

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

10/21/2022	Amendment 001	
1	Reference:	Amendment 1, Q&A #1, Reference: RFP 2.1.3 Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet
	Discussion:	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet does not contain a “Contract Effort Description.”
	Question:	Where should the “Contract Effort Description” that includes relevant work effort and relevancy be placed on the L-1 PP Data Sheet?
	Answer:	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet Block 13 has been revised to “Contract Effort Description”. See Amendment 1.
2	Reference:	Amendment 1, Q&A #1, Reference: Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet
	Discussion:	
	Question:	Data_Sheet, Instructions Tab, Line 40, Block 17 references Contractor's Relevancy Assessment; however, P1 Tab lists the Contractor's Relevancy Assessment as Block 18. Please clarify
	Answer:	Attachment L-1 PP-Data Sheet has been revised. It is Block 17. See Amendment 1.
3	Reference:	Amendment 1, Q&A #1, Reference: Attachment L-1- PP-Data_Sheet, Instructions Tab, Line 40, instructions
	Discussion:	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet instructions state “The detailed information and comparative analysis of the past performance references to the solicitation requirements shall be provided in Part 3.3.a Relevancy of the offeror's proposal.”
	Question:	Please confirm Part 3.3.a Relevancy is the correct reference
	Answer:	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet has been updated to remove the reference. See Amendment 1.
4	Reference:	Amendment 1, RFP document, page 52 of 66, Paragraph 2.1.3
	Discussion:	VOLUME 2: PAST PERFORMANCE requests a narrative detailing relevancy be completed on the Past Performance Information Form in the section titled Contract Effort Description
	Question:	Please clarify the correct block for entry of the Contract Effort Description on the Past Performance Information Form.
	Answer:	Attachment L-1- PP-Data Sheet Block 13 has been revised to “Contract Effort Description”. See Amendment 1.
5	Reference:	DD254

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

	Discussion:	
	Question:	Is there a DD 254?
	Answer:	The requirement is for basic maintenance which does not require classified information or facility.
6	Reference:	Amendment 1, Solicitation Section L 3.3.5.1
	Discussion:	The Government requests offerors provide (3) years of actual indirect rate information.
	Question:	Question 11a: If an entity doesn't have three-years of experience, what should the entity provide?
	Answer	Please submit the actual indirect rate information for the years of experience that you currently possess, if less than three years. Additionally, if DCAA has not reviewed or audited the rate information for an Offeror, the Offeror must submit a detailed justification of the proposed indirect rates.
	Question:	Question 11b: If the entity is a joint-venture, does the government request the rates of the members?
	Answer	Yes, please submit rates of the joint-venture members.
7	Reference:	Amendment 1, Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary, Instructions Tab
	Discussion:	The column references do not appear to match the instructions
	Question:	Please confirm the instructions are correct.
	Answer	Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary Instructions are revised (blue font). See Amendment 1.
9	Reference:	Amendment 1, Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary, Instructions Tab
	Discussion:	There are some cell formula malfunctions and/or mismatched formula cell references
	Question:	Request the formulas be double-checked for verification prior to submittal to avoid submission of inconsistent data.
	Answer	Attachment L-3 Price Cost Summary Formula's Corrected. See Amendment 1.
10	Reference:	PWS 4.1.3.1

