

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE <div style="text-align: center;">J</div>		PAGE OF PAGES <div style="text-align: center;">1 32</div>	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <div style="text-align: center;">0001</div>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <div style="text-align: center;">12-Jan-2023</div>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY <div style="text-align: center;">U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, OMAHA DIST CONTRACTING OFFICE 1616 CAPITOL AVENUE OMAHA NE 68102-4901</div>		CODE <div style="text-align: center;">W9128F</div>		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <div style="text-align: center;">See Item 6</div>			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W9128F23R0006	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 04-Jan-2023	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACT ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this Amendment is to correct the address for the site visit, update wage determination, revise the language in Division 00 22 00 Section 7.4, extend proposal date to 10 Feb 2023, so that will adjust the closing comments for Projnet, and information on how to receive CAD and BIM files.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 12-Jan-2023	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00 10 00 - SOLICITATION, CONTRACT LINE ITEM NUMBER (CLIN) SCHEDULE

The required response date/time 10-Feb-2023 02:00 PM has been added.

SECTION 00 21 00 - INSTRUCTIONS

The following have been modified:

52.236-27 SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION) (FEB 1995)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. Accordingly, offerors or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) The Government intends to hold a site visit on 26 JAN 2023 at 1300 hours (1:00 p.m.) MST. For Additional details, contact: Riza Fattore, riza.p.fattore@usace.army.mil; (720) 692-6264. The contractors that attend the site visit shall arrive at:

USACE
Buckley Resident Office
19070 E Sunlight Way T1012
Buckley SFB, CO 80011-9574

The offeror's wishing access to the Base for the site visit must be vetted by Base Security prior to the site visit. Therefore, all participants must provide the following information to the Site Visit POC NLT 10 calendar days prior to the scheduled site visit.

Contractors wishing to attend the site visit must be pre-screened. Provide a list including the following information to obtain access to Buckley SFB:

Name of Contractor.

Names of each individual.

A second identification, i.e., driver's license number and state.

(End of provision)

SECTION 00 22 00 - SUPPLEMENTARY INSTRUCTIONS

The following have been modified:

EVALUATION CRITERIASECTION 00 22 00
EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. GENERAL SCOPE OF WORK

Construction of the Joint Cryptologic Center (JCC) at Buckley SFB, Colorado: This project will be executed using a Design-Bid-Build (DBB) project delivery method, which will require the contractor to provide the government with a complete facility and warranty based on the Request for Proposal (RFP).

The estimated magnitude of construction cost of this project is between \$25,000,000 and \$100,000,000.

The project includes construction of one (1) JCC facility. Construct an 30,000 square foot (SF) multi-story facility for operational training and administrative to support the National Security Agency Colorado (NSAC) and Service Cryptologic Elements. The facility will include shared training and conference space, flexible administrative areas, storage, and support spaces. The primary facility will be a two-story masonry structure constructed with a concrete slab-on-ground floor, load-bearing concrete masonry unit walls, and metal roof deck supported by steel joists. Facility related control systems include cybersecurity features in accordance with Department of Defense (DoD) criteria.

Physical security mitigation will be in accordance with DoD Minimum Anti-Terrorism Standards for Buildings. Facilities will be designed as permanent construction and in accordance with DoD standards for high performance, and sustainable facilities will be included in design construction of the facility in accordance with federal laws and Executive Orders. Life-cycle cost-effective practices will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project to include energy consuming systems. Supporting facilities include connections to electric, water, sewer, and gas services. Asphalt parking, road pavement, and concrete walkway will be provided. Site work consists of landscaping (trees, shrubs, turf grass), storm drainage and other necessary site improvements to make a complete and usable facility. Low Impact Development (LID) including storm water management features are included. Demolition and disposal of known buried asbestos containing soil is required. Secure communications infrastructure and cabling will be provided.

Competition for this contract will be restricted to Small Business Only. The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code is 236220 (Commercial and Institutional Building Construction), with a corresponding small business size standard of \$39.5M.

THE OFFEROR SHOULD BE REGISTERED IN SYSTEM FOR AWARD MANAGEMENT WEBSITE (SAM.GOV) FOR NAICS CODE 236220 PRIOR TO THE DATE PROPOSALS ARE DUE.

2. TECHNICAL RATINGS

The acquisition approach for this project will be a Best Value Trade-off RFP following FAR Part 15 procedures. Upon receipt of proposals, the Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) will convene and begin to independently evaluate each proposal against the factors in the solicitation. Once the SSEB Evaluation Report has been received, the Price Analysis review and the Source Selection Authority review of the Best Value Trade-off will then commence.

