


Technical Report: Fort Peck Butterfly Valve#4 Inspection Report

FT. PECK PH#2 BUTTERFLY VALVE NDT

Req. # W59XQG92289457

Date: 12/5/2019



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS OMAHA DIST.
CONTRACTING OFFICE
1616 CAPITOL AVENUE
OMAHA NE 68102-4901

Prepared for:

Thomas R. Hay, P.E., ASNT Level 3#107162
TECHKNOWSERV CORP.

Inspected by:

2134 Sandy Dr., Suite 14
State College PA, 16803
(814) 237-0144

Executive Summary

Butterfly Valve #4 was inspected in accordance with the statement of work (SOW) using visual inspection (VT), magnetic particle testing (MT), and phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT).

In general, it was possible to prepare the surfaces of the of the upstream and downstream welds adequately to assess the condition of the welds with visual testing (VT), magnetic particle (MT) testing, and phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT).

The exception was the circumferential weld that joined the two disc halves. The surface corrosion on this weld prevented VT, MT, and PAUT over almost the entire weld. In addition to the surface of the circumferential welds, the advanced corrosion and coating blistering was observed up to 6 inches into the shell surface. Upon conclusion of the Butterfly Valve # 4 inspection, the condition of the circumferential weld is largely unknown and may pose the most risk to the continued safe operation of the valve due to this uncertainty. Only a total of 29” of the circumferential weld were scanned with PAUT with no weld discontinuities detected. The remainder of the circumferential weld was not inspected due to advanced corrosion and surface roughness.

The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected visually for fabrication related cracks, weld/base metal fusion, craters, undercut and porosity. No observable fabrication related defects were noted. The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected for in-service fatigue and corrosion related defects. No in-service related fatigue cracks were detected.

The upstream and downstream valves were inspected with PAUT per the statement of work. Most areas that were scanned had tightly adhered coating that permitted adequate transfer of ultrasound into the valve shell. Weld discontinuities were sized using the 6-dB sizing technique in the length and height direction.

The upstream side contained 17 weld discontinuities longer than 1” and the upstream side contained 4 weld discontinuities with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity, a lack of penetration, was found in weld S8a with a length of 23.303” and a height of 0.372”.

The downstream side contained 16 weld discontinuities longer than 1” and the upstream side contained 1 weld discontinuity with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity was found in H1 with a length of 2.074” and a height of 0.408”.

The following recommendations are presented for the next major valve maintenance event:

1. **Circumferential weld:** Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Perform detailed VT, MT, and PAUT. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
2. **Welds and shell with corrosion and blistering:** Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean all welds on which coating breakdown and corrosion were observed and perform a detailed inspection in accordance with AWS D1.1. Additional welds may need to be considered at the time of the maintenance event. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
3. **Re-asses risk matrix color-coded red welds after abatement, blasting, and cleaning:** Perform encoded PAUT to more accurately locate and size the weld discontinuities. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection. Re-assess possible repair action after new sizing data is made available. Determine if discontinuity has grown and, if so, repair immediately.
4. **For all welds with intermittent weld discontinuities:** Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the weld surfaces 7” back from the toe. Perform encoded PAUT to determine if intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent or instead a longer continuous weld discontinuity.

The objective of abatement, blasting to bare metal, cleaning, and applying the new coating system to the valve surfaces, where necessary, is to prolong the fatigue life of the valve components by reducing stress concentrations and the likelihood of slip by dislocation movements at the corroded surfaces. Fatigue related slip and dislocation movement are more likely to occur at corroded versus smooth surfaces.

The objective of PAUT scanning on abated, cleaned and blasted surface is fourfold.

1. It will eliminate and false positives that may occur due to surface conditions. Rough and uneven surfaces may cause false indications that appear in the welds tested.
2. The size and location of the weld discontinuities will be more accurate for potential repair action.
3. It will determine if flaw is active and growing or inactive and stable.

4. Thirdly, it will determine if many of the smaller intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent or indicative of longer continuous weld discontinuities that were not detected due to surface roughness and data loss.

Some comments on lessons learned:

- The USACE's objective to evaluate the welds in accordance with the SOW was largely successful with the exception of the circumferential weld and limited horizontal splice welds, vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub, horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub, diagonal welds, and O welds that exhibited blistering and surface corrosion that prevented inspection.

- In general, the coating on the butterfly valve tightly adhered to the shell surface and permitted ultrasound transfer into the test piece to produce weld discontinuity reflection at adequate signal-to-noise ratios. For future inspections, this demonstrated that PAUT inspection is possible on coated surfaces.

- The surface conditions had more of an impact on inspection sensitivity than originally expected. While the scan plan cited +6dB scanning gain it was determined that up to 20 dB was needed in some cases to scan at sensitivity level that was judged adequate by the inspector. For this reason, it would be advisable to have a PAUT contractor on-site prior to and during future repairs if deemed necessary.

- Does the value added by abatement and blasting on the PAUT results justify performing these steps before the non-destructive testing? The overall coverage and performance of all NDT processes would be enhanced if abatement and blasting were performed beforehand. The cost of the abatement and blasting, however, may be leveraged into a longer fatigue service life through minimization of corrosion related fatigue phenomena.

- The butterfly valve was built in 1960 to neither ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code or AWS D1.1 code, but rather Williamette Iron and Steel Company Welding Procedure A-3385. Stress relief was carried out to ASME VIII. The statement of work required inspection to ASME BPVC Sec. V. The welds inspected with PAUT were non-traditional in terms of the current ASME BPVC PAUT inspection market which are largely larger circumferential girth and seam welds compare to the T- and corner style welds on the valve. Therefore, if it was the goal of the USACE to adopt a standard to which this valve should be inspected, it may be more advisable to adopt AWS D1.1 due to the style of welds. However, a fracture mechanics approach may be more advisable so that analyses can focus on targeted flaw sizes and desired safety factors specific to the butterfly valve.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
Table of Contents	iv
1 Introduction	5
2 Visual Inspection	8
2.1 Weld Preparation.....	11
2.2 Weld Visual Inspection Results	11
2.3 Visual Inspection Summary	20
3 Magnetic Particle Testing Results	21
3.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Parameters.....	24
3.2 Magnetic Particle Testing Summary	24
4 Phased Array Testing Results.....	25
4.1 How to Interpret PAUT Results.....	26
4.2 PAUT Inspection Summary	32
5 PAUT Inspection Results	38
5.1 Valve 4 Upstream.....	38
5.2 Valve 4 Downstream.....	82
6 Equipment Calibrations	105
6.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Equipment	105
6.2 Phased Array Testing Equipment.....	108
7 Inspector Certifications.....	109
7.1 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing	109
7.2 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing	110

1 Introduction

Butterfly valve weld # 4 was inspected in accordance with the statement of work (SOW) using visual inspection (VT), magnetic particle testing (MT), and phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT). For reporting purposes, the upstream and downstream weld identification schemes shown in Figure 1.1 and 1.2 were used.

