

Solicitation  
Number  
Procurement  
Title

80JSC024R0001

Cargo Mission Contract 4 (CMC4)

No	Category	Section	Page Number	Industry Question/Comment	Answer
60	Section L Proposa	Attachment L-02 1 Tab '23 IRAP'		<p>The instructions on Tab '23 IRAP' indicate that a separate IRAP is required from not only the Prime Offeror and each of the Major Subcontractor, but also all of the Minor Subcontractors as well</p> <p>Tab '22 MSPT' requires the Minor Subcontractors to indicate their intention with regard to Incumbent Retention at Current Pay</p> <p>Given that tab '23 IRAP' is a new Amendment 3 requirement in Attachment L-02 1, and there is a relatively short timeline required for Major and Minor Subcontractors to respond, can the Government confirm it is their intention that the IRAP tab be required from all Minor Subcontractors as well as all Major Subcontractors?</p>	A separate IRAP is required from the Prime Offeror, each Major Subcontractor, and all Minor Subcontractor(s)
61	Section L Proposa	Attachment L-02 1 Tab '23 IRAP'		<p>On tab '23 IRAP', column E indicates whether a labor category is Exempt (EX) or Non-Exempt (NE) The first Note at the bottom of tab '23 IRAP', specify that 'Yellow shaded areas denote RFP identified criteria - DO NOT CHANGE'</p> <p>Draft Questions and Answers 2 on 12-14-23, the Government's response to Question #44 stated that 'the Offeror should map their labor categories within their company specific job profile structure (while maintaining compliance with the SLC description, education, and experience, and to meet the contract requirements) regardless of whether the designation of Exempt / Non-exempt differs from the J-22 attachment "</p> <p>There appears to be a contradiction between Draft question #44 response and the IRAP tab note (bottom of the page) Can the Government please clarify that Offerors may revise Column E (IRAP tab) the designation of Exempt (EX) or Non-Exempt (NE) to ensure consistency with their company job profile structure?</p>	The instructions for yellow shaded areas in Attachment L-02 1 Tab 23 IRAP reflect designations currently in Attachment J-22 Offerors may change the yellow shaded areas in accordance with their company specific job profile structure
62	Section L Proposa	Attachment L-02 1 Tab '24 IA'		<p>The instructions on Tab '24 IA' indicate that a separate IA is required from not only the Prime Offeror, each Major Subcontractor, but all Minor Subcontractors as well</p> <p>Tab '22 MSPT' requires the Minor Subcontractors to indicate their intention with regard to Incumbent Retention at Current Pay</p> <p>Given that tab '24 IA' is a new Amendment 3 requirement in Attachment L-02 1, and the timeline required for Major and Minor Subcontractors to respond, can the Government confirm that the IA tab is required from all Minor Subcontractors?</p>	This template is required of the Prime Offeror, each Major Subcontractor, and all Minor Subcontractor(s)

63	Section L Proposal Instructions	Attachment L-02 1, Tab '11 Fully Burdened Labor Rates'		<p>The example provided on tab '11 Fully Burdened Labor Rates' suggests that the Overhead and G&amp;A rates should be linked to tabs '15 Overhead Rate Build-up' and '16 G&amp;A Build-up' respectively</p> <p>Section L20(c)(2)(i) Indirect Costs and Draft Questions and Answers on 12-14-23, the Government's response to Question #41 (refers to question 40) that for Offerors who have an FPRA, FPRP, or FPRR the Government would accept as the basis for proposed indirect rates in lieu of submitting Attachment L-02 1 Tabs 14-16 (Fringe, Overhead and G&amp;A rate build-up)</p> <p>Can the Government confirm that for tab '11 Fully Burdened Labor Rates' if an Offeror has a FPRA, FPRP, or FPRR they are not required to provide tabs 14-16, and, that the Offeror may link directly to tab '10 Rates &amp; Factors Info' to identify the Fringe, OH, and G&amp;A used in the FBR build-up?</p>	<p>Per the response provided in Question #11 of Q&amp;A 1 (posted 12-22-23) and the subsequent update to Section L20(c)(2)(i) of the RFP, Offerors are not required to provide the basis for the proposed indirect rates if an FPRA, FPRR, or FPRP is in effect. Therefore, applicable Offerors may link the cells for indirect burdens in Tab 11 "Fully Burdened Labor Rates" to the correlated cells in Tab 10 "Rates &amp; Factors Info "</p>
64	Section L Proposal Instructions	Section L, page L-36, paragraph (6)	Pages L-36, H-20, and J-54	<p>Page L-36, paragraph (6) states that "the Government may choose to convert this contract from a Cost-Plus-Award Fee (CPAF) Contract to Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee (CPFF) Contract after the base period performance is completed" However, Section H, paragraph H 22 states that "the option to convert from a CPAF to a CPFF contract will be evaluated annually periodically" Additionally, Section J, Enclosure II Fixed Fee Periods and Distribution Table shows N/A for AFP1</p> <p>To aid in proposing CPFF, please clarify how early in the contract conversion to CPFF can occur</p>	<p>The Government will not convert the contract from Cost-Plus-Award Fee (CPAF) to Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee (CPFF) during the base period; therefore, the Fixed Fee Rate is N/A for the first two years of the contract</p>
65	Section L Proposal Instructions	Section L, L 21 2 Financial Capability Assessment	Page L-42	<p>It has been noted that NASA Procurement Notice 22-04 revised NASA's Financial Capability Assessment methodology by assigning the Financial Responsibility Assessments to the NASA HQ OCFO Financial Capability Assessment Team. The NFS was most recently modified on June 28, 2022 (through PN 22-06) and provides new language at 1809 105-1</p> <p>Given this change, would the Government consider deleting items L 21 2 (5 ) Comparison of planned corporate fiscal year budget and (6 ) Capital Budget For a large business with multiple business elements these values are difficult to discern in a manner that relates well to the solicitation</p>	<p>After review of the citation provided, this is not a design and development program or project so L21 2 should not have been included in the solicitation. Offerors do not have to provide the information requested in L 21 2 in their response to the Government</p>

66	Section L Proposa	Attachments L-02 1, Attachment L-02 2, Section B 11		<p>Attachment L-02 1, tab '11 Fully Burdened Labor Rates' Note *** (row 52) indicates that the Team Composite FBR (Prime Offeror and all subcontractors) by NASA SLC that SHALL MATCH the CPAF/CPFF FBRs proposed in Section B 11 of the Model Contract and Attachment L-02 2, IDIQ Template</p> <p>The same Note *** is included at the bottom of tab 'B 11 Fully Burdened Labor Rate' in Attachment L-02 2</p> <p>Section B 11 Fully Burdened Rate Table sets forth that the rates in Table B 11-1 will be used in subsequent negotiation of IDIQ task orders</p> <p>Can the Government confirm that Note *** in row 52 of Attachment L-02 1, tab '11 Fully Burdened Labor Rates' only applies to Attachment L-02 2 and Table B 11-1 and that the FBRs between the Attachments L-02 1 and L-02 2 may differ?</p>	<p>The intent of the note provided in the Attachments is to ensure that the Fully Burdened Labor Rates (FBR) used to price the contract are consistent with Section B 11 of the Model Contract, which will be used for subsequent negotiations of Cost-reimbursable/firm-fixed-price task orders, Underlimit Changes, and Contract Modifications. If Offerors use different FBRs to price Core and IDIQ work, then a narrative explanation of the differences must be included in its Cost Volume</p>
----	-------------------	---	--	--	---