The evaluation ratings for Technical Factors 2 and 3 will be on an adjectival basis in conjunction with a narrative composed of the discussion of the particular strengths, weaknesses, and deficiencies of the proposal. The Source Selection Team (SST) will use a combined technical/risk rating system that includes consideration of risk in conjunction with the strengths, weaknesses, and deficiencies in determining technical ratings. Combined technical/risk evaluations shall utilize the combined technical/risk ratings listed in Table 1. The Combined technical/risk ratings will be used to evaluate Factor 2, Project Management Plan, and Factor 3, Key Personnel. The evaluation rating that will be used for Factor 1 Past Performance and is described further below.

Table 1: Combined Technical/Risk Ratings

Color	Rating	Description
Blue	Outstanding	Proposal demonstrates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple

		strengths and/or at least one significant strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low.
Purple	Good	Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength or significant strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low to moderate.
Green	Acceptable	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.
Yellow	Marginal	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is high.
Red	Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet requirements of the solicitation and, thus, contains one or more deficiencies and is unawardable, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is unacceptably high.

RATING DEFINITIONS

Strength: is an aspect of an offeror's proposal with merit or will exceed specified performance or capability requirements to the advantage of the Government during contract performance.

Significant Strength: is an aspect of an Offeror's proposal with appreciable merit or will exceed specified performance or capability requirements to the considerable advantage of the Government during contract performance.

Weakness: a flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

Significant Weakness: is a flaw in the proposal that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

Deficiency: a material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

▪ OTHER EVALUATION DEFINITIONS

Omission – A failure to provide information required by the solicitation and depending on the nature and extent of the omission it may be evaluated as a weakness, a significant weakness, or a deficiency.

Clarification - Clarifications are limited exchanges between the Government and Offerors that may occur when award without discussions is contemplated. If award without discussions is anticipated, Offerors may be given the opportunity to clarify certain aspects of their proposals or to resolve minor or clerical errors.

Communication - Communications are exchanges between the Government and Offerors after receipt of proposals, leading to establishment of the competitive range.

Discussions - Discussions are negotiations conducted in a competitive acquisition and take place after establishment of the competitive range. Discussions are tailored to each Offeror's proposal and shall be conducted by the Contracting Officer with each Offeror within the competitive range.

3. PAST PERFORMANCE/EXPERIENCE RATING

The combined Past Performance/Experience evaluation factor (Factor 1) assesses the degree of confidence the Government has in an Offeror's ability to supply products and services that meet users'

needs, based on a demonstrated record of performance. The Past Performance evaluation results in an assessment of the Offeror's probability of meeting the solicitation requirements. The Past Performance/Experience evaluation considers each Offeror's demonstrated recent and relevant record of performance in supplying products and services that meet the contract's requirements. One performance confidence assessment rating is assigned for each Offeror after evaluating the Offeror's recent Past Performance, focusing on performance that is relevant to the contract requirements. There are three aspects to the Past Performance evaluation, recency, relevancy, and contractor performance, which are described below:

- a. Recency - The first aspect is to evaluate the recency of the Offeror's past performance. With respect to recency, more recent past performance will typically be a stronger predictor of future success and have more influence on the past performance confidence assessment than less recently completed projects. Recency criteria related to this solicitation is outlined in the Past Performance Submission Requirements section.
- b. Relevancy. The second aspect of the Past Performance evaluation is to determine how relevant previous projects accomplished by the Offeror is to the anticipated work to be accomplished under this project scope. Recent is defined as Past Performance on contracts that had a construction completion date (otherwise known as a building occupancy date (BOD)) within the past ten (10) years of this solicitation release. Relevancy is defined as similarity of items stated within this solicitation such as: types of projects, dollar value, contract type, and relative complexity. With respect to relevancy, more relevant Past Performance will typically be a stronger predictor of future success and have more influence on the Past Performance confidence assessment. Relevancy is not a separate proposal rating but is used to develop an overall Past Performance Confidence Assessment. The four relevancy definitions are:
 - Very Relevant. Present/Past Performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
 - Relevant. Present/Past Performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
 - Somewhat Relevant. Present/Past Performance effort involved some of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
 - Not Relevant. Present/Past Performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
 -
- c. Quality. The third aspect of the Past Performance evaluation is to determine the quality of the performance on past contracts. The Past Performance evaluation performed in support of a current source selection does not establish, create, or change the existing record and history of the Offeror's Past Performance on past contracts; rather, the Past Performance evaluation process gathers information from stakeholders on how well the Offeror performed those past contracts. The Source Selection Evaluation Board will review this Past Performance information and determine the quality and usefulness as it applies to performance confidence assessment.
- d. Contractor Performance. The Past Performance evaluation is to determine how well the contractor performed on the contracts. The Past Performance evaluation performed in support of a current source selection does not establish, create, or change the existing record and history of the Offeror's Past Performance on past contracts; rather, the Past Performance evaluation process gathers information from stakeholders on how well the Offeror performed those past contracts. The SSEB will review this Past Performance information and determine the quality and usefulness as it applies to performance confidence assessment.

