


## Technical Report: Fort Peck Butterfly Valve#4 Inspection Report

### FT. PECK PH#2 BUTTERFLY VALVE NDT

Req. # W59XQG92289457

Date: 12/5/2019



**Prepared for:** U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS OMAHA DIST.  
CONTRACTING OFFICE  
1616 CAPITOL AVENUE  
OMAHA NE 68102-4901

**Inspected by:** Thomas R. Hay, P.E., ASNT Level 3#107162  
TECHKNOWSERV CORP.  
2134 Sandy Dr., Suite 14  
State College PA, 16803  
(814) 237-0144

## **Executive Summary**

Butterfly Valve #4 was inspected in accordance with the statement of work (SOW) using visual inspection (VT), magnetic particle testing (MT), and phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT).

In general, it was possible to prepare the surfaces of the of the upstream and downstream welds adequately to assess the condition of the welds with visual testing (VT), magnetic particle (MT) testing, and phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT).

The exception was the circumferential weld that joined the two disc halves. The surface corrosion on this weld prevented VT, MT, and PAUT over almost the entire weld. In addition to the surface of the circumferential welds, the advanced corrosion and coating blistering was observed up to 6 inches into the shell surface. Upon conclusion of the Butterfly Valve # 4 inspection, the condition of the circumferential weld is largely unknown and may pose the most risk to the continued safe operation of the valve due to this uncertainty. Only a total of 29” of the circumferential weld were scanned with PAUT with no weld discontinuities detected. The remainder of the circumferential weld was not inspected due to advanced corrosion and surface roughness.

The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected visually for fabrication related cracks, weld/base metal fusion, craters, undercut and porosity. No observable fabrication related defects were noted. The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected for in-service fatigue and corrosion related defects. No in-service related fatigue cracks were detected.

The upstream and downstream valves were inspected with PAUT per the statement of work. Most areas that were scanned had tightly adhered coating that permitted adequate transfer of ultrasound into the valve shell. Weld discontinuities were sized using the 6-dB sizing technique in the length and height direction.

The upstream side contained 17 weld discontinuities longer than 1” and the upstream side contained 4 weld discontinuities with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity, a lack of penetration, was found in weld S8a with a length of 23.303” and a height of 0.372”.

The downstream side contained 16 weld discontinuities longer than 1” and the upstream side contained 1 weld discontinuity with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity was found in H1 with a length of 2.074” and a height of 0.408”.

The following recommendations are presented for the next major valve maintenance event:

1. **Circumferential weld:** Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Perform detailed VT, MT, and PAUT. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
2. **Welds and shell with corrosion and blistering:** Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean all welds on which coating breakdown and corrosion were observed and perform a detailed inspection in accordance with AWS D1.1. Additional welds may need to be considered at the time of the maintenance event. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
3. **Re-asses risk matrix color-coded red welds after abatement, blasting, and cleaning:** Perform encoded PAUT to more accurately locate and size the weld discontinuities. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection. Re-assess possible repair action after new sizing data is made available. Determine if discontinuity has grown and, if so, repair immediately.
4. **For all welds with intermittent weld discontinuities:** Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the weld surfaces 7" back from the toe. Perform encoded PAUT to determine if intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent or instead a longer continuous weld discontinuity.

The objective of abatement, blasting to bare metal, cleaning, and applying the new coating system to the valve surfaces, where necessary, is to prolong the fatigue life of the valve components by reducing stress concentrations and the likelihood of slip by dislocation movements at the corroded surfaces. Fatigue related slip and dislocation movement are more likely to occur at corroded versus smooth surfaces.

The objective of PAUT scanning on abated, cleaned and blasted surface is fourfold.

1. It will eliminate and false positives that may occur due to surface conditions. Rough and uneven surfaces may cause false indications that appear in the welds tested.
2. The size and location of the weld discontinuities will be more accurate for potential repair action.
3. It will determine if flaw is active and growing or inactive and stable.

4. Thirdly, it will determine if many of the smaller intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent or indicative of longer continuous weld discontinuities that were not detected due to surface roughness and data loss.

Some comments on lessons learned:

- The USACE's objective to evaluate the welds in accordance with the SOW was largely successful with the exception of the circumferential weld and limited horizontal splice welds, vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub, horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub, diagonal welds, and O welds that exhibited blistering and surface corrosion that prevented inspection.

- In general, the coating on the butterfly valve tightly adhered to the shell surface and permitted ultrasound transfer into the test piece to produce weld discontinuity reflection at adequate signal-to-noise ratios. For future inspections, this demonstrated that PAUT inspection is possible on coated surfaces.

- The surface conditions had more of an impact on inspection sensitivity than originally expected. While the scan plan cited +6dB scanning gain it was determined that up to 20 dB was needed in some cases to scan at sensitivity level that was judged adequate by the inspector. For this reason, it would be advisable to have a PAUT contractor on-site prior to and during future repairs if deemed necessary.

- Does the value added by abatement and blasting on the PAUT results justify performing these steps before the non-destructive testing? The overall coverage and performance of all NDT processes would be enhanced if abatement and blasting were performed beforehand. The cost of the abatement and blasting, however, may be leveraged into a longer fatigue service life through minimization of corrosion related fatigue phenomena.

- The butterfly valve was built in 1960 to neither ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code or AWS D1.1 code, but rather Williamette Iron and Steel Company Welding Procedure A-3385. Stress relief was carried out to ASME VIII. The statement of work required inspection to ASME BPVC Sec. V. The welds inspected with PAUT were non-traditional in terms of the current ASME BPVC PAUT inspection market which are largely larger circumferential girth and seam welds compare to the T- and corner style welds on the valve. Therefore, if it was the goal of the USACE to adopt a standard to which this valve should be inspected, it may be more advisable to adopt AWS D1.1 due to the style of welds. However, a fracture mechanics approach may be more advisable so that analyses can focus on targeted flaw sizes and desired safety factors specific to the butterfly valve.



## Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	i
Table of Contents .....	iv
1 Introduction .....	5
2 Visual Inspection .....	8
2.1 Weld Preparation.....	11
2.2 Weld Visual Inspection Results .....	11
2.3 Visual Inspection Summary .....	20
3 Magnetic Particle Testing Results .....	21
3.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Parameters.....	24
3.2 Magnetic Particle Testing Summary .....	24
4 Phased Array Testing Results.....	25
4.1 How to Interpret PAUT Results .....	26
4.2 PAUT Inspection Summary .....	32
5 PAUT Inspection Results .....	38
5.1 Valve 4 Upstream.....	38
5.2 Valve 4 Downstream.....	82
6 Equipment Calibrations .....	105
6.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Equipment .....	105
6.2 Phased Array Testing Equipment.....	108
7 Inspector Certifications.....	109
7.1 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing .....	109
7.2 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing .....	110

## 1 Introduction

Butterfly valve weld # 4 was inspected in accordance with the statement of work (SOW) using visual inspection (VT), magnetic particle testing (MT), and phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT). For reporting purposes, the upstream and downstream weld identification schemes shown in Figure 1.1 and 1.2 were used.

Figure 1.1 is the upstream view of the butterfly valve showing the location and IDs of the horizontal splice welds joining disc plates to the ribs (S1-S13), vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub (V1-V8), and horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub (H1-H2).

Figure 1.2 is the downstream view of the butterfly valve showing the location and IDs of, vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub (V1-V4), and horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub (H1-H2), diagonal welds (X1-X4), and O welds (O1-O4).


**Upstream View**

S1-S13: Horizontal splice welds joining disc plates to the ribs

V1-V8: Vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub

H1-H2: Horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub


S5end, S6 end: Short welds w/smaller weld reinforcement approximately 14" long



**Figure 1.1: Butterfly valve # 4 upstream view.**

**Downstream View**

V1-V4: Vertical welds joining disc plate to disc hub  
H1-H2: Horizontal welds joining disc plate to disc hub  
X1-X4: Diagonal welds joining disc plate to disc plate  
O1-O4: Diagonal welds joining disc plate to disc plate



**Figure 1.2: Butterfly valve # 4 downstream view.**

## 2 Visual Inspection

A visual inspection of Butterfly Valve 4 was performed on 10/03/19 – 10/08/19 in accordance with ASME BPVC Sec. V. AWS D1.1 Table 6.1 Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria and ASME BPVC VIII Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria for fabrication related weld defects were considered during the inspection and reporting process. The AWS D1.1 and ASME BPVC acceptance criteria are presented in Figures 2.1 and 2.2. A summary of the inspection results relative to AWS D1.1 and ASME BPVC VII Table 7.6 are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2.