Figure 1.1 is the upstream view of the butterfly valve showing the location and IDs of the horizontal splice welds joining disc plates to the ribs (S1-S13), vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub (V1-V8), and horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub (H1-H2).

Figure 1.2 is the downstream view of the butterfly valve showing the location and IDs of, vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub (V1-V4), and horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub (H1-H2), diagonal welds (X1-X4), and O welds (O1-O4).

Upstream View

S1-S13: Horizontal splice welds joining disc plates to the ribs

V1-V8: Vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub

H1-H2: Horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub

S5end, S6 end: Short welds w/smaller weld reinforcement approximately 14" long




Figure 1.1: Butterfly valve # 4 upstream view.

Downstream View

V1-V4: Vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub
H1-H2: Horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub
X1-X4: Diagonal welds joining disc plate to disc plate
O1-O4: Diagonal welds joining disc plate to disc plate




Figure 1.2: Butterfly valve # 4 downstream view.

2 Visual Inspection

A visual inspection of Butterfly Valve 4 was performed on 10/03/19 – 10/08/19 in accordance with ASME BPVC Sec. V. AWS D1.1 Table 6.1 Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria and ASME BPVC VIII Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria for fabrication related weld defects were considered during the inspection and reporting process. The AWS D1.1 and ASME BPVC acceptance criteria are presented in Figures 2.1 and 2.2. A summary of the inspection results relative to AWS D1.1 and ASME BPVC VII Table 7.6 are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2.

Table 2.1: Summary of AWS D1.1 Table 6.1 Visual Inspection Criteria

Weld Defect Type	Status	Comments
Crack Prohibition	Pass	
Weld/Base Metal Fusion	Pass	
Crater Cross-section	Pass	
Weld profiles	N/A	Weld profiles not measured
Undersized welds	N/A	Fillet welds only
Undercut	Pass	
Porosity	Pass	

Table 2.2: Summary of ASME BPCV VIII Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria






Weld Defect Type	Status	Comments
Gas Cavity/Shrinkage Cavity	Pass	
Inclusions	Pass	
Incomplete fusion	Pass	
Lack of penetration	Pass	
Weld reinforcement	N/A	Weld profiles not measured
Undercut	Pass	
Porosity	Pass	

**Table 6.1
Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria (see 6.9)**

Discontinuity Category and Inspection Criteria	Statically Loaded Nontubular Connections	Cyclically Loaded Nontubular Connections	Tubular Connections (All Loads)										
(1) Crack Prohibition Any crack shall be unacceptable, regardless of size or location.	X	X	X										
(2) Weld/Base-Metal Fusion Complete fusion shall exist between adjacent layers of weld metal and between weld metal and base metal.	X	X	X										
(3) Crater Cross Section All craters shall be filled to provide the specified weld size, except for the ends of intermittent fillet welds outside of their effective length.	X	X	X										
(4) Weld Profiles Weld profiles shall be in conformance with 5.24.	X	X	X										
(5) Time of Inspection Visual inspection of welds in all steels may begin immediately after the completed welds have cooled to ambient temperature. Acceptance criteria for ASTM A 514, A 517, and A 709 Grade 100 and 100 W steels shall be based on visual inspection performed not less than 48 hours after completion of the weld.	X	X	X										
(6) Undersized Welds The size of a fillet weld in any continuous weld may be less than the specified nominal size (L) without correction by the following amounts (U): <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">L,</td> <td style="text-align: center;">U,</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">specified nominal weld size, in [mm]</td> <td style="text-align: center;">allowable decrease from L, in [mm]</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">$\leq 3/16$ [5]</td> <td style="text-align: center;">$\leq 1/16$ [2]</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">$1/4$ [6]</td> <td style="text-align: center;">$\leq 3/32$ [2.5]</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">$\geq 5/16$ [8]</td> <td style="text-align: center;">$\leq 1/8$ [3]</td> </tr> </table> In all cases, the undersize portion of the weld shall not exceed 10% of the weld length. On web-to-flange welds on girders, underrun shall be prohibited at the ends for a length equal to twice the width of the flange.	L,	U,	specified nominal weld size, in [mm]	allowable decrease from L, in [mm]	$\leq 3/16$ [5]	$\leq 1/16$ [2]	$1/4$ [6]	$\leq 3/32$ [2.5]	$\geq 5/16$ [8]	$\leq 1/8$ [3]	X	X	X
L,	U,												
specified nominal weld size, in [mm]	allowable decrease from L, in [mm]												
$\leq 3/16$ [5]	$\leq 1/16$ [2]												
$1/4$ [6]	$\leq 3/32$ [2.5]												
$\geq 5/16$ [8]	$\leq 1/8$ [3]												
(7) Undercut (A) For material less than 1 in [25 mm] thick, undercut shall not exceed 1/32 in [1 mm], with the following exception: undercut shall not exceed 1/16 in [2 mm] for any accumulated length up to 2 in [50 mm] in any 12 in [300 mm]. For material equal to or greater than 1 in [25 mm] thick, undercut shall not exceed 1/16 in [2 mm] for any length of weld. (B) In primary members, undercut shall be no more than 0.01 in [0.25 mm] deep when the weld is transverse to tensile stress under any design loading condition. Undercut shall be no more than 1/32 in [1 mm] deep for all other cases.	X												
(8) Porosity (A) CJP groove welds in butt joints transverse to the direction of computed tensile stress shall have no visible piping porosity. For all other groove welds and for fillet welds, the sum of the visible piping porosity 1/32 in [1 mm] or greater in diameter shall not exceed 3/8 in [10 mm] in any linear inch of weld and shall not exceed 3/4 in [20 mm] in any 12 in [300 mm] length of weld. (B) The frequency of piping porosity in fillet welds shall not exceed one in each 4 in [100 mm] of weld length and the maximum diameter shall not exceed 3/32 in [2.5 mm]. Exception: for fillet welds connecting stiffeners to web, the sum of the diameters of piping porosity shall not exceed 3/8 in [10 mm] in any linear inch of weld and shall not exceed 3/4 in [20 mm] in any 12 in [300 mm] length of weld. (C) CJP groove welds in butt joints transverse to the direction of computed tensile stress shall have no piping porosity. For all other groove welds, the frequency of piping porosity shall not exceed one in 4 in [100 mm] of length and the maximum diameter shall not exceed 3/32 in [2.5 mm].	X												
		X	X										
		X	X										

Note: An "X" indicates applicability for the connection type; a shaded area indicates non-applicability.