After evaluating relevancy and contractor performance, a Performance Confidence Assessment rating will be determined. In conducting a performance confidence assessment, each Offeror shall be assigned one of the following ratings:

- **Substantial Confidence.** Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- **Satisfactory Confidence.** Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- **Limited Confidence.** Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a low expectation that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
- **No Confidence.** Based on the Offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the Offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.
- **Unknown Confidence (Neutral).** No recent/relevant performance record is available, or the Offeror's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned. Per Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 15.305(a) (2) (iv), "In the case of an Offeror without a record of relevant Past Performance or for whom information on Past Performance is not available, the Offeror may not be evaluated either favorably or unfavorably on Past Performance."

4. OBTAINING PAST PERFORMANCE RECORDS

Past performance records may be obtainable by Offerors using one of the following methods:

(1) Accessing Past Performance information directly from Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) website at <https://www.cpars.gov/> for CCASS completed before 27 June 2014 and for merged CPARS completed after 1 July 2014. CPARS is an electronic repository of performance information collected by all the major federal performance reporting systems and can be accessed at the aforementioned website.

All Department of Defense (DoD) employees (military and civilian), including DoD Contractors that are working on site (military/government facilities) or contractors working offsite using Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) are required to use certificates from DoD Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) to access CPARS. If the contractor doesn't work on site or with GFE they are encouraged to obtain and use a certificate from an External Certificate Authority (ECA). For DoD users, the use of PKI Login is Mandatory. Federal and Contractor users can use the PKI login category if they have PKI.

External Certificate Authority

External Certificate Authorities (ECAs) and Interim External Certificate Authorities (IECAs) provide digital certificates to the DoD's private industry partners, contractors using their own equipment or working in non-government facilities, allied partners, and other agencies.

Additional information about the PKI certificate is at https://www.cpars.gov/pki_info.htm web page.

You will also need your Unique Entity ID (EID) and Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) to log onto CPARS. The MPIN number was selected by whoever registered your company in the System for Award Management (SAM) Registry at <https://sam.gov/>. If you do not know your MPIN number, you will need to contact the SAM help desk by emailing them from the email link on the SAM web page. Please

be aware that they will only release the MPIN number to the person who originally registered your company. Additional instructions on locating your MPIN is located in the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) section under the Help tab, or -

(2) Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQs) may be used to provide or supplement a firm's past performance with other than U.S. Governmental clients. Contact your client point of contact (POC) for the project you need a past performance record and request the POC to complete the PPQ. The PPQ included in this solicitation is provided for the Offeror to submit to the client for each project the Offeror may need a past performance record for to meet the requirements in this synopsis. Ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client POC. Completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). It is the Offeror's responsibility to follow-up with client POCs to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Michele Renkema, Contract Specialist, via email at michele.a.renkema@usace.army.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs; this does not preclude an Offeror submitting a previously completed PPQ as long as the full PPQ is submitted and completed in its entirety. This also does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If a PPQ is to be submitted for a project, the complete PPQ shall be submitted (either by the client POC or the Offeror) prior to proposal closing date.

5. RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF EVALUATION FACTORS

Evaluation factors are listed below in descending order of importance:

Table 3: Volume I Required Submittal Items (Not Rated)

Location	Description	Descending Order of Importance
TAB A	SF1442 and Acknowledgement of Amendments	Not Rated
TAB B	Representations & Certifications	Not Rated
TAB C	JV Agreement or Teaming Agreement (if applicable).	Not Rated

Table 4: Volume I Evaluation Factors

Location	Description	Descending Order of Importance
TAB D	Factor 1 – Past Performance	1 st Most Important Factor
TAB E	Factor 2 – Project Management Plan	2 nd Most Important Factor
TAB F	Factor 3 – Key Personnel	3 rd Most Important Factor

Table 5: Volume II Required Submittal Items

Location	Description	Descending Order of Importance
----------	-------------	--------------------------------

TAB A	SF1442 and Acknowledgement of Amendments	Not Rated
TAB B	Factor 4 – Section 00 10 00, Pricing Schedule	All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are approximately equal to cost or price.
TAB C	Any new JV Agreement or Teaming Agreement (if applicable).	Not Rated

6. BASIS OF AWARD

The Government will evaluate proposals in accordance with the criteria described within the solicitation and will award a firm-fixed-price contract to the responsible Offeror whose proposal is determined to represent the best value to the Government under the best value tradeoff process as described in FAR 15.101-1.