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

	Discussion:	The government indicates the contractor shall provide "...PPE for team members. Personal Protective Equipment, including but not limited to, personal protective equipment for eyes, face, head, and extremities, protective clothing, respiratory devices, and protective shields and barriers, shall be provided, used, and maintained in a sanitary and reliable condition wherever it is necessary by reason of hazards of processes or environment, chemical hazards, radiological hazards, or mechanical irritants encountered in a manner capable of causing injury or impairment in the function of any part of the body through absorption, inhalation or physical contact.
	Question:	What is the requirement for protective clothing? Does the government require uniforms be worn for contractor personnel?
	Answer	PWS 4.1.3.1 is updated to clarify the PPE requirement. See Amendment 1. As for uniforms, the Government does not require uniforms – that is a company-decision.
11	Reference:	PWS 4.1.3.3
	Discussion:	The government indicates the contractor personnel shall obtain OSHA and Government-required physicals.
	Question:	Please provide a check-list for the specific services and tests performed for these physicals as required by the Government that are in addition to the DOT requirements.
	Answer	PWS 4.1.3.3 is updated to clarify the Physical requirement. See Amendment 1.
12	Reference:	Proposal Due Date
	Discussion:	
	Question:	Request an extension of 10 days.
	Answer	The Government has extended the proposal due date by one week to 7 November 2022. See Amendment 1.
10/25/2022	Amendment 2	
13	Reference:	ATTACHMENT 4 - MANNING LEVEL; Solicitation Section L - 3.0 VOLUME 3: PRICE/COST PROPOSAL, 3.2.1 Historical Manning Hours
	Discussion:	Historical manning hours for the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 is 20,158.77
	Question:	Are the hours from MAXIMO total time or touch-time service labor only?
	Answer	The hours listed are touch-time service hours

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

14	Reference:	ATTACHMENT 3 - GFP; ATTACHMENT 01 - PWS 4.1.5.1 GFE and Tools
	Discussion:	The PWS references the GFP materials and equipment referencing ‘(Attachment 1 – GFP/GFE/TOOL)’. The government has provided GFP information in Attachment – 3 but no hand tool listing.
	Question:	Will the government provide the contractor with hand tools and a hand tool listing for the effort? Please also clarify the reference in PWS 4.1.5.1.
	Answer	ATTACHMENT 01 - PWS 4.1.5.1 GFE/Tools is revised. All required tools will be provided to include specialty tools. See Amendment 2
15	Reference:	ATTACHMENT 4 - MANNING LEVEL; Solicitation Section L - 3.0 VOLUME 3: PRICE/COST PROPOSAL, 3.2.1 Historical Manning Hours
	Discussion:	Attachment 4 indicates a FTE minimum manning of 28 FTEs for an estimated 53,760 hours. Historical manning hours for the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 is 20,158.77
	Question:	Are the hours in Attachment 4 per year values or for the total project?
		Question – Has the workload and thus the manning hours increased by more than 250% from the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 (20,158.77) to what is projected per each upcoming Option Year (53,760)?
Answer	The Government is reassessing the FTE requirement. This will be addressed via Amendment 3 by 1 November.	
16	Reference:	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY
	Discussion:	Formulas in Cells G17, I17, and L17 have improper formatting or inconsistent formulas.
	Question:	Will the government correct these calculations errors in the template?
	Answer	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is revised. See Amendment 2.
17	Reference:	Solicitation Section K – Reqs and Certs
	Discussion:	The effort is proposed in accordance with the Service Contract Act yet the government has not adopted FAR Clause 52.222-43 or 52.222-41.
	Question:	Will the government adopt these FAR Clauses into the current solicitation?
	Answer	FAR clauses 52.222-41 and 52.222-43 have been included in Section I of this solicitation. See Amendment 2.
18	Reference:	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

	Discussion:	The government has used 1920 for FTE equivalent hours. The adopted Wage Determination though requires the following paid-time off benefits: 80 hours of vacation, 56 hours of sick, and 88 hours of holidays. Using 2080 annual hours, these guaranteed sums results in an effective FTE hours of 1856.
	Question:	Will the government adopt the SCA minimum required hours for the evaluation on this effort?
	Answer	The FTE equivalent hours have been updated to 2080 annual hours. The government is using the SCA minimum required hours for the evaluation on this effort. ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is revised. See Amendment 2
19	Reference:	ATTACHMENT 05 – WD
	Discussion:	The government cites WD 2022-0141 for Florida County of Duval dated 06/30/2022 applicable to the current effort. The most recent SCA WD on Sam.gov is 2015-4539 dated 07/06/2022.
	Question:	Will the government provide WD 2022-0141 for offerors or modify the applicable WD to be 2015-4539?
	Answer	Per the DOL the Government will use WD 2022-014 Rev1. ATTACHMENT 05 – WD is revised. See Amendment 2
20	Reference:	ATTACHMENT L-3 - PRICE COST SUMMARY
	Discussion:	The current format is ideal for a prime-subcontractor relationship given the hourly wage requirements and applicable rate mark-ups.
	Question:	If the contractor proposing is an unpopulated Joint Venture through which the parties subcontract the positions through the partnered entities, how should the contractor reflect such arrangement in the current pricing template?
	Answer	If Joint Ventures (JV) are included, and the JV member cost details are proprietary, the Prime Contractor shall identify each JV member fully-burdened cost in the Subcontractors section (yellow) of the ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY. Then, each JV member is responsible for submitting their own cost build-up details, if proprietary, via a separate L-3 directly to the Government. See Amendment 2
21	Reference:	ATTACHMENT L-3 - PRICE COST SUMMARY