Figure 2.1: AWS D1.1 Table 6.1 Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria

Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria		
No.	Type of Imperfection [Note (1)]	Acceptance Criteria
1	Cracks (all)	---
2	Gas cavity (all) Shrinkage cavity (all)	
3	Slag inclusions (all) Flux inclusions (all) Oxide inclusions (all) Metallic inclusions (all)	---
4	Incomplete fusion (all)	
No.	Type of Imperfection [Note (1)]	Acceptance Criteria
5	Lack of penetration	
6	Undercut	
7	Weld reinforcement	
8	Joint offset	---
9	Peaking	---
10	Stray flash or arc strike	---
11	Spatter	---
12	Torn surface Grinding mark Chipping mark	---
13	Concavity	

NOTES:
 (1) The following symbols are used in this Table:
 σ = nominal fillet weld throat thickness
 b = width of weld reinforcement
 d = diameter of pore
 h = height of imperfections
 t = wall or plate thickness
 (2) These imperfections may be removed by blend grinding.

Figure 2.2: ASME BPVC VIII Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria

2.1 Weld Preparation

In accordance with the SOW, the welded region was cleaned for visual inspection and base metal inspection. The base metal was cleaned to approximately 6" from the weld toe. To remove the organic film and surface scale the following procedure was used:

- Scrub surface with an industrial grade plastic bristle scrub brush and water
- Scrub surface with steel wire brush surface to loosen organic film
- Rinse and clean surface with an industrial grade plastic bristle scrub brush and water
- Wipe down with rag and assess surface quality
- Repeat previous steps as required

Lead paint was not removed from the surface during this process.



Figure 2.3: Example cleaned surfaces on Valve 4 upstream welds H1 and S11.

2.2 Weld Visual Inspection Results

The locations of the notable coating breakdown and surface corrosion on the upstream and downstream sides are shown in Figures 2.4 and 2.5, respectively, via the yellow areas superimposed onto the valve welds. Details on each weld are presented in Table 2.3.




Figure 2.4: Locations of coating breakdown and surface corrosion on Valve 4 upstream side.





Figure 2.5: Locations of coating breakdown and surface corrosion on Valve 4 downstream side.

Table 2.3: Visual Inspection Summary of Valve #4

<i>Valve 4 Upstream Side</i>	
Weld	Comments
S1	<p>4” of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld along toe. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
S2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S4	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S5	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S6	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S7	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S8	<p>0.50” diameter blistering and surface corrosion, 0.020” depth. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
S9	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S10	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S11	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S12	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S13	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.

V1	<p>20" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
V2	<p>4" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p>
V3	<p>18" of blistering and surface corrosion over bottom half of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 

V4	<p>Blistering and surface corrosion bottom 4" of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
V5	<p>No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.</p>
V6	<p>1" diameter blistering and surface corrosion, 0.020" depth, on bottom section of weld towards outside toe. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
V7	<p>No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.</p>
V8	<p>No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.</p>
H1	<p>No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.</p>
H2	<p>No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.</p>

<i>Valve 4 Downstream Side</i>	
H1	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
H2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
V1	24" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.
	
V2	36" of Intermittent blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.
	
V3	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.

V4	<p>Blistering and surface corrosion along the length of the weld.</p> 
X1	<p>6" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> <p>See X3 picture for reference.</p>
X2	<p>6" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> <p>See X3 picture for reference.</p>
X3	<p>6" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 

X4	<p>5" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
O1	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
O2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
O3	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
O4	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.

<i>Circumferential Weld</i>	
Circ	<p>Weld showed advanced blistering and surface corrosion over entire circumference.</p> 

2.3 Visual Inspection Summary

The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected for fabrication related cracks, weld/base metal fusion, craters, undercut and porosity. No observable fabrication related defects were noted.

The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected for in-service fatigue and corrosion related defects. No in-service related fatigue cracks were detected. Numerous areas of coating breakdown and surface corrosion and/or on-set of surface corrosion were observed on upstream and downstream welds. The entire circumferential weld joining disc halves showed advanced corrosion and coating breakdown.

Magnetic particle testing (MT) was performed on the upstream and downstream sides of butterfly valve in accordance with the statement-of-work. There were no cracks detected with MT.

WFMT was not performed on the circumferential weld joining the disc halves due to the surface conditions described in the visual inspection report.

The following recommendations are presented for the next major valve maintenance event:

1. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Perform a detailed visual inspection in accordance with AWS D1.1 guideline. Magnetic particle test same areas. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
2. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean all welds on which coating breakdown and corrosion were observed and perform a detailed inspection in accordance with AWS D1.1. Additional welds may need to be considered at the time of the maintenance event. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
3. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to metal, and clean all shell areas that exhibit coating breakdown and corrosion. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.

The objective of abatement, blasting to bare metal, cleaning, and applying the new coating system to the valve surfaces, where necessary, is to prolong the fatigue life of the valve components by reducing stress concentrations and the likelihood of slip by dislocation movements at the corroded surfaces. Fatigue related slip and dislocation movement is more likely to occur at corroded versus smooth surfaces.

3 Magnetic Particle Testing Results

Magnetic particle testing (MT) was performed on the upstream and downstream sides of butterfly valve in accordance with the statement-of-work. There were no cracks detected with MT.

Wet fluorescent magnetic particle testing (WFMT) was performed on 100% of the tension side (downstream side), and 25% of the upstream side, of the vertical and horizontal welds joining the disc plates to the disc hub “casting”. (Reference drawing H-4075, assembly side view, Detail J, and Section F-F).

WFMT was performed on at least 50% of all horizontal splice welds (plug and butt type) joining the disc plates to the ribs, on the upstream side and 100% of same welds on the downstream side.

WFMT was not performed on the circumferential weld joining the disc halves due to the surface conditions described in the visual inspection report.

WFMT was performed on approximately 95% of the he “X” and “O” splice welds located on the upstream side of the disc weldment. All X-welds exhibited blistering and surface corrosion towards the ends of the weld at the valve OD. These areas were not inspected.

The performance of the total WFMT system was confirmed on-site using a Quantitative Quality Shim (QQI) shim with a 0.0006” deep EDM notch. The horizontal indication generated with the MT yoke, wet bath, and UV light is shown in Figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1: WFMT indication from QQI shim.




Figure 3.3: WFMT welds on downstream valve side.

4 Phased Array Testing Results

The phased array testing was performed in accordance with the statement of work and the Final Scan Plan which was approved 10/01/2019. The scan plan was part of the original submittals and is not included in the technical report.

A fracture mechanics-based weld discontinuity evaluation was performed in accordance with ASME BPVC Mandatory Appendix VIII – Ultrasonic Examination Requirements for Fracture-Mechanics-Based Acceptance Criteria.