The award will be made based on the best overall (i.e., best value) proposal that is determined to be the most beneficial to the Government, with appropriate consideration given to the four evaluation factors: Past Performance, Project Management Plan, Key Personnel, and Price. To receive consideration for award, a rating of no less than “Acceptable” must be achieved for Factors 1-3. Offerors will be cautioned that the award may not necessarily be made to the lowest cost offered. **NOTE: ALL NON-PRICED FACTORS COMBINED ARE APPROXIMATELY EQUAL TO COST/PRICE.**

The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award contracts without conducting discussions with Offerors. However, in the event the Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO) concludes conducting discussions is in the best interest of the Government, the PCO will document the rationale for the competitive range determination, in which the Source Selection Authority (SSA) will review and approve the PCO's determination. If the PCO determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the PCO may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals. Therefore, the Offeror's initial proposal should contain the Offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint.

Offerors may request a debriefing in accordance with FAR 15.505 Preaward Debriefing of offerors or FAR 15.506 Postaward Debriefing of Offerors.

6.1. EVALUATION OF OPTIONS. Per FAR 52.217-5, except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206(b) not to be in the Government's best interests, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

7. VOLUME I

Proposals shall be submitted by the time and date as specified in Section 00 10 00, Page 1. All submissions shall be in Adobe PDF format with Optical Character Recognition (OCR) applied to all documents that will enable word searches to be conducted using Adobe-compatible PDF software. The two (2) volumes listed below shall be submitted as “SEPARATE” single files. Due to heightened security at Government installations, the proposal shall be delivered via electronic mail (email) to the following:

1. Michele Renkema, michele.a.renkema@usace.army.mil
2. Amanda Eaton, amanda.e.eaton@usace.army.mil

For the purposes of determining whether the proposal was received "late" in accordance with FAR 15.208, the date and time the file(s) are received by the USACE Outlook Server that were sent to the Contract Specialist(s)/ Contracting Officer will be the time and date the Government received the proposal. Do not assume that electronic communication is instantaneous. Please make allowances for delays in transmittal.

Page limitations for Volumes I & II (Evaluation Factors 1 through 3) are identified within the instructions for each factor where applicable. Title Sheets, Tables of Content, Dividers, and blank pages are not included in the page limitations and will not be counted as such. A one-page cover letter or title sheet is recommended as the first page of each file identifying your firm's name, the file's title, and describing any applicable contractor team arrangements as defined in Tab C below. Any extraneous information or cover letters that provide additional information about your firm that is not requested by this solicitation will not be reviewed or evaluated.

Pages for each factor should be separately and sequentially numbered (i.e., the first page submitted for evaluation for each factor should be "1"). All text shall be at least 10 pt. font and easily read. All text shall be typed and single-spaced. Each page shall be 8-1/2" x 11" (organizational chart, risk assessment and project schedule are the only documents that may be submitted on an 11" x 17"). Margins should be no less than 1" (right, left, top, and bottom).

Pages that exceed the page limitations for any factor will not be reviewed and the information contained on those pages will not be considered for evaluation. Page limitations are per factor, i.e., an Offeror may not reduce the page count for one factor in order to submit additional pages for another factor.

7.1. TAB A. Standard Form (SF1442), Solicitations, Offer, and Acknowledgement of Amendments. The SF1442 shall be completed by the offeror and duly executed with an original signature by an official authorized to bind the company in accordance with instructions at FAR 4.102. Include with the offeror's name and address in BLOCK 14 of the SF1442, your company's Unique Entity Identification Number (UEID), CAGE, and Tax Identification numbers. Offerors shall acknowledge all amendments to the solicitation in Block 19. If the offeror fails to acknowledge all amendments, the proposal (Volumes I and II) will be considered as non-compliant and shall not be evaluated further.

7.2. TAB B. Certifications/Representations and other Statements of Offerors. This TAB shall contain Section 00 45 00, Certifications/Representations which are provided in this solicitation, including the Representation & Certifications cover page. Fill in all applicable areas. Offerors shall include in this TAB their completed electronic Representation and Certifications from the sam.gov website. Since FAR Clause 52.204-7 System for Award Management is applicable to this solicitation, the offeror in accordance with FAR 52.204-8(d) is required to complete the annual representations and certifications electronically via the SAM website accessed through <https://www.sam.gov>. After review the SAM database information, the offeror verified by submission of the offer that the representations and certifications currently posted electronically that apply to this solicitation as indicated in paragraph (C) of this provision have been entered or updated within the last 12 months, are current, accurate, complete, and applicable to this solicitation (including the business size standard applicable to the NAICS code referenced for this solicitation), as of the date of this offer and are incorporated in this offer by reference (see FAR 4.1201); except for the changes identified by the offeror under this provision.

