the rate information for an Offeror, the Offeror must submit a detailed justification of the proposed indirect rates.
	<b>Question:</b>	Question 11b: If the entity is a joint-venture, does the government request the rates of the members?
	<b>Answer</b>	Yes, please submit rates of the joint-venture members.
<b>7</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary, Instructions Tab
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The column references do not appear to match the instructions
	<b>Question:</b>	Please confirm the instructions are correct.
	<b>Answer</b>	Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary Instructions are revised (blue font). <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	Amendment 1, Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary, Instructions Tab
	<b>Discussion:</b>	There are some cell formula malfunctions and/or mismatched formula cell references
	<b>Question:</b>	Request the formulas be double-checked for verification prior to submittal to avoid submission of inconsistent data.
	<b>Answer</b>	Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary Formula's Corrected. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	PWS 4.1.3.1

**N6852022R0042**  
**FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

	<b>Discussion:</b>	The government indicates the contractor shall provide "...PPE for team members. Personal Protective Equipment, including but not limited to, personal protective equipment for eyes, face, head, and extremities, protective clothing, respiratory devices, and protective shields and barriers, shall be provided, used, and maintained in a sanitary and reliable condition wherever it is necessary by reason of hazards of processes or environment, chemical hazards, radiological hazards, or mechanical irritants encountered in a manner capable of causing injury or impairment in the function of any part of the body through absorption, inhalation or physical contact.
	<b>Question:</b>	What is the requirement for protective clothing? Does the government require uniforms be worn for contractor personnel?
	<b>Answer</b>	PWS 4.1.3.1 is updated to clarify the PPE requirement. <b>See Amendment 1.</b> As for uniforms, the Government does not require uniforms – that is a company-decision.
<b>11</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	PWS 4.1.3.3
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The government indicates the contractor personnel shall obtain OSHA and Government-required physicals.
	<b>Question:</b>	Please provide a check-list for the specific services and tests performed for these physicals as required by the Government that are in addition to the DOT requirements.
	<b>Answer</b>	PWS 4.1.3.3 is updated to clarify the Physical requirement. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	Proposal Due Date
	<b>Discussion:</b>	
	<b>Question:</b>	Request an extension of 10 days.
	<b>Answer</b>	The Government has extended the proposal due date by one week to 7 November 2022. <b>See Amendment 1.</b>
<b>10/25/2022</b>	<b>Amendment 2</b>	
<b>13</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT 4 - MANNING LEVEL; Solicitation Section L - 3.0 VOLUME 3: PRICE/COST PROPOSAL, 3.2.1 Historical Manning Hours
	<b>Discussion:</b>	Historical manning hours for the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 is 20,158.77
	<b>Question:</b>	Are the hours from MAXIMO total time or touch-time service labor only?
	<b>Answer</b>	The hours listed are touch-time service hours

**N6852022R0042**  
**FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

<b>14</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT 3 - GFP; ATTACHMENT 01 - PWS 4.1.5.1 GFE and Tools
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The PWS references the GFP materials and equipment referencing '(Attachment 1 – GFP/GFE/TOOL)'. The government has provided GFP information in Attachment – 3 but no hand tool listing.
	<b>Question:</b>	Will the government provide the contractor with hand tools and a hand tool listing for the effort? Please also clarify the reference in PWS 4.1.5.1.
	<b>Answer</b>	ATTACHMENT 01 - PWS 4.1.5.1 GFE/Tools is revised. All required tools will be provided to include specialty tools. <b>See Amendment 2</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT 4 - MANNING LEVEL; Solicitation Section L - 3.0 VOLUME 3: PRICE/COST PROPOSAL, 3.2.1 Historical Manning Hours
	<b>Discussion:</b>	Attachment 4 indicates a FTE minimum manning of 28 FTEs for an estimated 53,760 hours. Historical manning hours for the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 is 20,158.77
	<b>Question:</b>	Are the hours in Attachment 4 per year values or for the total project?
		Question – Has the workload and thus the manning hours increased by more than 250% from the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 (20,158.77) to what is projected per each upcoming Option Year (53,760)?
<b>Answer</b>	The Government is reassessing the FTE requirement. This will be addressed via Amendment 3 by 1 November.	
<b>16</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY
	<b>Discussion:</b>	Formulas in Cells G17, I17, and L17 have improper formatting or inconsistent formulas.
	<b>Question:</b>	Will the government correct these calculations errors in the template?
	<b>Answer</b>	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is revised. <b>See Amendment 2.</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	Solicitation Section K – Reqs and Certs
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The effort is proposed in accordance with the Service Contract Act yet the government has not adopted FAR Clause 52.222-43 or 52.222-41.
	<b>Question:</b>	Will the government adopt these FAR Clauses into the current solicitation?
	<b>Answer</b>	FAR clauses 52.222-41 and 52.222-43 have been included in Section I of this solicitation. <b>See Amendment 2.</b>

**N6852022R0042**  
**FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

<b>18</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The government has used 1920 for FTE equivalent hours. The adopted Wage Determination though requires the following paid-time off benefits: 80 hours of vacation, 56 hours of sick, and 88 hours of holidays. Using 2080 annual hours, these guaranteed sums results in an effective FTE hours of 1856.
	<b>Question:</b>	Will the government adopt the SCA minimum required hours for the evaluation on this effort?
	<b>Answer</b>	The FTE equivalent hours have been updated to 2080 annual hours. The government is using the SCA minimum required hours for the evaluation on this effort. ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is revised. <b>See Amendment 2</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT 05 – WD
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The government cites WD 2022-0141 for Florida County of Duval dated 06/30/2022 applicable to the current effort. The most recent SCA WD on Sam.gov is 2015-4539 dated 07/06/2022.
	<b>Question:</b>	Will the government provide WD 2022-0141 for offerors or modify the applicable WD to be 2015-4539?
	<b>Answer</b>	Per the DOL the Government will use WD 2022-014 Rev1. ATTACHMENT 05 – WD is revised. <b>See Amendment 2</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT L-3 - PRICE COST SUMMARY
	<b>Discussion:</b>	The current format is ideal for a prime-subcontractor relationship given the hourly wage requirements and applicable rate mark-ups.
	<b>Question:</b>	If the contractor proposing is an unpopulated Joint Venture through which the parties subcontract the positions through the partnered entities, how should the contractor reflect such arrangement in the current pricing template?
	<b>Answer</b>	If Joint Ventures (JV) are included, and the JV member cost details are proprietary, the Prime Contractor shall identify each JV member fully-burdened cost in the Subcontractors section (yellow) of the ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY. Then, each JV member is responsible for submitting their own cost build-up details, if proprietary, via a separate L-3 directly to the Government. <b>See Amendment 2</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>Reference:</b>	ATTACHMENT L-3 - PRICE COST SUMMARY

**N6852022R0042**  
**FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

	<b>Discussion:</b>	The current format is ideal for a prime-subcontractor relationship given the hourly wage requirements and applicable rate mark-ups. Errors include: a. Summary Tab: i. F6 – Error in Reference b. BP/OY Tab(s): i. I17 – Total doesn't total above cells but rather column F ii. Column J – This is a subtotal column but doesn't result in the right evaluation as it doesn't include adjustments for proposed FTE (column D). iii. Column L – This column is resetting column F where as it appears to need to state column G or Column F * Column D. iv. Column M – Restates Column J which doesn't account for additional FTEs as noted above.
	<b>Question:</b>	Does the government intend to update this format?
	<b>Answer</b>	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is revised. <b>See Amendment 2</b>