Weld discontinuities were sized using the 6-dB sizing technique in the length and height direction. The dimensions of the flaw are determined by the rectangle that fully contains the area of the flaw.

The length of the flaw shall be the dimension of the rectangle that is parallel to the weld center line.

The height of the flaw shall be the dimension of the rectangle in the thickness direction of the shell thickness.

4.1 How to Interpret PAUT Results




Figure 4.1: PAUT scan origin (reference point) and scan direction for Valve 4 Upstream side.

Butterfly Valve # 4 – Downstream View

The following reference system was used to locate weld indications on the valve. For horizontal welds, the flaws indications are located from left to right. The scan origin is the left most edge of the weld. Vertical welds on the top half of the valve are referenced from the bottom up. Vertical welds on the bottom half of the valve are referenced from the top down.




Figure 4.2: PAUT scan origin (reference point) and scan direction for Valve 4 Downstream side.

Figure 4.3 identifies the coordinate system used to for weld indication length (X), location in weld (Y), and depth from exterior surface (Z).




Figure 4.3: X, Y, and Z coordinate system for weld reflector identification. Note that X-direction is into the page.

The size of each reflector are summarized for upstream and downstream welds in Tables 4.1 and 4.2, respectively. The sizes are color coded in a risk matrix based on the following weld flaw dimensions:

Flaw Length	<0.200	0.200- 0.500	0.500- 1.000	>1.000
Flaw Height	<0.125	0.125- 0.250	0.250- 0.375	>0.375

The PAUT encoder scan directions and associated data files, for upstream and downstream sides, are shown in Figures 4.5 and 4.7, respectively. The data files were identified by valve#, upstream/downstream, weld ID, and top/bottom or outside/inside. For example, “Valve4uss4a” is the data file name for the scan of Valve #4, up-stream weld s4, top weld. Valve4uss4b would be the bottom weld. The encoder scan directions are identified via the set of perpendicular arrows. The longer arrow is the scan direction, or weld axis, and the short arrow is the direction of the ultrasonic beams as shown in Figure 4.4.




Figure 4.4: Explanation of encoder scan direction and ultrasonic beam directions.

The upstream side contained 17 weld discontinuities longer than 1” as shown in Table 4.1. The upstream side contained 4 weld discontinuities with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity was found in S8a with a length of 23.303” and a height of 0.372”. The PAUT inspection details for all welds inspected is presented in Section 5. The data for Weld s8A, for example, shows that the discontinuity was found mid-wall and was classified as lack of fusion (LOF).

Table 4.1: Valve #4 upstream weld discontinuity dimensions.

Valve 4 US Welds	Length S(m-r)	Height U(m-r)	X1 (in)	X2 (in)	Y1 (in)	Y2 (in)	Z1 (in)	Z2 (in)	Thickness (in)	Discontinuity
S1b	0.535	0.443	5.5	6.0	0.0	0.6	1.7	2.2	2.75	LOF
S5a	0.688	0.248	7.0	7.7	-1.3	-1.0	0.9	1.2	2.75	LOF
	1.526	0.250	11.5	12.2	0.0	0.2	2.3	2.6	2.75	LOF
	0.752	0.298	25.3	26.0	0.2	0.4	2.0	2.3	2.75	LOF
S7a	0.899	0.301	38.0	39.5	-1.4	-1.1	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOF
S7b	0.417	0.248	0.0	0.2	-1.3	-1.0	1.5	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.514	0.284	18.2	18.5	-0.3	0.0	1.8	2.1	2.75	LOF
S8a	23.303	0.372	23.5	46.8	-1.5	-1.1	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOF
S8b	1.722	0.284	1.4	2.3	-1.4	-1.2	0.9	1.2	2.75	LOF
	1.243	0.195	12.6	13.8	-1.5	-1.3	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
	0.981	0.213	34.5	35.5	-1.9	-1.6	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
S9a	1.301	0.334	20.8	22.1	-2.0	-1.8	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	1.301	0.346	3.8	5.1	-1.5	-1.3	1.4	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.466	0.247	2.3	2.7	-2.0	-1.8	1.0	1.3	2.75	LOF
S9b	1.280	0.359	2.0	3.3	0.5	0.7	1.8	2.2	2.75	LOF
	4.358	0.276	21.7	26.1	-1.4	-1.2	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
s10b	1.548	0.337	19.4	21.2	-0.1	0.1	1.3	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.434	0.339	5.3	5.6	-1.4	-1.1	1.4	2.0	2.75	LOF
H1	0.364	0.248	23.6	24.0	-0.1	0.1	2.3	2.6	2.75	LOF
	1.739	0.355	14.9	16.6	0.3	0.6	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOF
	1.628	0.355	8.4	10.0	0.3	0.6	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOP
H2	0.447	0.284	16.4	16.9	-0.6	-0.3	2.4	2.7	2.75	LOP
	0.430	0.314	27.6	28.1	0.2	0.5	2.3	2.6	2.75	LOP
V1	2.599	0.567	6.7	10.0	1.7	2.0	1.8	2.3	2.75	LOF
V5a	0.795	0.213	32.1	32.9	-1.2	-1.0	0.9	1.1	2.75	LOF
	0.344	0.301	23.4	24.6	-0.5	-0.2	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	3.762	0.340	17.9	21.7	-0.5	-0.2	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	0.817	0.340	13.8	14.6	-0.5	-0.2	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	0.430	0.213	30.4	30.9	-0.2	-0.1	0.9	1.1	2.75	LOF
V6a	4.428	0.266	27.6	32.1	-1.5	-1.2	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	0.459	0.357	21.9	22.4	-1.0	-0.7	1.7	2.1	2.75	LOF
V6b	0.757	0.421	31.2	31.9	-0.9	-0.5	0.6	0.9	2.75	LOF
	0.620	0.290	30.7	31.3	-1.5	-1.3	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	1.033	0.378	25.8	26.8	0.0	0.2	1.2	1.6	2.75	LOF
	1.790	0.256	15.0	16.8	-1.5	-1.2	0.8	1.1	2.75	LOF
V8a	2.074	0.271	3.9	6.0	-1.5	-1.3	0.9	1.2	2.75	LOF
V8b	0.397	0.266	12.6	13.0	0.2	0.4	2.2	2.5	2.75	LOF
	0.190	0.301	7.2	7.4	0.0	0.2	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOP

Table 4.2: Valve #4 downstream weld discontinuity dimensions.