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

	Discussion:	The current format is ideal for a prime-subcontractor relationship given the hourly wage requirements and applicable rate mark-ups. Errors include: a. Summary Tab: i. F6 – Error in Reference b. BP/OY Tab(s): i. I17 – Total doesn’t total above cells but rather column F ii. Column J – This is a subtotal column but doesn’t result in the right evaluation as it doesn’t include adjustments for proposed FTE (column D). iii. Column L – This column is resetting column F where as it appears to need to state column G or Column F * Column D. iv. Column M – Restates Column J which doesn’t account for additional FTEs as noted above.
	Question:	Does the government intend to update this format?
	Answer	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is revised. See Amendment 2
11/02/2022	Amendment 3	
22	Reference:	Amendment 2 Question 18 - Hours
	Discussion:	The government indicates the evaluation will be based on 2,080 hours. This leaves no time-off for SCA covered employees per the Wage Determination which indicates 224 of statutory mandated hours be available. This impacts the fringe rate applicable to the effort and artificially inflates the H&W owed to the individual if the evaluation is based on 2,080.
	Question:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How will the government confirm contractors have proposed the H&W minimums of the Wage Determination applicable only to working hours? 2. Does the government understand this procurement is for a level of effort outcome, not a purchase of hours?
	Answer	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY is being revised. See Pending Amendment 4
23	Reference:	ATTACHMENT L3 – PRICE COST SUMMARY
	Discussion:	Instruction 16 indicates JV partners with proprietary rates should complete attachment 3 as subcontractors and submit separate L-3 build-ups to the government.
	Question:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If not proprietary, how should the JV disclose team fringe and G&A rates? 2. If not proprietary, will the government accept a single cost volume in which all rates for all partners are disclosed?
	Answer	If not proprietary, a single price document can be submitted to disclose JV team-member fringe and G&A rates collectively. If there are numerous JV members accommodating different labor categories, identify them separately with the labor categories they will be aligned to. Attachment L3 is being revised and will be released with Amendment 4. See Pending Amendment 4.