7.3. TAB C. Contractor Team Arrangements. If applicable, the offerors shall provide a copy of the firm's JV Agreement. A small business joint venture offeror must submit, with its offer, the representation required in paragraph (c) of FAR solicitation provision 52.212-3, Offeror Representations and Certifications-Commercial Products and Commercial Services, and paragraph (c) of FAR solicitation provision 52.219-1, Small Business Program Representations, in accordance with 52.204-8(d) and 52.212-3(b) for the following categories:

- (A) Small business;
- (B) Service-disabled veteran-owned small business;

- (C) Women-owned small business (WOSB) under the WOSB Program;
- (D) Economically disadvantaged women-owned small business under the WOSB Program; or
- (E) Historically underutilized business zone small business.

7.3.1 Contractor Team Arrangements Other Than Joint Venture/ Mentor-Protégé.

All offerors proposing a team arrangement other than a Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé, shall submit the following information in the Required Documents file:

- a. A listing of each team arrangement member's corporate name (no abbreviations), address, point of contact, phone number, UEID, and CAGE Code.
- b. A copy of the signed team arrangement agreement or binding letter of commitment between each team member. All team arrangement agreements and letters of commitment shall:
 - (1) Clearly identify the expected relationship, role and responsibility between the firms, Prime Contractor, and of the subcontractor or other entity (type and proportion of work to be performed); and
 - (2) Be signed by the appropriate individual(s) of each company.

7.3.2. Joint Venture Team Arrangements (Including Mentor-Protégés).

No contract may be awarded to a Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé that is not registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) database. **The Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé must have its own registered Unique Entity ID (EID) and/or Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.** Any Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé agreement that is required to be approved by the Small Business Administration (SBA) shall be approved in accordance to the applicable Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). If the applicable requirements are not met prior to the due date for proposals, the proposal will be rendered unawardable.

- a. In the title sheet/cover letter of your proposal, provide the complete names, addresses, and phone number of the firms comprising the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé.
- b. A copy of the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé agreement.
- c. Signature requirements: SF 1442, SOLICITATION, OFFER, AND AWARD, Block 20, requires that the name and title of a person authorized to sign the offer for the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé be provided. In the case of a Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé, subject Standard Form shall be signed by the principal representative of the Joint Venture/ Mentor-Protégé (or the alternate principal representative, if the principal representative is unavailable).
- d. In addition to the requirements stated above, and to assure a single point of contact for resolution of contractual matters and payments, **the Offeror shall submit a certificate signed by each participant in the Joint Venture containing the following statement:**

"The parties hereto expressly understand and agree as follows:

1. **(Name, title, and company)** is the principal representative of the Joint Venture. As such, all communications regarding the administration of the contract and the performance of the work thereunder may be directed to him or her. In the absence of **(same name, title, and company)**, **(enter name, title, and company of alternate)** is the alternate principal representative of the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé. These individuals have authority to sign on behalf of the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé.

2. Direction, approvals, required notices, and all other communications from the Government to the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé, including transmittal of payments by the Government, shall be directed to **(enter name, title, and company of principal)**, principal representative of the Joint Venture/Mentor-Protégé.”

NOTE: Provide telephone numbers and email addresses for the points of contact listed in the above statement.

7.3.3. Integrity and Validity of Contractor Team Arrangements

The Contracting Officer will recognize the integrity and validity of contractor team arrangements; provided that the arrangements are identified, company relationships are fully disclosed, and validation of formal agreements and relationships (i.e., Mentor-Protégé agreements, Joint Ventures, partnerships, etc.) are provided in the offer and submitted with the proposal responding to the solicitation. Nothing in the solicitation authorizes contractor team arrangements in violation of antitrust statutes or limits the Government's rights to:

- a. Require consent to subcontracts (see FAR Subpart 44.2);
- b. Determine, based on the stated contractor team arrangement, the responsibilities of the Prime Contractor (see FAR Subpart 9.1);
- c. Provide to the Prime Contractor data rights owned or controlled by the Government;
- d. Pursue its policies on competitive contracting, subcontracting, and component breakout initial production or an any other time; and
- e. Hold the Prime Contractor fully responsible for contract performance, regardless of team arrangement between the Prime Contractor and its subcontractors.

7.4 TAB D. VOLUME I – FACTOR 1 – PAST PERFORMANCE

7.4.1 Submission Requirements: Page limitations: no more than a three (3) page narrative per project example submitted; no more than a two (2) page narrative to describe any past teaming experience; no page limitations on official past performance records such as PPQs and/or CPARS.