Valve 4 DS Welds	Length S(m-r)	Height U(m-r)	X1 (in)	X2 (in)	Y1 (in)	Y2 (in)	Z1 (in)	Z2 (in)	Thickness (in)	Discontinuity
H1	0.161	0.213	6.5	6.7	0.4	0.6	1.4	1.6	2.75	LOF
	0.346	0.177	3.4	3.8	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.5	2.75	LOF
	2.074	0.408	1.7	3.8	0.1	0.3	2.4	2.7	2.75	LOP
H2	0.275	0.195	0.6	0.9	-1.4	-1.2	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.344	0.195	4.8	5.2	-1.2	-1.0	0.9	1.1	2.75	LOF
	0.367	0.124	14.6	15.0	-0.9	-0.7	1.1	1.2	2.75	LOF
V1	1.033	0.213	36.4	37.4	-0.3	-0.1	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
	2.801	0.372	20.4	23.2	-0.2	-0.1	2.3	2.7	2.75	LOP
	0.229	0.319	26.6	26.8	-0.1	0.0	1.7	2.0	2.75	LOF
V3	0.486	0.124	29.3	29.8	-0.6	-0.4	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	1.083	0.284	0.9	2.0	-0.6	-0.5	1.7	2.0	2.75	LOF
	0.237	0.142	16.7	16.9	-0.6	-0.5	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
V4	0.237	0.142	32.8	33.3	-0.7	-0.5	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
	0.305	0.160	36.3	36.6	-0.7	-0.6	0.6	0.8	2.75	LOF
	0.474	0.142	2.0	2.6	-0.1	-0.0	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
O1a	0.914	0.227	3.0	4.0	-0.3	-0.1	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	0.677	0.153	20.2	20.8	-0.2	0.0	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	0.711	0.113	21.9	22.6	-0.3	-0.1	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
O1b	0.474	0.139	29.4	29.9	-0.2	0.2	1.8	2.1	2.75	LOF
	2.233	0.262	33.6	35.9	-0.2	0.0	2.4	2.7	2.75	LOP
	1.586	0.370	9.0	10.6	0.4	0.6	1.3	1.7	2.75	LOF
O2a	0.220	0.164	13.1	13.3	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.8	2.75	LOF
	0.258	0.230	23.1	23.4	-0.3	-0.2	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	0.240	0.230	15.4	15.7	-0.3	-0.2	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
O2b	0.312	0.209	11.5	11.8	-0.8	-0.6	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
	0.504	0.222	12.9	13.4	-0.7	-0.5	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	0.556	0.195	4.2	4.8	-0.7	-0.5	1.8	2.0	2.75	LOF
O3a	0.234	0.195	0.3	0.6	-0.6	-0.4	1.2	1.4	2.75	LOF
	2.162	0.284	12.1	14.3	-0.8	-0.6	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	0.270	0.230	15.2	15.4	-0.8	-0.6	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
O4a	0.748	0.177	22.9	23.7	-0.6	-0.4	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.520	0.203	26.8	27.3	-0.8	-0.6	1.1	1.3	2.75	LOF
	0.333	0.207	28.1	28.4	-0.9	-0.7	1.5	1.7	2.75	LOF
O4b	0.291	0.167	15.2	15.5	-0.8	-0.6	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.682	0.284	28.7	29.4	-0.8	-0.6	1.7	2.0	2.75	LOF
	0.297	0.266	20.1	20.4	0.4	0.6	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
X1a	0.429	0.230	13.8	14.2	-0.1	0.1	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.858	0.248	20.8	21.6	0.1	0.3	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	1.170	0.209	42.8	44.0	-0.5	-0.3	1.2	1.4	2.75	LOF
X1b	0.507	0.355	62.0	62.4	-0.2	-0.0	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	1.521	0.248	67.4	68.8	-0.7	-0.4	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	1.711	0.319	74.1	75.9	-0.2	0.1	2.0	2.3	2.75	LOF
	1.445	0.301	28.6	30.0	-0.2	0.1	2.0	2.3	2.75	LOF

	1.407	0.195	29.6	31.0	-0.9	-0.7	2.1	2.3	2.75	LOF
	0.380	0.160	15.9	16.4	-0.6	-0.4	2.5	2.7	2.75	LOP
	0.532	0.195	18.3	18.9	-0.5	-0.3	2.1	2.3	2.75	LOF
X2b	0.887	0.160	32.1	33.0	-0.6	-0.5	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.591	0.177	43.2	43.8	-0.8	-0.6	2.2	2.4	2.75	LOF
X3a	0.402	0.195	21.0	21.4	-0.3	-0.2	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.522	0.225	28.0	28.5	0.0	0.1	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	1.124	0.248	65.7	66.8	-0.4	-0.1	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
X4a	1.686	0.288	56.3	58.0	-0.9	-0.7	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	1.244	0.213	63.9	65.1	-0.5	-0.3	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
X4b	0.803	0.248	78.4	79.2	-0.6	-0.4	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	1.686	0.248	73.7	75.4	-0.6	-0.4	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.482	0.311	72.6	73.0	-0.7	-0.4	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF

The downstream side contained 16 weld discontinuities longer than 1” as shown in Table 4.2. The downstream side contained 1 weld discontinuities with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity was found in H1 with a length of 2.074” and a height of 0.408”. The PAUT inspection details for all welds inspected is presented in Section 5. The data for Weld H1 shows that the discontinuity was found towards the weld bottom and was classified as lack of penetration. (LOP).

4.2 PAUT Inspection Summary

The upstream and downstream valves were inspected with PAUT per the statement of work. Most areas that were scanned had tightly adhered coating that permitted adequate transfer of ultrasound into the valve shell. The data acquired supports this observation as root and toe reflections were observed in many of the welds. However, data was lost over a small percentage of the scanned areas and many welds required rescanning of rough surface areas. In many cases, it was impossible to transfer ultrasound into the valve shell. This lack of data is depicted in the Section 5 PAUT data as black gaps in the C-scan. For Butterfly Valve #4, all discontinuities detected were characterized as fabrication related flaws which included lack of penetration, lack of fusion, and inclusions.

A total of 29” for the circumferential weld were scanned with PAUT with no weld discontinuities detected. The remainder of the circumferential weld was not inspected due to advanced corrosion and surface roughness.

The following recommendations are presented for the next major valve maintenance event:

1. For all color-coded red welds in Tables 4.1 and 4.2. remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the weld surfaces 7” back from the toe. Perform encoded PAUT to more accurately locate and size the weld discontinuities. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection. Re-assess possible repair action after new sizing data is made available.
2. For all welds with intermittent weld discontinuities, remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the weld surfaces 6” back from the toe. Perform encoded PAUT to determine if intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent or instead a longer continuous weld discontinuity.
3. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Perform PAUT inspection. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.

The objective of scanning on abated, cleaned and blasted surface is threefold. Firstly, it will eliminate any false positives that may occur due to surface conditions. Rough and uneven surfaces may cause false indications that appear in the weld tested.