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

24	Reference:	Amendment 02 SECTION L - 4.11											
	Discussion:	Government requests disclosure system adequacy inspections performed by DCAA and DCMA.											
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are any of these systems (property, estimating, and purchasing, etc.) required by the solicitation? 2. If required, are these systems able to be implemented upon award plus six months? 											
	Answer	<p>Pursuant to DFARS 215.408, a Cost Estimating System is required in all solicitations and contracts to be awarded on the basis of certified cost or pricing data. If there is a single offer received for this solicitation, the Contract will necessitate certified cost or pricing data to award.</p> <p>Pursuant to DFARS 245.107, FAR Clause 52.245-1 and a Property Management System will be required to ensure Government Property being maintained, repaired, overhauled is managed.</p> <p>Pursuant to DFARS 244.305-71 and FAR 44.204, a Purchasing System is not required since the contract will be FFP.</p> <p>Earned Value Management System is not required, pursuant to DFARS 234.203.</p> <p>Material management and Accounting System is not required, pursuant to DFARS 242.7204.</p> <p>Accounting System is not required pursuant to DFARS 242.7503.</p> <p>The required system requirements must be compliant in order for contract award to an offeror.</p>											
25	Reference:	Original RFP, Page 57, Clauses Incorporated by Reference, Amendment 2, Page 17											
	Discussion:	In the original RFP clauses were included following 4.15 Manning Plan.											
	Question:	Were these clauses meant to be deleted?											
	Answer	<p>No clauses have been removed and the following clauses are still linked to the solicitation:</p> <p>CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">52.204-7</td> <td style="width: 60%;">System for Award Management</td> <td style="width: 25%;">OCT 2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td>52.204-16</td> <td>Commercial and Government Entity Code Reporting</td> <td>AUG 2020</td> </tr> <tr> <td>52.204-22</td> <td>Alternative Line Item Proposal</td> <td>JAN 2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>52.215-1</td> <td>Instructions to Offerors--Competitive Acquisition</td> <td>NOV 2021</td> </tr> </table>	52.204-7	System for Award Management	OCT 2018	52.204-16	Commercial and Government Entity Code Reporting	AUG 2020	52.204-22	Alternative Line Item Proposal	JAN 2017	52.215-1	Instructions to Offerors--Competitive Acquisition
52.204-7	System for Award Management	OCT 2018											
52.204-16	Commercial and Government Entity Code Reporting	AUG 2020											
52.204-22	Alternative Line Item Proposal	JAN 2017											
52.215-1	Instructions to Offerors--Competitive Acquisition	NOV 2021											

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

		52.215-22	Limitations on Pass-Through Charges-- Identification of Subcontract Effort	OCT 2009
		52.222-46	Evaluation Of Compensation For Professional Employees	FEB 1993
		52.233-2	Service Of Protest	SEP 2006
		52.237-10	Identification of Uncompensated Overtime	MAR 2015
		52.252-1	Solicitation Provisions Incorporated By Reference	FEB 1998
		52.252-5	Authorized Deviations In Provisions	NOV 2020
		252.204-7019	Notice of NIST SP 800-171 DoD Assessment Requirements	MAR 2022
		252.215-7008	Only One Offer	JUL 2019
26	Reference:	ATTACHMENT 4 - MANNING LEVEL; Solicitation Section L - 3.0 VOLUME 3: PRICE/COST PROPOSAL, 3.2.1 Historical Manning Hours		
	Discussion:	Attachment 4 indicates a FTE minimum manning of 28 FTEs for an estimated 53,760 hours. Historical manning hours for the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 is 20,158.77		
	Question:	Are the hours in Attachment 4 per year values or for the total project?		
		Question – Has the workload and thus the manning hours increased by more than 250% from the period of 7/25/21-7/24/22 (20,158.77) to what is projected per each upcoming Option Year (53,760)?		
Answer	The Government reassessed the historical touch-labor data to verify/update the data provided in Section L Volume 3 of the Solicitation. See Pending Amendment 4. The Government has verified the manning FTE required for Attachment 4 remains as stated.			
27	Reference:	Amendment 2, Questions and Answers, Item 15		
	Discussion:	Answer to Question 15, This will be addressed via Amendment 3 by 1 November		
	Question:	Due to another Amendment being issued to address questions, will the government consider extending the due date to November 17, 2022 to enable contractors time to incorporate answers to questions in their proposals?		
	Answer	The Government will extend the proposal due date to 17 November 2022. See Amendment 3. Additionally, please see the answer to #26 for results from the man-hours and FTE analysis originally requested by #15.		

N6852022R0042
FRCSE ASE MRO - RFP QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

Revised 14	Reference:	ATTACHMENT 3 - GFP; ATTACHMENT 01 - PWS 4.1.5.1 GFE and Tools
	Discussion:	The PWS references the GFP materials and equipment referencing '(Attachment 1 – GFP/GFE/TOOL)'. The government has provided GFP information in Attachment – 3 but no hand tool listing.
	Question:	Will the government provide the contractor with hand tools and a hand tool listing for the effort? Please also clarify the reference in PWS 4.1.5.1.
	Revised Answer	ATTACHMENT 01 - PWS 4.1.5.1 GFE/Tools is again revised. Specialty hand tools will not be provided by the Government. See Pending Amendment 4.