There are three aspects to the performance confidence evaluations: recency, relevancy, and quality of past performance. In accordance with FAR 15.305(a)(2), the recency and relevance of the information, source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in contractor's performance shall be considered. Recency, Relevance, and quality of performance will be combined to establish one performance confidence assessment rating for each Offeror. The project examples requested within this factor must be of relevant scope and complexity to the solicited project.

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT EXAMPLES: The Offeror should submit three (3) projects similar in project size, scope, and complexity to this project to be performed by the Prime Offeror. Offeror should limit each project example to three (3) narrative pages per project submitted. If more than three (3) narrative pages are submitted per project, only the first three pages will be evaluated. In addition to the three (3) project examples, the Offeror may submit a two (2) page narrative to describe any past teaming experience between current team members as it relates to any or all of the three (3) submitted project examples. The page limitation for Factor 1 **shall** be a maximum of eleven (11) pages, plus past performance evaluations for each project (described below). Any information presented beyond the page limit will not be evaluated. All project examples submitted should have a completion date (or BOD) no greater than the past ten (10) years

from the advertisement of this solicitation. Projects should be substantially complete, meaning more than 90% invoiced and paid.

Each project example submitted **shall** meet the following criteria:

1. Past project examples submitted for this factor shall have been awarded to the Offeror (either singly or one of the participants in a JV named on the SF1442 for this solicitation. The company shall have been the Prime Contractor for the past project, either singly or as a member of the Joint Venture to which it was awarded. A small business contractor may use a past performance rating for work performed as a member of a joint venture or for work performed as a first-tier subcontractor, consistent with the Small Business Administration Rule 3245-AH71.

The table below provides example scenarios based on the requirements above:

	Scenario Examples	Project Examples Qualify for Evaluation for Factor 1 (if all other requirements are met)
1	Past project was awarded to Company X only. Company X is proposing as a Prime Contractor for W9128F23R0006 and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006.	Yes
2	Company Y has formed a JV with Company Z (forming Company "YZ"). Past project was awarded to Company Y only. Company YZ is proposing as a Prime Contractor for W9128F23R0006 and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006.	Yes
3	Company Y has formed a JV with Company Z (forming Company "YZ"). Past project was awarded to JV Company YZ. Company YZ is proposing as a Prime Contractor for W9128F23R0006 and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006.	Yes
4	Past Project was awarded to Company "AY," a JV composed of Company A (not Prime Offeror for RFP W9128F23R0006) and Company Y. Company Y has formed a JV with Company Z (forming Company "YZ"). JV Company YZ is proposing as a Prime Contractor and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006.	Yes
5	Company Y has formed a JV with Company Z (forming Company "YZ"). Company YZ is proposing as a Prime Contractor for W9128F23R0006 and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006. Company Y performed work on the past project but was not the Prime Contractor for the past project (either singly or as a member of a JV).	No
6	Company X is proposing as a Prime Contractor for W9128F23R0006 and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006. Company X will use Company R as a teaming member/subcontractor but Company R is not part of a JV with Company X for W9128F23R0006. The past project was awarded to Company R as a Prime Contractor (singly or as a member of a JV), but not Company X (singly or as a member of a JV).	No
7	Company Y has formed a JV with Company Z (forming Company "YZ"). Company YZ is proposing as a Prime Contractor for W9128F23R0006 and has signed the SF 1442 for W9128F23R0006. Company YZ will use Company R as a teaming member/subcontractor but Company R is not part of the JV. The past project was awarded to Company R as a Prime Contractor (singly or as a member of a JV), but not to Companies Y or Z (singly or as a member of a JV).	No

“PROJECT INFORMATION: Additionally, each project example submitted should demonstrate experience on similar building and site improvement projects of similar or greater value and scope. At

least one project submitted should demonstrate experience constructing a cryptologic facility, or similar Military Construction facility of at least 25,000 total square feet.”

Project information per project example should CLEARLY include:

- Summaries for each project submitted to include the name, address, telephone, and email of a representative of the owner (as well as one alternate individual not affiliated with your firm) familiar with your firm's experience on the project that can verify the experience cited;
- Original contract award amount and final construction cost;
- Contract Type;
- Location of project;
- Original contract completion date and actual completion date (Month/Year);
- Identify if the project was a construction project or a design-build project;
- Percentage (%) performed by the Prime Offeror; and
- Percentage (%) and type of work subcontracted out.

Do not include extraneous information that is not requested.

The Offeror should demonstrate recent, relevant construction experience on similar building projects using Attachment 1, 'Construction – Specialized Experience Form'. Offerors may identify other federal, state, or local government and private contracts that are similar to the Government's requirements set forth in the solicitation. If the Offeror has multiple functions or divisions, limit the project examples to those performed by the division or unit submitting the offer or by the team member. Offerors may list government, private, or commercial customers.