Table 2.1: Summary of AWS D1.1 Table 6.1 Visual Inspection Criteria

Weld Defect Type	Status	Comments
Crack Prohibition	Pass	
Weld/Base Metal Fusion	Pass	
Crater Cross-section	Pass	
Weld profiles	N/A	Weld profiles not measured
Undersized welds	N/A	Fillet welds only
Undercut	Pass	
Porosity	Pass	

Table 2.2: Summary of ASME BPCV VIII Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria

Weld Defect Type	Status	Comments
Gas Cavity/Shrinkage Cavity	Pass	
Inclusions	Pass	
Incomplete fusion	Pass	
Lack of penetration	Pass	
Weld reinforcement	N/A	Weld profiles not measured
Undercut	Pass	
Porosity	Pass	

**Table 6.1**  
**Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria (see 6.9)**

Discontinuity Category and Inspection Criteria	Statically Loaded Nontubular Connections	Cyclically Loaded Nontubular Connections	Tubular Connections (All Loads)
<b>(1) Crack Prohibition</b> Any crack shall be unacceptable, regardless of size or location.	X	X	X
<b>(2) Weld/Base-Metal Fusion</b> Complete fusion shall exist between adjacent layers of weld metal and between weld metal and base metal.	X	X	X
<b>(3) Crater Cross Section</b> All craters shall be filled to provide the specified weld size, except for the ends of intermittent fillet welds outside of their effective length.	X	X	X
<b>(4) Weld Profiles</b> Weld profiles shall be in conformance with 5.24.	X	X	X
<b>(5) Time of Inspection</b> Visual inspection of welds in all steels may begin immediately after the completed welds have cooled to ambient temperature. Acceptance criteria for ASTM A 514, A 517, and A 709 Grade 100 and 100 W steels shall be based on visual inspection performed not less than 48 hours after completion of the weld.	X	X	X
<b>(6) Undersized Welds</b> The size of a fillet weld in any continuous weld may be less than the specified nominal size (L) without correction by the following amounts (U): <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> L, specified nominal weld size, in [mm]  <math>\leq 3/16</math> [5]  <math>1/4</math> [6]  <math>\geq 5/16</math> [8] </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> U, allowable decrease from L, in [mm]  <math>\leq 1/16</math> [2]  <math>\leq 3/32</math> [2.5]  <math>\leq 1/8</math> [3] </div> </div> In all cases, the undersize portion of the weld shall not exceed 10% of the weld length. On web-to-flange welds on girders, underrun shall be prohibited at the ends for a length equal to twice the width of the flange.	X	X	X
<b>(7) Undercut</b> (A) For material less than 1 in [25 mm] thick, undercut shall not exceed 1/32 in [1 mm], with the following exception: undercut shall not exceed 1/16 in [2 mm] for any accumulated length up to 2 in [50 mm] in any 12 in [300 mm]. For material equal to or greater than 1 in [25 mm] thick, undercut shall not exceed 1/16 in [2 mm] for any length of weld.	X		
(B) In primary members, undercut shall be no more than 0.01 in [0.25 mm] deep when the weld is transverse to tensile stress under any design loading condition. Undercut shall be no more than 1/32 in [1 mm] deep for all other cases.		X	X
<b>(8) Porosity</b> (A) CJP groove welds in butt joints transverse to the direction of computed tensile stress shall have no visible piping porosity. For all other groove welds and for fillet welds, the sum of the visible piping porosity 1/32 in [1 mm] or greater in diameter shall not exceed 3/8 in [10 mm] in any linear inch of weld and shall not exceed 3/4 in [20 mm] in any 12 in [300 mm] length of weld.	X		
(B) The frequency of piping porosity in fillet welds shall not exceed one in each 4 in [100 mm] of weld length and the maximum diameter shall not exceed 3/32 in [2.5 mm]. Exception: for fillet welds connecting stiffeners to web, the sum of the diameters of piping porosity shall not exceed 3/8 in [10 mm] in any linear inch of weld and shall not exceed 3/4 in [20 mm] in any 12 in [300 mm] length of weld.		X	X
(C) CJP groove welds in butt joints transverse to the direction of computed tensile stress shall have no piping porosity. For all other groove welds, the frequency of piping porosity shall not exceed one in 4 in [100 mm] of length and the maximum diameter shall not exceed 3/32 in [2.5 mm].		X	X

Note: An "X" indicates applicability for the connection type; a shaded area indicates non-applicability.

**Figure 2.1: AWS D1.1 Table 6.1 Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria**

Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria		
No.	Type of Imperfection [Note (1)]	Acceptance Criteria
1	Cracks (all)	Not permitted.
2	Gas cavity (all) Shrinkage cavity (all)	Not permitted.
3	Slag inclusions (all) Flux inclusions (all) Oxide inclusions (all) Metallic inclusions (all)	Not permitted when occurring at the surface [Note (2)].
4	Incomplete fusion (all)	Not permitted.
5	Lack of penetration	Not permitted if a complete penetration weld is required.
6	Undercut	Refer to 6.2.4.1(b)(2) for acceptable undercut. Requirements in 7.5.3.2 to permit proper interpretation of radiography shall also be satisfied.
7	Weld reinforcement	Acceptable weld reinforcement in butt welding joints shall be in accordance with 6.2.4.1(d). A smooth transition is required.
8	Joint offset	Refer to 6.1.6 for acceptable offset in butt-welded joints.
9	Peaking	Refer to 6.1.6 for acceptable peaking in butt welding joints.
10	Stray flash or arc strike	Not permitted [Note (2)].
11	Spatter	Spatter shall be minimized [Note (2)].
12	Torn surface Grinding mark Chipping mark	Not permitted [Note (2)].
13	Concavity	Refer to 6.2.4.1(d) for acceptable concavity.

NOTES:  
(1) The following symbols are used in this Table:  
 $\sigma$  = nominal fillet weld throat thickness  
 $b$  = width of weld reinforcement  
 $d$  = diameter of pore  
 $h$  = height of imperfections  
 $t$  = wall or plate thickness  
(2) These imperfections may be removed by blend grinding.

Figure 2.2: ASME BPVC VIII Table 7.6 Visual Examination Acceptance Criteria



## 2.1 Weld Preparation

In accordance with the SOW, the welded region was cleaned for visual inspection and base metal inspection. The base metal was cleaned to approximately 6" from the weld toe. To remove the organic film and surface scale the following procedure was used:

- Scrub surface with an industrial grade plastic bristle scrub brush and water
- Scrub surface with steel wire brush surface to loosen organic film
- Rinse and clean surface with an industrial grade plastic bristle scrub brush and water
- Wipe down with rag and assess surface quality
- Repeat previous steps as required

Lead paint was not removed from the surface during this process.



Figure 2.3: Example cleaned surfaces on Valve 4 upstream welds H1 and S11.

## 2.2 Weld Visual Inspection Results

The locations of the notable coating breakdown and surface corrosion on the upstream and downstream sides are shown in Figures 2.4 and 2.5, respectively, via the yellow areas superimposed onto the valve welds. Details on each weld are presented in Table 2.3.




Figure 2.4: Locations of coating breakdown and surface corrosion on Valve 4 upstream side.









Figure 2.5: Locations of coating breakdown and surface corrosion on Valve 4 downstream side.



**Table 2.3: Visual Inspection Summary of Valve #4**

<b>Valve 4 Upstream Side</b>	
<b>Weld</b>	<b>Comments</b>
S1	4" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld along toe. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld. 
S2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S4	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S5	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S6	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S7	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S8	0.50" diameter blistering and surface corrosion, 0.020" depth. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld. 
S9	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S10	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S11	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S12	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
S13	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.