Secondly, the size and location of the weld discontinuities will be more accurate for potential repair action.

Thirdly, it will determine if many of the smaller intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent, or indicative of longer continuous weld discontinuities that were not detected due to surface roughness.




Figure 4.5: PAUT scan directions and data file names for Valve #4 upstream welds.




Figure 4.6: All PAUT weld discontinuities for Valve #4 upstream.

Butterfly Valve # 4 – Downstream View




Figure 4.7: PAUT scan directions and data file names for Valve #4 downstream welds.





Figure 4.8: All PAUT weld discontinuities for Valve #4 downstream.

5 PAUT Inspection Results

5.1 Valve 4 Upstream



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S1b	40.66	1	30.187	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	32.0	1.844	3.244	5.360	0.443	0.512	0.677	0.535	LOF


Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S2a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S2b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S3a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S3b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S4a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S4b

No reportable indications.



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S5a	40.66	1	7.606	-6.500	PA 1	61.00°	49.9	1.018	1.336	2.099	0.248	0.202	0.320	0.688	LOF
	40.66	2	12.217	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	58.6	2.368	2.553	4.508	0.250	0.202	0.322	1.526	LOF
	40.66	3	25.813	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	46.7	2.214	2.713	4.730	0.298	0.243	0.384	0.752	LOF


Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S5a
 END

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S5b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S5b
END

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S6a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S6a
END

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S6b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld
 S6b
 END


No reportable indications.

Comments



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S7a	40.66	1	21.305	-6.500	PA 1	48.00°	50.9	1.705	1.229	2.547	0.301	0.229	0.379	0.899	LOF




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments


S7b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	0.276	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	82.2	1.595	1.344	2.535	0.248	0.270	0.367	0.417	LOF
40.66	2	18.588	-6.500	PA 1	56.00°	48.0	1.942	2.318	3.473	0.284	0.256	0.382	0.514	LOF



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S8a	52.66	1	34.062	-6.500	PA 1	48.00°	78.8	1.653	1.171	2.470	0.372	0.391	0.540	23.303	LOF




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S8b	52.66	1	0.748	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	69.0	1.018	1.623	2.321	0.284	0.162	0.327	1.722	LOF
	52.66	2	12.759	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	2.2	---	---	---	0.195	0.175	0.262	1.243	LOF
	52.66	3	35.322	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	74.8	1.280	0.696	1.877	0.213	0.216	0.303	0.981	LOF


Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S9a	46.66	1	14.321	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	103.7	1.175	0.527	1.691	0.334	0.229	0.405	1.301	LOF
	46.66	2	32.105	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	119.9	1.613	0.980	2.321	0.346	0.243	0.423	1.301	LOF
	46.66	3	33.482	-6.500	PA 1	49.00°	61.1	1.085	0.597	1.654	0.247	0.229	0.337	0.466	LOF



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
S9b	46.66	1	2.518	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	50.9	2.021	3.054	5.101	0.359	0.229	0.426	1.280	LOF
	46.66	2	24.275	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	91.5	1.767	1.140	2.544	0.276	0.175	0.327	4.358	LOF




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S10a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S10b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	15.924	-6.500	PA 1	63.00°	55.2	1.491	2.452	3.285	0.337	0.175	0.380	1.548	LOF
40.66	2	31.099	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	73.4	1.767	1.140	2.544	0.339	0.235	0.412	0.434	LOF




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S11a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S11b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S12a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S12b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S13a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

S13b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
H1	46.66	1	6.227	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	52.0	2.487	2.446	3.952	0.248	0.175	0.304	0.364	LOF
	46.66	2	14.700	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	77.3	1.667	2.955	3.804	0.355	0.229	0.422	1.739	LOF
	46.66	3	20.926	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	78.8	1.635	2.888	3.730	0.355	0.351	0.499	1.628	LOP


Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
H2	46.66	1	13.386	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	67.2	2.518	2.024	3.693	0.284	0.216	0.356	0.447	LOP
	46.66	2	2.165	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	94.9	2.394	2.845	4.174	0.314	0.274	0.416	0.430	LOP



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
V1	46.66	1	7.559	-6.500	PA 1	67.00°	64.3	2.008	4.300	5.138	0.567	0.337	0.660	2.599	LOF




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V2

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V3

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V4

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V5a

Ref.	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	7.567	-6.500	PA 1	61.00°	77.3	1.023	1.345	2.109	0.213	0.216	0.303	0.795	LOF
40.66	2	15.527	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	71.4	2.252	2.641	3.926	0.301	0.229	0.379	0.344	LOF
40.66	3	19.389	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	71.4	1.245	2.088	2.839	0.340	0.256	0.426	3.762	LOF
40.66	4	25.616	-6.500	PA 1	63.00°	63.6	1.294	2.065	2.851	0.340	0.256	0.426	0.817	LOF
40.66	5	9.340	-6.500	PA 1	70.00°	57.7	0.995	2.337	2.909	0.213	0.135	0.252	0.430	LOF




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V5b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V6a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	11.507	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	144.4	1.690	1.060	2.433	0.266	0.256	0.369	4.428	LOF
40.66	2	17.773	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	76.7	1.881	1.697	2.988	0.357	0.283	0.456	0.459	LOF



Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
V6b	40.66	1	8.631	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	59.0	1.693	1.843	2.951	0.421	0.418	0.593	0.757	LOF
	40.66	2	9.103	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	153.1	1.638	1.007	2.358	0.290	0.243	0.378	0.620	LOF
	40.66	3	13.793	-6.500	PA 1	67.00°	55.9	1.399	2.867	3.581	0.378	0.337	0.507	1.033	LOF
	40.66	4	24.749	-6.500	PA 1	60.00°	77.7	0.957	1.146	1.914	0.256	0.243	0.353	1.790	LOF


Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V7a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld

Comments

V7b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
V8a	46.66	1	34.207	-6.500	PA 1	58.00°	76.3	0.996	1.057	1.879	0.271	0.175	0.323	2.074	LOF

Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
V8b	46.66	1	12.729	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	58.6	2.331	2.754	4.063	0.266	0.216	0.342	0.397	LOF
	46.66	2	7.330	-6.500	PA 1	66.00°	71.4	1.321	2.527	3.248	0.301	0.175	0.349	0.190	LOP



5.2 Valve 4 Downstream


Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
H1	46.66	1	23.383	-6.500	PA 1	63.00°	41.1	1.510	2.488	3.326	0.213	0.135	0.252	0.161	LOF
	46.66	2	36.475	-6.500	PA 1	70.00°	109.6	1.274	3.103	3.724	0.177	0.148	0.231	0.346	LOF
	46.66	3	37.185	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	126.2	2.477	2.433	3.936	0.408	0.459	0.614	2.074	LOP

The figure displays three ultrasonic testing (UT) data visualizations. The top-left image is an A-scan waveform showing amplitude versus time, with a red line indicating a peak. The top-right image is a B-scan image showing a wedge-shaped signal, likely representing a defect or weld geometry. The bottom image is a C-scan heatmap showing signal intensity across a scan area, with a color scale on the right ranging from blue (low intensity) to red (high intensity).


Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
H2	52.66	1	0.670	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	55.0	1.018	0.631	1.617	0.195	0.189	0.271	0.275	LOF
	52.66	2	5.002	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	104.7	0.968	0.515	1.506	0.195	0.189	0.271	0.344	LOF
	52.66	3	14.770	-6.500	PA 1	57.00°	108.2	1.123	1.180	2.062	0.124	0.135	0.183	0.367	LOF
	52.66	4	36.906	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	110.8	2.179	1.567	3.137	0.213	0.216	0.303	1.033	LOF



Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
V1	46.66	1	21.569	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	139.7	2.594	2.105	3.804	0.372	0.405	0.550	2.801	LOP
	46.66	2	26.656	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	55.5	1.842	2.056	3.211	0.319	0.189	0.371	0.229	LOF
	46.66	3	29.495	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	90.2	1.988	1.455	2.914	0.124	0.121	0.174	0.486	LOF




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments

V2

No reportable indications.



Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
V3	52.66	1	1.063	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	133.3	1.671	1.438	2.655	0.284	0.283	0.401	1.083	LOF
	52.66	2	16.818	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	80.1	1.694	1.467	2.692	0.142	0.094	0.170	0.237	LOF
	52.66	3	32.848	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	74.6	1.683	1.367	2.618	0.142	0.148	0.205	0.237	LOF
	52.66	4	36.353	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	76.7	1.635	1.310	2.544	0.160	0.121	0.200	0.305	LOF


Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments

V4

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
52.66	1	2.284	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	98.9	1.016	0.572	1.580	0.142	0.229	0.269	0.474	LOF
52.66	2	3.466	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	98.9	1.937	1.401	2.840	0.227	0.202	0.304	0.914	LOF
52.66	3	20.559	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	98.9	1.997	1.841	3.174	0.153	0.162	0.223	0.677	LOF
52.66	4	22.292	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	98.9	1.974	1.812	3.137	0.113	0.175	0.209	0.711	LOF
52.66	5	29.736	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	72.8	1.997	1.841	3.174	0.139	0.364	0.390	0.474	LOF
52.66	6	35.093	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	70.9	2.411	1.807	3.470	0.262	0.229	0.348	2.233	LOP




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments


O1a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
46.66	1	10.134	-6.500	PA 1	65.00°	128.2	1.506	2.778	3.564	0.370	0.256	0.450	1.586	LOF
46.66	2	13.131	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	69.5	1.667	2.953	3.802	0.164	0.148	0.221	0.220	LOF



Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
O1b	46.66	1	8.675	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	39.0	1.299	1.955	2.766	0.230	0.121	0.260	0.258	LOF
	46.66	2	16.443	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	33.0	1.316	1.988	2.803	0.230	0.121	0.260	0.240	LOF




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld


Comments

O2a


Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
43.66	1	11.593	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	67.6	1.647	1.409	2.618	0.209	0.162	0.264	0.312	LOF
43.66	2	13.249	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	103.9	1.310	1.297	2.284	0.222	0.202	0.301	0.504	LOF



Valve 4 Downstream Side



Valve 4 Downstream Side




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments


O3b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
O4a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	13.289	-6.500	PA 1	58.00°	78.8	1.741	2.249	3.285	0.284	0.216	0.356	2.162	LOF
	46.66	2	15.260	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	55.7	1.031	2.279	2.877	0.230	0.175	0.290	0.270	LOF
	46.66	3	23.186	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	78.8	1.084	2.417	3.026	0.177	0.243	0.301	0.748	LOF
	46.66	4	26.932	-6.500	PA 1	66.00°	68.0	1.200	2.256	2.951	0.203	0.216	0.296	0.520	LOF
	46.66	5	28.233	-6.500	PA 1	59.00°	48.2	1.596	2.132	3.100	0.207	0.148	0.254	0.333	LOF
	46.66	6	15.260	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	55.7	1.031	2.279	2.877	0.167	0.162	0.232	0.291	LOF




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
O4b	46.66	1	2.800	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	102.7	1.857	1.668	2.951	0.284	0.256	0.382	0.682	LOF
	46.66	2	11.751	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	48.9	1.310	3.005	3.656	0.266	0.189	0.326	0.297	LOF




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
X1a	46.66	1	14.038	-6.500	PA 1	56.00°	41.3	2.065	2.500	3.693	0.230	0.162	0.282	0.429	LOF
	46.66	2	21.491	-6.500	PA 1	67.00°	36.4	1.313	2.663	3.359	0.248	0.175	0.304	0.858	LOF
	46.66	3	43.770	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	44.4	1.245	2.089	2.840	0.209	0.229	0.310	1.170	LOF
	46.66	4	62.145	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	77.0	1.947	1.327	2.803	0.355	0.256	0.437	0.507	LOF
	46.66	5	68.691	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	50.5	1.278	0.884	1.988	0.248	0.243	0.347	1.521	LOF



Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
X1b	46.66	1	5.087	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	41.3	2.161	2.511	3.767	0.319	0.256	0.409	1.711	LOF
	46.66	2	50.237	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	48.7	2.076	2.390	3.619	0.301	0.256	0.396	1.445	LOF
	46.66	3	50.158	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	98.9	2.205	1.594	3.174	0.195	0.202	0.281	1.407	LOF
	46.66	4	63.880	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	54.5	2.565	1.967	3.693	0.160	0.162	0.227	0.380	LOP
	46.66	5	61.356	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	77.5	2.207	2.100	3.507	0.195	0.148	0.245	0.532	LOF




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments

X2a

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments

X2b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	47.161	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	66.0	2.067	1.927	3.285	0.160	0.121	0.200	0.887	LOF
40.66	2	37.421	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	120.4	2.333	1.727	3.359	0.177	0.162	0.240	0.591	LOF



Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
X3a	40.66	1	21.260	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	85.7	2.076	1.460	2.988	0.195	0.135	0.237	0.402	LOF
	40.66	2	28.150	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	42.8	1.194	1.758	2.544	0.225	0.135	0.263	0.522	LOF
	40.66	3	65.866	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	101.6	1.717	1.495	2.729	0.248	0.256	0.357	1.124	LOF


Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments

X3b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld

Comments


X4a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	57.205	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	175.5	1.973	1.354	2.840	0.288	0.175	0.337	1.686	LOF
40.66	2	64.606	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	175.5	2.137	2.014	3.396	0.213	0.216	0.303	1.244	LOF