In addition, the Offeror shall submit past performance evaluations and ratings for each project the Offeror included in its proposal this factor. Reference Paragraph 5 above for obtaining a past performance record. There are no page limitations on official past performance records such as PPQs and/or CPARS. No other past performance records shall be submitted other than those related to the three (3) projects submitted for this factor.

If available, submit the respective CPARS record for the project. For projects which were designed and/or constructed for other government entities, submit the performance appraisal sheets used by that government entity if available. For projects submitted that are not covered in the CPARS database or other Government Performance Rating System, submit a PPQ. A PPQ form is attached to this solicitation for convenience (see Attachment 2).

A CPARS record or a complete PPQ shall be submitted for each project submitted under this factor prior to proposal closing date.

The Government reserves the right to contact any persons who may be knowledgeable about the project or projects (this is not limited to contracts identified by the Offeror) submitted in an Offeror's proposal. In addition, the Government may review and take into consideration other sources of information pertinent to the evaluation of the Offeror's Past Performance, including both the previous experience projects and other similar projects performed by the Offeror. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, Past Performance information retrieved through the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), using all Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE)/Unique Entity ID (EID) numbers of team members (partnership, Joint Venture, teaming arrangement, or parent firm/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of ensuring detailed, current, accurate and complete Past Performance information rests with the Offeror.

7.4.2 Evaluation Criteria

The Government's overall confidence level for this factor will be based on the Offeror's Past Performance to include recency and relevancy of the projects submitted and the quality of performance.

Past Performance on projects will examine how well an Offeror has performed on relevant projects considering such criteria as: cost growth and adherence to budget; time growth, timeliness, and adherence to schedule; quality and quality control measures; management of personnel and subcontractors; compliance with safety standards/safety plan; overall customer satisfaction; responsiveness to customer concerns.

The Government may, at its discretion, contact individuals other than those identified by the Offeror as references to verify the information contained therein. The Government reserves the right to consider all aspects of an Offeror's performance history but may attribute more importance to work that is similar to the scope contemplated for this project.

In the case of offerors for which there is no information on past contract performance or where past contract performance information is not available, the offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on the factor of past contract performance (see FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv).) In this case, the offeror's past performance is unknown and assigned a performance confidence rating of "neutral." Although the SSEB may not rate an offeror that lacks recent, relevant past performance favorably or unfavorably with regard to past performance, the SSA may determine, that a "Substantial Confidence" or "Satisfactory Confidence" past performance rating is worth more than a "Neutral Confidence" past performance rating in a best value tradeoff as long as the determination is consistent with stated solicitation criteria.

7.5 TAB E. VOLUME I – FACTOR 2 – PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN

7.5.1 Submission Requirements: The page limit for this factor is twelve(12) pages total including organizational approach, technical approach, proposed duration, and schedule.

Provide a narrative that describes the Offeror's Project Management approach to executing the contract pursuant to the following requirements. Clearly and concisely describe the organizational, technical, and risk management approaches to project management and execution, proposed contract duration, and summary schedule.

Organizational Approach. The Government will evaluate the clarity and strength of the overall organization and how well it is organized, structured, and staffed to execute the entire scope of work. Joint venture participants' contribution to the organization should be commensurate with their skills and background. An organization chart and narrative that does not contain proposed quality control group(s) may be rated as less favorable. The Offeror shall document unequivocal teaming arrangements with its lead entity(ies) and key subcontractors. Describe the proposed management structure for the team, describing how the construction process will be managed and the authorities and the delegations of authority within the team. Include an Organizational Chart, including the proposed quality control group(s). Include key personnel in the organization chart. Clearly illustrate key positions, names of the personnel, their firm affiliations, job locations and their job/position title within the organization

Technical Approach for Construction. Describe the technical approach to construction of the facility. Include any considerations to the project which will successfully meet schedule duration (long lead items, integration of site security, commissioning, cybersecurity,), subcontractor and labor resources, submittal and procurement management, shop and equipment room drawings, etc. This approach should also

include site security and access management procedures (see specification 01 14 00 WORK RESTRICTIONS) or special procedures to address the joint cryptologic facility.

Risk Assessment. In spreadsheet format, submit a list of risks specific to this project. Identify the degree of risk, impact of the risk (schedule, cost, safety, etc.), whether it's within or outside the Offeror's control, and a brief statement on how to mitigate the risk(s).