V1	<p>20" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
V2	<p>4" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p>
V3	<p>18" of blistering and surface corrosion over bottom half of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 

V4	Blistering and surface corrosion bottom 4" of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.	
V5	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.	
V6	1" diameter blistering and surface corrosion, 0.020" depth, on bottom section of weld towards outside toe. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.	
V7	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.	
V8	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.	
H1	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.	
H2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.	

<i>Valve 4 Downstream Side</i>	
H1	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
H2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
V1	<p>24" of blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
V2	<p>36" of Intermittent blistering and surface corrosion at top of weld. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 
V3	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.



V4	<p>Blistering and surface corrosion along the length of the weld.</p> 
X1	<p>6" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> <p>See X3 picture for reference.</p>
X2	<p>6" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> <p>See X3 picture for reference.</p>
X3	<p>6" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.</p> 

X4	5" blistering and surface corrosion at end of weld towards OD. Coating in good condition over remainder of weld.
	
O1	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
O2	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
O3	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.
O4	No blistering or surface corrosion, coating in good condition over length of weld.

<i>Circumferential Weld</i>	
Circ	Weld showed advanced blistering and surface corrosion over entire circumference.
	 

### 2.3 Visual Inspection Summary

The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected for fabrication related cracks, weld/base metal fusion, craters, undercut and porosity. No observable fabrication related defects were noted.

The upstream and downstream valve welds were inspected for in-service fatigue and corrosion related defects. No in-service related fatigue cracks were detected. Numerous areas of coating breakdown and surface corrosion and/or on-set of surface corrosion were observed on upstream and downstream welds. The entire circumferential weld joining disc halves showed advanced corrosion and coating breakdown.

Magnetic particle testing (MT) was performed on the upstream and downstream sides of butterfly valve in accordance with the statement-of-work. There were no cracks detected with MT.

WFMT was not performed on the circumferential weld joining the disc halves due to the surface conditions described in the visual inspection report.

The following recommendations are presented for the next major valve maintenance event:

1. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Perform a detailed visual inspection in accordance with AWS D1.1 guideline. Magnetic particle test same areas. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
2. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean all welds on which coating breakdown and corrosion were observed and perform a detailed inspection in accordance with AWS D1.1. Additional welds may need to be considered at the time of the maintenance event. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.
3. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to metal, and clean all shell areas that exhibit coating breakdown and corrosion. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.

The objective of abatement, blasting to bare metal, cleaning, and applying the new coating system to the valve surfaces, where necessary, is to prolong the fatigue life of the valve components by reducing stress concentrations and the likelihood of slip by dislocation movements at the corroded surfaces. Fatigue related slip and dislocation movement is more likely to occur at corroded versus smooth surfaces.

### 3 Magnetic Particle Testing Results

Magnetic particle testing (MT) was performed on the upstream and downstream sides of butterfly valve in accordance with the statement-of-work. There were no cracks detected with MT.

Wet fluorescent magnetic particle testing (WFMT) was performed on 100% of the tension side (downstream side), and 25% of the upstream side, of the vertical and horizontal welds joining the disc plates to the disc hub “casting”. (Reference drawing H-4075, assembly side view, Detail J, and Section F-F).

WFMT was performed on at least 50% of all horizontal splice welds (plug and butt type) joining the disc plates to the ribs, on the upstream side and 100% of same welds on the downstream side.

*WFMT was not performed on the circumferential weld joining the disc halves due to the surface conditions described in the visual inspection report.*

WFMT was performed on approximately 95% of the he “X” and “O” splice welds located on the upstream side of the disc weldment. All X-welds exhibited blistering and surface corrosion towards the ends of the weld at the valve OD. These areas were not inspected.

The performance of the total WFMT system was confirmed on-site using a Quantitative Quality Shim (QQI) shim with a 0.0006” deep EDM notch. The horizontal indication generated with the MT yoke, wet bath, and UV light is shown in Figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1: WFMT indication from QQI shim.








Figure 3.3: WFMT welds on downstream valve side.

### 3.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Parameters

Surface preparation:	Plastic brush, steel wire brush, plastic brush, repeat as necessary. See visual inspection surface cleaning description.			
MT Method Used:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wet	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry	<input type="checkbox"/> Black/White Contrast	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fluorescent
Particle Suspension:	Water			
Magnetizing Current:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AC	<input type="checkbox"/> DC	<input type="checkbox"/> HWDC	
Applicable Method:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous		
Field Direction:	<input type="checkbox"/> Circular	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Longitudinal		
Equipment Used:	<input type="checkbox"/> Coil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yoke	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	_____
Coil Serial #:	N/A		Calibration Due Date:	N/A
Yoke Coil Serial #:	26322 and 20702		Date Calibrated:	11/30/2018
Black Light Intensity:	>1000 mW/cm2		Black Light Serial #:	2157677
Light Meter Serial #:	N/A: Confirmed on-site using shim		Calibration Due Date:	N/A: Confirmed on-site using shim
Particle Concentration:	0.2-0.4 ml per 100 ml			
Acceptance Criteria:	No cracks allowed			
MPI Inspector:	Seth Bonar	TKS SNT-TC-1A	<i>Seth Bonar</i>	10/21/19
	Name	Certification #	Signature	Date

### 3.2 Magnetic Particle Testing Summary

The follow-up magnetic particle inspection recommendations are consistent with those summarized in the Visual inspection Summary.

1. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Magnetic particle test same areas. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.



#### **4 Phased Array Testing Results**

The phased array testing was performed in accordance with the statement of work and the Final Scan Plan which was approved 10/01/2019. The scan plan was part of the original submittals and is not included in the technical report.

A fracture mechanics-based weld discontinuity evaluation was performed in accordance with ASME BPVC Mandatory Appendix VIII – Ultrasonic Examination Requirements for Fracture-Mechanics-Based Acceptance Criteria.

Weld discontinuities were sized using the 6-dB sizing technique in the length and height direction. The dimensions of the flaw are determined by the rectangle that fully contains the area of the flaw.

The length of the flaw shall be the dimension of the rectangle that is parallel to the weld center line.

The height of the flaw shall be the dimension of the rectangle in the thickness direction of the shell thickness.

## 4.1 How to Interpret PAUT Results

**Butterfly Valve # 4 – Upstream View**

The following reference system was used to locate weld indications on the valve. For horizontal welds, the flaws indications are located from left to right. The scan origin is the left most edge of the weld. Vertical welds on the top half of the valve are referenced from the bottom up. Vertical welds on the bottom half of the valve are referenced from the top down.

- Scan Origin Index (X = 0) ○
- Scan Directional Indication --->




Figure 4.1: PAUT scan origin (reference point) and scan direction for Valve 4 Upstream side.

#### Butterfly Valve # 4 – Downstream View

The following reference system was used to locate weld indications on the valve. For horizontal welds, the flaws indications are located from left to right. The scan origin is the left most edge of the weld. Vertical welds on the top half of the valve are referenced from the bottom up. Vertical welds on the bottom half of the valve are referenced from the top down.





Figure 4.2: PAUT scan origin (reference point) and scan direction for Valve 4 Downstream side.

Figure 4.3 identifies the coordinate system used to for weld indication length (X), location in weld (Y), and depth from exterior surface (Z).




**Figure 4.3: X, Y, and Z coordinate system for weld reflector identification. Note that X-direction is into the page.**

The size of each reflector are summarized for upstream and downstream welds in Tables 4.1 and 4.2, respectively. The sizes are color coded in a risk matrix based on the following weld flaw dimensions:

Flaw Length	<0.200	0.200-0.500	0.500-1.000	>1.000
Flaw Height	<0.125	0.125-0.250	0.250-0.375	>0.375

The PAUT encoder scan directions and associated data files, for upstream and downstream sides, are shown in Figures 4.5 and 4.7, respectively. The data files were identified by valve#, upstream/downstream, weld ID, and top/bottom or outside/inside. For example, “Valve4uss4a” is the data file name for the scan of Valve #4, up-stream weld s4, top weld. Valve4uss4b would be the bottom weld. The encoder scan directions are identified via the set of perpendicular arrows. The longer arrow is the scan direction, or weld axis, and the short arrow is the direction of the ultrasonic beams as shown in Figure 4.4.