Valve 4 Downstream Side

Weld	Comments														
	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
X4b	40.66	1	1.063	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	86.3	1.962	1.428	2.877	0.248	0.162	0.296	0.803	LOF
	40.66	2	4.882	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	96.2	2.013	1.482	2.951	0.248	0.162	0.296	1.686	LOF
	40.66	3	7.244	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	53.0	2.067	1.927	3.285	0.311	0.229	0.386	0.482	LOF

<i>Valve 4 Downstream Side</i>	
Weld	Comments
C1	<p>The C1 area shown in Figure 4.4 was inspected with manual PAUT. Approximately 21” of weld were inspected. No indications were detected.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div>
C2	<p>The C2 area shown in Figure 4.4 was inspected with manual PAUT. Approximately 8” of weld were inspected. No indications were detected.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div>

6 Equipment Calibrations

6.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Equipment

Calibration Certificate

Issue Date: 11/30/2018

Expiry Date: 11/29/2019

Device: AC

Manufacturer: Parker Research Corp.

Model: Contour Probe ®

Serial Number: 26322

Weight Lift Test Bar: TB-10SP Special Weight Lift/Defect Test Bar S/N 001

***All equipment used in the evaluation of this instrument has been calibrated with traceability to NIST**

Applicable Standards

1. ASTM E709 - 08 Standard Guide for Magnetic Particle Testing
2. ASTM E1444 - 01 Standard Practice for Magnetic Particle Examination

Performance Summary

Test Weight (lb)	Leg Spacing (in)	Result
10	2-4	Pass


Certificate provided by:

TECHKNOWSERV CORPORATION

2134 Sandy Drive Ste 14

State College, PA 16803

814-237-0144



Thomas R. Hay
President
ASNT Level 3 #107162

Calibration Certificate

Issue Date: 11/30/2018

Expiry Date: 11/29/2019

Device: AC

Manufacturer: Parker Research Corp.

Model: Contour Probe®

Serial Number: 20702

Weight Lift Test Bar: TB-10SP Special Weight Lift/Defect Test Bar S/N 001

***All equipment used in the evaluation of this instrument has been calibrated with traceability to NIST**

Applicable Standards

1. ASTM E709 - 08 Standard Guide for Magnetic Particle Testing
2. ASTM E1444 - 01 Standard Practice for Magnetic Particle Examination

Performance Summary

Test Weight (lb)	Leg Spacing (in)	Result
10	2-4	Pass


Certificate provided by:

TECHKNOWSERV CORPORATION

2134 Sandy Drive Ste 14

State College, PA 16803

814-237-0144


Thomas R. Hay
President

ASNT Level 3 #107162



CERTIFICATE OF LIGHT OUTPUT

This is to certify the UV-A lamp referenced below meets the output measurements (UV and visible) for LED UV-A light as well as producing a Peak Wavelength at 360 - 370 nm (365 ± 5 nm) as measured with a spectroradiometer, in accordance with ASTM MPT/LPT and EN ISO 3059:2012(E) requirements.

Product Name: OPTI-LUX™ 365 High Intensity Series

Product Number: 0LX-365

Serial Number: 2157677

The following measurements were obtained using a Spectroline® AccuMAX™ XR-1000 and XDS-1000 at 15" (38 cm) with battery-operated lights at full charge:

UV-A intensity reading @ 365nm: 9,000 – 10,000 microW/cm²

Visible light reading @ 555nm: With clear filter: 0.5 – 0.9fc (5.4 – 9.7 lux)
With black light filter: 0.4 – 0.5fc (4.3 – 5.4 lux)

Inspector:  _____

Date: 08-06-2019



Steve Biondi
Quality Manager
April 2018 – AM12013

SPECTRONICS CORPORATION

956 BRUSH HOLLOW RD WESTBURY, NY 11590 • PHONE: 516-333-4840 • FAX: 516-333-4859 • WWW.SPECTROLINE.COM

6.2 Phased Array Testing Equipment

OLYMPUS
Olympus America
OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC.
110 Magellan Circle
Webster, TX, 77598

Tel.: (1) (281) 922-9300
Fax: (1) (281) 922-9303
www.olympus-ims.com

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

Certificate number: 110-20190508-19511218
Equipment: OMNI-M2-PA32128
Serial number: QC-002045
Equipment description: 32:128 Phased array acquisition module / 1UT HD channel
Calibration date (YYYY/MM/DD): 2019/05/09
Next Calibration (YYYY/MM/DD): 2020/05/09
Manufacturer facility: Quebec, Quebec City
Status after calibration: Within tolerances
Status before calibration: Within tolerances
Work Order: 195291-02
Calibration type: Annual Verification
Customer: TECHKNOWSERV

Calibrated by (E-signed):
Brady Baker
Brady Baker (ID# 110-006)

This above product was designed in consideration of EN12668-1 for conventional UT instruments and ISO18563-1 for phased array instruments. This certificate confirms that the above product meets Olympus NDT specifications using group 2 test methods as described in European standard EN12668-1:2010* for conventional ultrasonic instrumentation and international standard ISO 18563-1:2015 for phased array instrumentation. All equipment used for calibration is traceable to NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) or other application and recognized national standard(s). Olympus NDT operates in compliance with a quality system registered to ISO9001. This certificate should not be reproduced in part or in whole without written permission of Olympus NDT.

7 Inspector Certifications

7.1 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing

The screenshot shows the ASNT website interface for a user named Thomas Hay. The page is titled "Certifications / Exams" and displays the user's current certification status. Under "My Certification Applications", it states "You have no Certification Applications". Under "My Certifications", there are two sections: "ASNT NDT Level III" and "ACCP Level III". Each section includes a table of certification methods and their expiration dates.

ASNT NDT Level III [RENEW](#)

Method	Expires
Magnetic Particle Testing	31 Oct 2022
Visual Testing	31 Oct 2022
Liquid Penetrant Testing	31 Oct 2022
Ultrasonic Testing	31 Oct 2022
Acoustic Emission Testing	30 Nov 2022

ACCP Level III [RENEW](#)

Method	Expires
Ultrasonic Testing General Industry	31 Oct 2022

7.2 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing

TechKnowServ™
TECHNOLOGY, KNOWLEDGE, SERVICE

Is hereby granted to

Seth Bonar
of TechKnowServ

to certify that he has completed the minimum requirements for formal classroom training and successfully passed the written and practical examinations in the following nondestructive testing method:

Magnetic Particle Testing Level II

In accordance with the American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Recommended Practice No. SNT-TC-1A (2016): Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing

Granted: September 3, 2018

Expires: September 3, 2021

Thomas Hay

Thomas Hay, Ph.D.
ASNT Level III #107162