**Figure 4.4: Explanation of encoder scan direction and ultrasonic beam directions.**

The upstream side contained 17 weld discontinuities longer than 1” as shown in Table 4.1. The upstream side contained 4 weld discontinuities with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity was found in S8a with a length of 23.303” and a height of 0.372”. The PAUT inspection details for all welds inspected is presented in Section 5. The data for Weld s8A, for example, shows that the discontinuity was found mid-wall and was classified as lack of fusion (LOF).

Table 4.1: Valve #4 upstream weld discontinuity dimensions.

Valve 4 US Welds	Length S(m-r)	Height U(m-r)	X1 (in)	X2 (in)	Y1 (in)	Y2 (in)	Z1 (in)	Z2 (in)	Thickness (in)	Discontinuity
S1b	0.535	0.443	5.5	6.0	0.0	0.6	1.7	2.2	2.75	LOF
S5a	0.688	0.248	7.0	7.7	-1.3	-1.0	0.9	1.2	2.75	LOF
	1.526	0.250	11.5	12.2	0.0	0.2	2.3	2.6	2.75	LOF
	0.752	0.298	25.3	26.0	0.2	0.4	2.0	2.3	2.75	LOF
S7a	0.899	0.301	38.0	39.5	-1.4	-1.1	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOF
S7b	0.417	0.248	0.0	0.2	-1.3	-1.0	1.5	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.514	0.284	18.2	18.5	-0.3	0.0	1.8	2.1	2.75	LOF
S8a	23.303	0.372	23.5	46.8	-1.5	-1.1	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOF
S8b	1.722	0.284	1.4	2.3	-1.4	-1.2	0.9	1.2	2.75	LOF
	1.243	0.195	12.6	13.8	-1.5	-1.3	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
	0.981	0.213	34.5	35.5	-1.9	-1.6	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
S9a	1.301	0.334	20.8	22.1	-2.0	-1.8	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	1.301	0.346	3.8	5.1	-1.5	-1.3	1.4	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.466	0.247	2.3	2.7	-2.0	-1.8	1.0	1.3	2.75	LOF
S9b	1.280	0.359	2.0	3.3	0.5	0.7	1.8	2.2	2.75	LOF
	4.358	0.276	21.7	26.1	-1.4	-1.2	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
s10b	1.548	0.337	19.4	21.2	-0.1	0.1	1.3	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.434	0.339	5.3	5.6	-1.4	-1.1	1.4	2.0	2.75	LOF
H1	0.364	0.248	23.6	24.0	-0.1	0.1	2.3	2.6	2.75	LOF
	1.739	0.355	14.9	16.6	0.3	0.6	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOF
	1.628	0.355	8.4	10.0	0.3	0.6	1.5	1.9	2.75	LOP
H2	0.447	0.284	16.4	16.9	-0.6	-0.3	2.4	2.7	2.75	LOP
	0.430	0.314	27.6	28.1	0.2	0.5	2.3	2.6	2.75	LOP
V1	2.599	0.567	6.7	10.0	1.7	2.0	1.8	2.3	2.75	LOF
V5a	0.795	0.213	32.1	32.9	-1.2	-1.0	0.9	1.1	2.75	LOF
	0.344	0.301	23.4	24.6	-0.5	-0.2	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	3.762	0.340	17.9	21.7	-0.5	-0.2	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	0.817	0.340	13.8	14.6	-0.5	-0.2	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
	0.430	0.213	30.4	30.9	-0.2	-0.1	0.9	1.1	2.75	LOF
V6a	4.428	0.266	27.6	32.1	-1.5	-1.2	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	0.459	0.357	21.9	22.4	-1.0	-0.7	1.7	2.1	2.75	LOF
V6b	0.757	0.421	31.2	31.9	-0.9	-0.5	0.6	0.9	2.75	LOF
	0.620	0.290	30.7	31.3	-1.5	-1.3	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	1.033	0.378	25.8	26.8	0.0	0.2	1.2	1.6	2.75	LOF
	1.790	0.256	15.0	16.8	-1.5	-1.2	0.8	1.1	2.75	LOF
V8a	2.074	0.271	3.9	6.0	-1.5	-1.3	0.9	1.2	2.75	LOF
V8b	0.397	0.266	12.6	13.0	0.2	0.4	2.2	2.5	2.75	LOF
	0.190	0.301	7.2	7.4	0.0	0.2	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOP

Table 4.2: Valve #4 downstream weld discontinuity dimensions.

Valve 4 DS Welds	Length S(m-r)	Height U(m-r)	X1 (in)	X2 (in)	Y1 (in)	Y2 (in)	Z1 (in)	Z2 (in)	Thickness (in)	Discontinuity
H1	0.161	0.213	6.5	6.7	0.4	0.6	1.4	1.6	2.75	LOF
	0.346	0.177	3.4	3.8	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.5	2.75	LOF
	2.074	0.408	1.7	3.8	0.1	0.3	2.4	2.7	2.75	LOP
H2	0.275	0.195	0.6	0.9	-1.4	-1.2	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.344	0.195	4.8	5.2	-1.2	-1.0	0.9	1.1	2.75	LOF
	0.367	0.124	14.6	15.0	-0.9	-0.7	1.1	1.2	2.75	LOF
V1	1.033	0.213	36.4	37.4	-0.3	-0.1	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
	2.801	0.372	20.4	23.2	-0.2	-0.1	2.3	2.7	2.75	LOP
	0.229	0.319	26.6	26.8	-0.1	0.0	1.7	2.0	2.75	LOF
V3	0.486	0.124	29.3	29.8	-0.6	-0.4	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	1.083	0.284	0.9	2.0	-0.6	-0.5	1.7	2.0	2.75	LOF
	0.237	0.142	16.7	16.9	-0.6	-0.5	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
V4	0.237	0.142	32.8	33.3	-0.7	-0.5	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
	0.305	0.160	36.3	36.6	-0.7	-0.6	0.6	0.8	2.75	LOF
	0.474	0.142	2.0	2.6	-0.1	-0.0	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.914	0.227	3.0	4.0	-0.3	-0.1	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	0.677	0.153	20.2	20.8	-0.2	0.0	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	0.711	0.113	21.9	22.6	-0.3	-0.1	1.9	2.1	2.75	LOF
	0.474	0.139	29.4	29.9	-0.2	0.2	1.8	2.1	2.75	LOF
	2.233	0.262	33.6	35.9	-0.2	0.0	2.4	2.7	2.75	LOP
	1.586	0.370	9.0	10.6	0.4	0.6	1.3	1.7	2.75	LOF
O1a	0.220	0.164	13.1	13.3	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.8	2.75	LOF
O1b	0.258	0.230	23.1	23.4	-0.3	-0.2	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	0.240	0.230	15.4	15.7	-0.3	-0.2	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
O2a	0.312	0.209	11.5	11.8	-0.8	-0.6	1.6	1.8	2.75	LOF
	0.504	0.222	12.9	13.4	-0.7	-0.5	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
O2b	0.556	0.195	4.2	4.8	-0.7	-0.5	1.8	2.0	2.75	LOF
O3a	0.234	0.195	0.3	0.6	-0.6	-0.4	1.2	1.4	2.75	LOF
O4a	2.162	0.284	12.1	14.3	-0.8	-0.6	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	0.270	0.230	15.2	15.4	-0.8	-0.6	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.748	0.177	22.9	23.7	-0.6	-0.4	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
	0.520	0.203	26.8	27.3	-0.8	-0.6	1.1	1.3	2.75	LOF
	0.333	0.207	28.1	28.4	-0.9	-0.7	1.5	1.7	2.75	LOF
	0.291	0.167	15.2	15.5	-0.8	-0.6	1.0	1.2	2.75	LOF
O4b	0.682	0.284	28.7	29.4	-0.8	-0.6	1.7	2.0	2.75	LOF
	0.297	0.266	20.1	20.4	0.4	0.6	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
X1a	0.429	0.230	13.8	14.2	-0.1	0.1	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.858	0.248	20.8	21.6	0.1	0.3	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	1.170	0.209	42.8	44.0	-0.5	-0.3	1.2	1.4	2.75	LOF
	0.507	0.355	62.0	62.4	-0.2	-0.0	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	1.521	0.248	67.4	68.8	-0.7	-0.4	1.2	1.5	2.75	LOF
	1.711	0.319	74.1	75.9	-0.2	0.1	2.0	2.3	2.75	LOF
X1b	1.445	0.301	28.6	30.0	-0.2	0.1	2.0	2.3	2.75	LOF



<b>X2b</b>	1.407	0.195	29.6	31.0	-0.9	-0.7	2.1	2.3	2.75	LOF
	0.380	0.160	15.9	16.4	-0.6	-0.4	2.5	2.7	2.75	LOP
	0.532	0.195	18.3	18.9	-0.5	-0.3	2.1	2.3	2.75	LOF
	0.887	0.160	32.1	33.0	-0.6	-0.5	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.591	0.177	43.2	43.8	-0.8	-0.6	2.2	2.4	2.75	LOF
<b>X3a</b>	0.402	0.195	21.0	21.4	-0.3	-0.2	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.522	0.225	28.0	28.5	0.0	0.1	1.1	1.4	2.75	LOF
<b>X4a</b>	1.124	0.248	65.7	66.8	-0.4	-0.1	1.6	1.9	2.75	LOF
	1.686	0.288	56.3	58.0	-0.9	-0.7	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	1.244	0.213	63.9	65.1	-0.5	-0.3	2.0	2.2	2.75	LOF
<b>X4b</b>	0.803	0.248	78.4	79.2	-0.6	-0.4	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	1.686	0.248	73.7	75.4	-0.6	-0.4	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF
	0.482	0.311	72.6	73.0	-0.7	-0.4	1.9	2.2	2.75	LOF

The downstream side contained 16 weld discontinuities longer than 1” as shown in Table 4.2. The downstream side contained 1 weld discontinuities with a height greater than 0.375”. The most severe discontinuity was found in H1 with a length of 2.074” and a height of 0.408”. The PAUT inspection details for all welds inspected is presented in Section 5. The data for Weld H1 shows that the discontinuity was found towards the weld bottom and was classified as lack of penetration. (LOP).

## 4.2 PAUT Inspection Summary

The upstream and downstream valves were inspected with PAUT per the statement of work. Most areas that were scanned had tightly adhered coating that permitted adequate transfer of ultrasound into the valve shell. The data acquired supports this observation as root and toe reflections were observed in many of the welds. However, data was lost over a small percentage of the scanned areas and many welds required rescanning of rough surface areas. In many cases, it was impossible to transfer ultrasound into the valve shell. This lack of data is depicted in the Section 5 PAUT data as black gaps in the C-scan. For Butterfly Valve #4, all discontinuities detected were characterized as fabrication related flaws which included lack of penetration, lack of fusion, and inclusions.

A total of 29” for the circumferential weld were scanned with PAUT with no weld discontinuities detected. The remainder of the circumferential weld was not inspected due to advanced corrosion and surface roughness.

The following recommendations are presented for the next major valve maintenance event:

1. For all color-coded red welds in Tables 4.1 and 4.2. remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the weld surfaces 7" back from the toe. Perform encoded PAUT to more accurately locate and size the weld discontinuities. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection. Re-assess possible repair action after new sizing data is made available.
2. For all welds with intermittent weld discontinuities, remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the weld surfaces 6" back from the toe. Perform encoded PAUT to determine if intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent or instead a longer continuous weld discontinuity.
3. Remove lead paint with industry standard practices, blast to bare metal, and clean the circumferential weld and shell to at least 6 inches radially from the valve OD. Perform PAUT inspection. Apply new coating system to these areas after inspection.

The objective of scanning on abated, cleaned and blasted surface is threefold. Firstly, it will eliminate any false positives that may occur due to surface conditions. Rough and uneven surfaces may cause false indications that appear in the weld tested.

Secondly, the size and location of the weld discontinuities will be more accurate for potential repair action.

Thirdly, it will determine if many of the smaller intermittent weld discontinuities detected are indeed intermittent, or indicative of longer continuous weld discontinuities that were not detected due to surface roughness.




Figure 4.5: PAUT scan directions and data file names for Valve #4 upstream welds.




Figure 4.6: All PAUT weld discontinuities for Valve #4 upstream.




Figure 4.7: PAUT scan directions and data file names for Valve #4 downstream welds.





Figure 4.8: All PAUT weld discontinuities for Valve #4 downstream.



# 5 PAUT Inspection Results

## 5.1 Valve 4 Upstream




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld

S1b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	30.187	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	32.0	1.844	3.244	5.360	0.443	0.512	0.677	0.535	LOF



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments
S2a	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*  
Comments


Weld

S2b

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments
S3a	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays a UT scan of a weld. The top half shows a B-scan with a red line indicating the weld profile. The bottom half shows an A-scan with a blue line indicating the weld profile. The scan is labeled 'S3a' and 'Valve 4 Upstream Side'. The scan area is divided into two sections by a vertical dashed line. The left section is light green, and the right section is black. The scan is labeled 'CL' at the top right. The scan is labeled 'S3a' at the bottom left. The scan is labeled 'Valve 4 Upstream Side' at the bottom right. The scan is labeled 'No reportable indications.' at the top left.</p>




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments
S3b	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S4a	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S4b	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
S5a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	7.606	-6.500	PA 1	61.00°	49.9	1.018	1.336	2.099	0.248	0.202	0.320	0.688	LOF
	40.66	2	12.217	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	58.6	2.368	2.553	4.508	0.250	0.202	0.322	1.526	LOF
	40.66	3	25.813	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	46.7	2.214	2.713	4.730	0.298	0.243	0.384	0.752	LOF


*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld


Comments

S5a  
END

No reportable indications.




Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments
S5b	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments
S5b END	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S6a	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image is a UT scan of a weld. The top half shows a B-scan with a red line indicating the weld profile. The bottom half shows an A-scan with a blue background and vertical lines representing the weld. The scan is labeled 'S6a' and 'Valve 4 Upstream Side'. The scan shows a weld with no reportable indications.</p>


Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments
S6a END	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays a UT scan of a weld. The top half shows a B-scan with a red line indicating the weld profile. The bottom half shows an A-scan with a blue background and a green line indicating the weld profile. The scan is labeled 'S6a' and 'END'.</p>

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S6b	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments
S6b END	No reportable indications.
	 <p>The image displays a UT scan of a weld. The top half shows a large, bright white area on a black background, representing a significant reflection or indication. A red line is drawn across the middle of the scan, likely indicating a specific measurement or boundary. The bottom half shows a series of vertical black lines on a blue background, representing the raw data or a different processing mode of the scan. The scan is framed by a yellow border with numerical scales on the left and right sides.</p>

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld

S7a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	21.305	-6.500	PA 1	48.00°	50.9	1.705	1.229	2.547	0.301	0.229	0.379	0.899	LOF





*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld

S7b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	0.276	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	82.2	1.595	1.344	2.535	0.248	0.270	0.367	0.417	LOF
40.66	2	18.588	-6.500	PA 1	56.00°	48.0	1.942	2.318	3.473	0.284	0.256	0.382	0.514	LOF




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld

Comments

S8a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
52.66	1	34.062	-6.500	PA 1	48.00°	78.8	1.653	1.171	2.470	0.372	0.391	0.540	23.303	LOF



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
S8b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	52.66	1	0.748	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	69.0	1.018	1.623	2.321	0.284	0.162	0.327	1.722	LOF
	52.66	2	12.759	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	2.2	---	---	---	0.195	0.175	0.262	1.243	LOF
	52.66	3	35.322	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	74.8	1.280	0.696	1.877	0.213	0.216	0.303	0.981	LOF

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
S9a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	14.321	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	103.7	1.175	0.527	1.691	0.334	0.229	0.405	1.301	LOF
	46.66	2	32.105	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	119.9	1.613	0.980	2.321	0.346	0.243	0.423	1.301	LOF
	46.66	3	33.482	-6.500	PA 1	49.00°	61.1	1.085	0.597	1.654	0.247	0.229	0.337	0.466	LOF

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld

S9b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
46.66	1	2.518	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	50.9	2.021	3.054	5.101	0.359	0.229	0.426	1.280	LOF
46.66	2	24.275	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	91.5	1.767	1.140	2.544	0.276	0.175	0.327	4.358	LOF






*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
S10b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	15.924	-6.500	PA 1	63.00°	55.2	1.491	2.452	3.285	0.337	0.175	0.380	1.548	LOF
	40.66	2	31.099	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	73.4	1.767	1.140	2.544	0.339	0.235	0.412	0.434	LOF

The image displays a multi-panel technical visualization. The top-left panel shows a line graph with a prominent peak and a red horizontal line. The top-right panel features a large, dark, triangular-shaped area on a grid. The bottom panel is a wide, horizontal heatmap or spectrogram with various colored patterns (blue, yellow, red) and vertical lines. The entire display is framed by a yellow border with numerical scales on the left and right sides.




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S11a	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays two ultrasonic testing (UT) scan results for a weld. The top portion shows a cross-sectional profile of the weld with a red line indicating the weld surface and a black line indicating the back wall. A vertical dashed line is labeled 'CL'. The bottom portion is a color-coded depth map showing the weld's internal structure. The color scale on the right ranges from 0.0 to 1.0, with yellow representing the highest values and blue representing the lowest. The weld is located between approximately 10.0 and 13.0 on the horizontal axis.</p>


*Valve 4 Upstream Side*  
Comments

Weld  
S11b


No reportable indications.




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S12a	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments
S12b	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays an ultrasonic testing (UT) scan of a weld. The top portion shows a B-scan view with a white wedge-shaped area on a black background, representing the scan geometry. A vertical green line is labeled 'CL'. The bottom portion shows an A-scan view with a blue background and a horizontal line of data points. A red line is drawn across the A-scan, and a vertical dashed line is present. The scan is framed by a yellow border with numerical scales on the left and right sides.</p>

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S13a	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
S13b	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays a UT scan of a weld. The top half shows a B-scan with a red line indicating the weld profile. The bottom half shows an A-scan with a blue background and vertical lines representing the weld. The scan is labeled 'S13b' and 'Valve 4 Upstream Side'. The scan shows a clear weld profile with no reportable indications.</p>



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
H1	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	6.227	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	52.0	2.487	2.446	3.952	0.248	0.175	0.304	0.364	LOF
	46.66	2	14.700	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	77.3	1.667	2.955	3.804	0.355	0.229	0.422	1.739	LOF
	46.66	3	20.926	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	78.8	1.635	2.888	3.730	0.355	0.351	0.499	1.628	LOP

The image displays three panels of ultrasonic testing data. The top-left panel is an A-scan waveform with a vertical axis labeled 'A%' and a horizontal axis labeled 'in' ranging from 0.0 to 1.6. A sharp peak is visible at approximately 1.6 inches. The top-right panel is a B-scan image showing a bright, curved reflection from the weld surface, with a vertical axis labeled 'in' ranging from 0.0 to 1.6. The bottom panel is a C-scan image showing a color-coded map of the weld area, with a vertical axis labeled 'in' ranging from 0.0 to 1.6 and a horizontal axis labeled 'in' ranging from 0.0 to 1.6. The color scale ranges from blue (low) to red (high).


*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld


H2

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
46.66	1	13.386	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	67.2	2.518	2.024	3.693	0.284	0.216	0.356	0.447	LOP
46.66	2	2.165	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	94.9	2.394	2.845	4.174	0.314	0.274	0.416	0.430	LOP

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments														
V1	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	7.559	-6.500	PA 1	67.00°	64.3	2.008	4.300	5.138	0.567	0.337	0.660	2.599	LOF
															

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments
V2	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The figure displays three ultrasonic testing (UT) scan results for Valve 4 Upstream Side. The top left is an A-scan showing a single sharp peak at approximately 12.5 inches on the depth scale. The top right is a B-scan showing a single bright spot at approximately 12.5 inches depth. The bottom is a C-scan showing a single bright spot at approximately 12.5 inches depth. The A-scan and B-scan are overlaid on a green background, while the C-scan is on a black background. The depth scale on the left ranges from 0 to 180 inches, and the horizontal scale at the bottom ranges from 10 to 180 inches.</p>



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
V3	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
V4	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays a full-screen ultrasonic testing (UT) scan. The top half features a B-scan view with a white wedge-shaped area on a black background, representing the sound beam's coverage. A vertical green line is labeled 'CL'. The bottom half shows an A-scan view with a color-coded amplitude scale on the left (ranging from 0 to 100) and a horizontal time axis at the bottom (ranging from 10 to 150). The A-scan shows a noisy baseline with several small, isolated peaks of varying amplitudes, none of which are large enough to be considered reportable indications.</p>




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
V5a	Ref.	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	7.567	-6.500	PA 1	61.00°	77.3	1.023	1.345	2.109	0.213	0.216	0.303	0.795	LOF
	40.66	2	15.527	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	71.4	2.252	2.641	3.926	0.301	0.229	0.379	0.344	LOF
	40.66	3	19.389	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	71.4	1.245	2.088	2.839	0.340	0.256	0.426	3.762	LOF
	40.66	4	25.616	-6.500	PA 1	63.00°	63.6	1.294	2.065	2.851	0.340	0.256	0.426	0.817	LOF
	40.66	5	9.340	-6.500	PA 1	70.00°	57.7	0.995	2.337	2.909	0.213	0.135	0.252	0.430	LOF

The image displays three ultrasonic testing (UT) results for a weld. The top left is a line graph of amplitude vs. time, showing a sharp peak at approximately 1.0 seconds, with a red line indicating a specific feature. The top right is a B-scan image showing a large, bright, curved area labeled 'CL'. The bottom is a C-scan image showing a color-coded map of the scanned area, with various features highlighted in yellow and red.

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
V5b	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld

V6a


Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	11.507	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	144.4	1.690	1.060	2.433	0.266	0.256	0.369	4.428	LOF
40.66	2	17.773	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	76.7	1.881	1.697	2.988	0.357	0.283	0.456	0.459	LOF

*Valve 4 Upstream Side*


Weld	Comments														
V6b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	8.631	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	59.0	1.693	1.843	2.951	0.421	0.418	0.593	0.757	LOF
	40.66	2	9.103	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	153.1	1.638	1.007	2.358	0.290	0.243	0.378	0.620	LOF
	40.66	3	13.793	-6.500	PA 1	67.00°	55.9	1.399	2.867	3.581	0.378	0.337	0.507	1.033	LOF
	40.66	4	24.749	-6.500	PA 1	60.00°	77.7	0.957	1.146	1.914	0.256	0.243	0.353	1.790	LOF



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments
V7a	<p>No reportable indications.</p>  <p>The image displays two ultrasonic testing (UT) scan views. The top view is a C-scan or A-scan profile showing a weld surface with a red line indicating the weld path. The bottom view is a B-scan or depth scan showing a blue and green color-coded area representing the weld's internal structure. Both views include vertical and horizontal scale bars for measurement.</p>

Valve 4 Upstream Side

Weld	Comments
V7b	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 




*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld

Comments

V8a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
46.66	1	34.207	-6.500	PA 1	58.00°	76.3	0.996	1.057	1.879	0.271	0.175	0.323	2.074	LOF



*Valve 4 Upstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
V8b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	12.729	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	58.6	2.331	2.754	4.063	0.266	0.216	0.342	0.397	LOF
	46.66	2	7.330	-6.500	PA 1	66.00°	71.4	1.321	2.527	3.248	0.301	0.175	0.349	0.190	LOP

## 5.2 Valve 4 Downstream

Valve 4 Downstream Side															
Weld	Comments														
H1	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	23.383	-6.500	PA 1	63.00°	41.1	1.510	2.488	3.326	0.213	0.135	0.252	0.161	LOF
	46.66	2	36.475	-6.500	PA 1	70.00°	109.6	1.274	3.103	3.724	0.177	0.148	0.231	0.346	LOF
	46.66	3	37.185	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	126.2	2.477	2.433	3.936	0.408	0.459	0.614	2.074	LOP

The image displays three panels of ultrasonic inspection data. The top-left panel shows an A-scan waveform with a red line indicating a specific feature. The top-right panel shows a B-scan image with a wedge-shaped defect and a red line indicating its depth. The bottom panel shows a C-scan image with a color-coded map of the defect area and a red line indicating its position.

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld

H2

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
52.66	1	0.670	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	55.0	1.018	0.631	1.617	0.195	0.189	0.271	0.275	LOF
52.66	2	5.002	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	104.7	0.968	0.515	1.506	0.195	0.189	0.271	0.344	LOF
52.66	3	14.770	-6.500	PA 1	57.00°	108.2	1.123	1.180	2.062	0.124	0.135	0.183	0.367	LOF
52.66	4	36.906	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	110.8	2.179	1.567	3.137	0.213	0.216	0.303	1.033	LOF




*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
V1	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	21.569	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	139.7	2.594	2.105	3.804	0.372	0.405	0.550	2.801	LOP
	46.66	2	26.656	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	55.5	1.842	2.056	3.211	0.319	0.189	0.371	0.229	LOF
	46.66	3	29.495	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	90.2	1.988	1.455	2.914	0.124	0.121	0.174	0.486	LOF



*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments
V2	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
V3	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	52.66	1	1.063	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	133.3	1.671	1.438	2.655	0.284	0.283	0.401	1.083	LOF
	52.66	2	16.818	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	80.1	1.694	1.467	2.692	0.142	0.094	0.170	0.237	LOF
	52.66	3	32.848	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	74.6	1.683	1.367	2.618	0.142	0.148	0.205	0.237	LOF
	52.66	4	36.353	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	76.7	1.635	1.310	2.544	0.160	0.121	0.200	0.305	LOF

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
V4	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	52.66	1	2.284	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	98.9	1.016	0.572	1.580	0.142	0.229	0.269	0.474	LOF
	52.66	2	3.466	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	98.9	1.937	1.401	2.840	0.227	0.202	0.304	0.914	LOF
	52.66	3	20.559	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	98.9	1.997	1.841	3.174	0.153	0.162	0.223	0.677	LOF
	52.66	4	22.292	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	98.9	1.974	1.812	3.137	0.113	0.175	0.209	0.711	LOF
	52.66	5	29.736	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	72.8	1.997	1.841	3.174	0.139	0.364	0.390	0.474	LOF
	52.66	6	35.093	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	70.9	2.411	1.807	3.470	0.262	0.229	0.348	2.233	LOP

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
O1a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	10.134	-6.500	PA 1	65.00°	128.2	1.506	2.778	3.564	0.370	0.256	0.450	1.586	LOF
	46.66	2	13.131	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	69.5	1.667	2.953	3.802	0.164	0.148	0.221	0.220	LOF



*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
O1b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	8.675	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	39.0	1.299	1.955	2.766	0.230	0.121	0.260	0.258	LOF
	46.66	2	16.443	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	33.0	1.316	1.988	2.803	0.230	0.121	0.260	0.240	LOF


The image displays a multi-panel technical visualization. The top-left panel shows a line graph with a green trace and a red horizontal line. The top-right panel features a large white area on a black background, possibly a scan or a map. The bottom panel is a wide, horizontal plot with a blue and green color scale, showing various peaks and patterns. The plot is framed by a yellow border with numerical scales on the left and right sides.



*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
O2a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	43.66	1	11.593	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	67.6	1.647	1.409	2.618	0.209	0.162	0.264	0.312	LOF
	43.66	2	13.249	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	103.9	1.310	1.297	2.284	0.222	0.202	0.301	0.504	LOF

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*


Weld		Comments													
O2b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	27.445	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	81.7	1.834	1.639	2.914	0.195	0.162	0.253	0.556	LOF
															

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld

O3a

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	31.575	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	54.4	1.299	1.955	2.766	0.195	0.148	0.245	0.234	LOF




*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld

Comments

O3b

No reportable indications.





*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
O4a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	13.289	-6.500	PA 1	58.00°	78.8	1.741	2.249	3.285	0.284	0.216	0.356	2.162	LOF
	46.66	2	15.260	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	55.7	1.031	2.279	2.877	0.230	0.175	0.290	0.270	LOF
	46.66	3	23.186	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	78.8	1.084	2.417	3.026	0.177	0.243	0.301	0.748	LOF
	46.66	4	26.932	-6.500	PA 1	66.00°	68.0	1.200	2.256	2.951	0.203	0.216	0.296	0.520	LOF
	46.66	5	28.233	-6.500	PA 1	59.00°	48.2	1.596	2.132	3.100	0.207	0.148	0.254	0.333	LOF
	46.66	6	15.260	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	55.7	1.031	2.279	2.877	0.167	0.162	0.232	0.291	LOF




*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld

Comments

O4b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
46.66	1	2.800	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	102.7	1.857	1.668	2.951	0.284	0.256	0.382	0.682	LOF
46.66	2	11.751	-6.500	PA 1	69.00°	48.9	1.310	3.005	3.656	0.266	0.189	0.326	0.297	LOF




*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
X1a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	14.038	-6.500	PA 1	56.00°	41.3	2.065	2.500	3.693	0.230	0.162	0.282	0.429	LOF
	46.66	2	21.491	-6.500	PA 1	67.00°	36.4	1.313	2.663	3.359	0.248	0.175	0.304	0.858	LOF
	46.66	3	43.770	-6.500	PA 1	64.00°	44.4	1.245	2.089	2.840	0.209	0.229	0.310	1.170	LOF
	46.66	4	62.145	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	77.0	1.947	1.327	2.803	0.355	0.256	0.437	0.507	LOF
	46.66	5	68.691	-6.500	PA 1	50.00°	50.5	1.278	0.884	1.988	0.248	0.243	0.347	1.521	LOF

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
X1b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	46.66	1	5.087	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	41.3	2.161	2.511	3.767	0.319	0.256	0.409	1.711	LOF
	46.66	2	50.237	-6.500	PA 1	55.00°	48.7	2.076	2.390	3.619	0.301	0.256	0.396	1.445	LOF
	46.66	3	50.158	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	98.9	2.205	1.594	3.174	0.195	0.202	0.281	1.407	LOF
	46.66	4	63.880	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	54.5	2.565	1.967	3.693	0.160	0.162	0.227	0.380	LOP
	46.66	5	61.356	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	77.5	2.207	2.100	3.507	0.195	0.148	0.245	0.532	LOF

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments
X2a	<p>No reportable indications.</p> 




*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld

X2b

Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
40.66	1	47.161	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	66.0	2.067	1.927	3.285	0.160	0.121	0.200	0.887	LOF
40.66	2	37.421	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	120.4	2.333	1.727	3.359	0.177	0.162	0.240	0.591	LOF





*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
X3a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	21.260	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	85.7	2.076	1.460	2.988	0.195	0.135	0.237	0.402	LOF
	40.66	2	28.150	-6.500	PA 1	62.00°	42.8	1.194	1.758	2.544	0.225	0.135	0.263	0.522	LOF
	40.66	3	65.866	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	101.6	1.717	1.495	2.729	0.248	0.256	0.357	1.124	LOF


*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld

Comments

X3b

No reportable indications.





*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
X4a	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	57.205	-6.500	PA 1	46.00°	175.5	1.973	1.354	2.840	0.288	0.175	0.337	1.686	LOF
	40.66	2	64.606	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	175.5	2.137	2.014	3.396	0.213	0.216	0.303	1.244	LOF

The figure consists of three main graphical components. The top-left component is a line graph with a horizontal axis ranging from 10 to 18 and a vertical axis ranging from 0 to 100. It features a black line with several sharp peaks and a red horizontal line at approximately y=10. The top-right component is a 3D surface plot showing a white, curved surface against a black background, with a vertical axis ranging from 0 to 100. The bottom component is a large grid of vertical and horizontal lines, with a color scale on the right ranging from 0 to 100. The grid lines are colored in shades of blue, green, and yellow.

*Valve 4 Downstream Side*

Weld	Comments														
X4b	Gain (dB)	Indication #	Scan (in)	Index (in)	Group	Channel	A%1 (%)	DA/1 (in)	PA/1 (in)	SA/1 (in)	U(m-r) (in)	I(m-r) (in)	I•U(m-r) (in)	S(m-r) (in)	Type
	40.66	1	1.063	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	86.3	1.962	1.428	2.877	0.248	0.162	0.296	0.803	LOF
	40.66	2	4.882	-6.500	PA 1	47.00°	96.2	2.013	1.482	2.951	0.248	0.162	0.296	1.686	LOF
	40.66	3	7.244	-6.500	PA 1	51.00°	53.0	2.067	1.927	3.285	0.311	0.229	0.386	0.482	LOF

Valve 4 Downstream Side	
Weld	Comments
C1	<p>The C1 area shown in Figure 4.4 was inspected with manual PAUT. Approximately 21" of weld were inspected. No indications were detected.</p> 
C2	<p>The C2 area shown in Figure 4.4 was inspected with manual PAUT. Approximately 8" of weld were inspected. No indications were detected.</p> 



## 6 Equipment Calibrations

### 6.1 Magnetic Particle Testing Equipment

#### Calibration Certificate

Issue Date: 11/30/2018

Expiry Date: 11/29/2019

Device: AC

Manufacturer: Parker Research Corp.

Model: Contour Probe ®

Serial Number: 26322

Weight Lift Test Bar: TB-10SP Special Weight Lift/Defect Test Bar S/N 001

**\*All equipment used in the evaluation of this instrument has been calibrated with traceability to NIST**

#### Applicable Standards

1. ASTM E709 - 08 Standard Guide for Magnetic Particle Testing
2. ASTM E1444 - 01 Standard Practice for Magnetic Particle Examination

#### Performance Summary

Test Weight (lb)	Leg Spacing (in)	Result
10	2-4	Pass


Certificate provided by:

TECHKNOWSERV CORPORATION

2134 Sandy Drive Ste 14

State College, PA 16803

814-237-0144

  
Thomas R. Hay  
President  
ASNT Level 3 #107162

TECHKNOWSERV CORP, 2134 Sandy Drive Ste 14, State College PA 16803, 814-237-01044

**Calibration Certificate**

Issue Date: 11/30/2018

Expiry Date: 11/29/2019

Device: AC

Manufacturer: Parker Research Corp.

Model: Contour Probe ®

Serial Number: 20702

Weight Lift Test Bar: TB-10SP Special Weight Lift/Defect Test Bar S/N 001

**\*All equipment used in the evaluation of this instrument has been calibrated with traceability to NIST**

**Applicable Standards**

1. ASTM E709 - 08 Standard Guide for Magnetic Particle Testing
2. ASTM E1444 - 01 Standard Practice for Magnetic Particle Examination

**Performance Summary**

Test Weight (lb)	Leg Spacing (in)	Result
10	2-4	Pass


Certificate provided by:

TECHKNOWSERV CORPORATION

2134 Sandy Drive Ste 14

State College, PA 16803

814-237-0144

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas R. Hay  
President  
ASNT Level 3 #107162

TECHKNOWSERV CORP, 2134 Sandy Drive Ste 14, State College PA 16803, 814-237-01044

**CERTIFICATE OF LIGHT OUTPUT**

This is to certify the UV-A lamp referenced below meets the output measurements (UV and visible) for LED UV-A light as well as producing a Peak Wavelength at 360 - 370 nm ( $365 \pm 5$  nm) as measured with a spectroradiometer, in accordance with ASTM MPT/LPT and EN ISO 3059:2012(E) requirements.

Product Name: OPTI-LUX™ 365 High Intensity Series


Product Number: OLX-365

Serial Number: 2157677


The following measurements were obtained using a Spectroline® AccuMAX™ XR-1000 and XDS-1000 at 15" (38 cm) with battery-operated lights at full charge:

UV-A intensity reading @ 365nm: 9,000 – 10,000 microW/cm<sup>2</sup>

Visible light reading @ 555nm: With clear filter: 0.5 – 0.9fc (5.4 – 9.7 lux)  
With black light filter: 0.4 – 0.5fc (4.3 – 5.4 lux)

Inspector:  \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 08-06-2019



Steve Biondi  
Quality Manager  
April 2018 – AM12013

**SPECTRONICS CORPORATION**

956 BRUSH HOLLOW RD WESTBURY, NY 11590 • PHONE: 516-333-4840 • FAX: 516-333-4859 • WWW.SPECTROLINE.COM

## 6.2 Phased Array Testing Equipment

**OLYMPUS**

Olympus America  
OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC.  
110 Magellan Circle  
Webster, TX, 77598

Tel.: (1) (281) 922-9300  
Fax: (1) (281) 922-9303  
www.olympus-ims.com

### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION


**Certificate number:** 110-20190508-19511218  
**Equipment:** OMNI-M2-PA32128  
**Serial number:** QC-002045  
**Equipment description:** 32:128 Phased array acquisition module / 1UT HD channel  
**Calibration date (YYYY/MM/DD):** 2019/05/09  
**Next Calibration (YYYY/MM/DD):** 2020/05/09  
**Manufacturer facility:** Quebec, Quebec City  
**Status after calibration:** Within tolerances  
**Status before calibration:** Within tolerances  
**Work Order:** 195291-02  
**Calibration type:** Annual Verification  
**Customer:** TECHKNOWSERV

**Calibrated by (E-signed):**  
Brady Baker  
*Brady Baker* (ID# 110-006)

This above product was designed in consideration of EN12668-1 for conventional UT instruments and ISO18563-1 for phased array instruments. This certificate confirms that the above product meets Olympus NDT specifications using group 2 test methods as described in European standard EN12668-1:2010\* for conventional ultrasonic instrumentation and international standard ISO 18563-1:2015 for phased array instrumentation. All equipment used for calibration is traceable to NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) or other application and recognized national standard(s). Olympus NDT operates in compliance with a quality system registered to ISO9001. This certificate should not be reproduced in part or in whole without written permission of Olympus NDT.

## 7 Inspector Certifications

### 7.1 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing



THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING®

MyASNT MyCommittees NDT Library Buyers Guide About Local Sections Thomas Hay Logout 0 item(s) - \$0.00

CERTIFICATION MEMBERSHIP EVENTS PUBLICATIONS NDT RESOURCES ASNT STORE Find what you're looking for

Select a Section

PROFILE SECTIONS  
General Information  
Demographics  
Contact Information  
Digital Books  
ASNT Recertification Points  
**Certifications / Exams**  
Invoices / Transaction History  
Council / Committee Participation  
My Events

My ASNT > Certifications / Exams

### Certifications / Exams

**My Certification Applications**

You have no Certification Applications

**My Certifications**

**ASNT NDT Level III** [RENEW](#)

Method	Expires
Magnetic Particle Testing	31 Oct 2022
Visual Testing	31 Oct 2022
Liquid Penetrant Testing	31 Oct 2022
Ultrasonic Testing	31 Oct 2022
Acoustic Emission Testing	30 Nov 2022

**ACCP Level III** [RENEW](#)

Method	Expires
Ultrasonic Testing General Industry	31 Oct 2022



## 7.2 Visual and Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing

TechKnowServ™  
TECHNOLOGY, KNOWLEDGE, SERVICE

Is hereby granted to

**Seth Bonar**  
of TechKnowServ

to certify that he has completed the minimum requirements for formal classroom training and successfully passed the written and practical examinations in the following nondestructive testing method:

### Magnetic Particle Testing Level II

In accordance with the American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Recommended Practice No. SNT-TC-1A (2016): Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing

**Granted: September 3, 2018**

**Expires: September 3, 2021**

*Thomas Hay*

Thomas Hay, Ph.D.  
ASNT Level III #107162