Summary Schedule. The Government will evaluate the summary schedule for construction. Schedules or diagrams, in a size that is easily read, but not exceeding 11" x 17". Provide a schedule to complete all work based on an arbitrary estimated, nonbinding notice to proceed date of 30 April 2023. The contract duration is indicated in the CLIN schedule. A Schedule unreasonably shorter than the proposed contract duration may indicate the offeror is placing additional risk on the Government for any delays between the scheduled completion date and the required performance completion period and may be rated less favorably. The Government will evaluate the schedule to assess the strength of understanding of the project scope, restrictions which must be considered in the schedule e.g., permitting (see Section 01 41 26.02 24), long lead items, etc. The Government will evaluate the strength of understanding of events associated with coordinating design submittals, reviews and incorporating review comments, the offeror's capability to schedule the complete project within the proposed performance duration and the realism of the schedule. A schedule that offers advantage(s) to the Government over one that merely indicates an adequate understanding of the scope, restrictions, major milestones, and general understanding of the various events that can affect start and completion of construction may be rated more favorably. A schedule that does not show a critical path will be a deficiency Give attention to the following features:

- (a) Show the overall construction phase for the facilities, the site work and the utilities. Include any long lead items and any fast-tracking starts. It isn't necessary to show the detailed breakdown construction (e.g., by trades) of each facility, site work and utilities.
- (b) Show schedule line items for environmental permits and notifications and utility connection permits.
- (c) Show turnover of facility. The duration to complete the facility and turnover to the Government must consider the requirement for the Contractor's CQC completion inspection and the subsequent joint Contractor-Government turnover inspection.
- (d) Show as-built submissions (See section 01 78 39.00 24 AS-BUILT DRAWINGS).
- (e) Constraints: Offeror must demonstrate the capability and flexibility to plan and schedule the complete project to meet the proposed contract completion period. Clearly identify any constraints on the schedules presented (e.g., labor or material availability, permits, weather). Indicate the anticipated overall critical path on the schedule.

7.5.2 Evaluation Criteria

Strengths may be given for each the following criteria:

- Proposals that demonstrate a detailed and coherent plan and provides logical methodology to execute the entire scope of work. Joint Venture/Subcontractors participants' contribution to the organization should be commensurate with their skills and background.
- Proposals that offer methods to streamline construction and manage labor and other resource constraints in an effort to reduce costs and support the schedule to completion.
- Proposals that demonstrate a clear understanding and/or usage of local subcontractors, the subcontractor market, and economy and/or how it will impact this project.
- Proposals with schedules that demonstrate an understanding of processes inherent to USACE construction contracts including submittal management, 3 phase inspections, commissioning, and cybersecurity.
- Proposals that demonstrate an understanding of the site security and access management requirements documented in 01 14 00 WORK RESTRICTIONS.
- Proposals that demonstrate an understanding of how the requirements associated with UFC 4-010-05 and ICD/ICS 705 will affect the construction of this project.

- Proposals that illustrate an understanding of construction practices inherent to the front range.
- Proposals that demonstrate a very clear understanding of the project's risks and how to best mitigate them.
- Self-performance of major construction tasks by the Prime Contractor
- Any other aspect of a proposal that the evaluators and/or the SSA believe enhances the merit of the proposal or increases the probability of successful performance of the contract.

Weaknesses may be given for each of the following criteria:

- A project management plan that does not demonstrate a clear understanding of the solicitation requirements associated with the 01 14 00 WORK RESTRICTIONS specification.
- A risk assessment that does not provide specific risks to this project and a demonstration of how to mitigate those risks.
- Proposals that do not demonstrate a clear understanding and/or usage of local subcontractors, the subcontractor market, and/or economy and how it will impact this project.
- A proposed schedule whose duration is greater than that stated in the subject solicitation (without discussion for exceeding duration).
- A proposed schedule that unreasonably condenses contract duration well below the period of performance (without discussion) which as determined by the government may increase cost or create a risk of contract/performance failure.
- A proposed schedule which does not address the five features as described under Para 8.5.1 Summary Schedule (a) through (e).
- Any other aspect of a proposal that the Government identifies as a flaw that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

Deficiencies shall be given for each of the following criteria:

- A Project Management Plan that does not demonstrate the Prime Offeror as the lead entity for this project.
- Omission of one (1) or more of the four (4) elements as stated above for the Project Management Plan (Organization Approach, Technical Approach, Risk Assessment, Summary Schedule). Any other material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.
- Any other material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

7.6 TAB F. VOLUME I – FACTOR 3 – KEY PERSONNEL

7.6.1 Submission Requirements: The page limit for this factor is three (3) pages per resume for a total of no more than twelve (12) pages.

Submit resumes for the following key personnel. If more than three (3) pages are submitted per resume, only the first two pages will be evaluated. Each resume should demonstrate experience and expertise on similar projects in the same roles as proposed for this solicitation.

- Project Manager responsible for the overall project: The project manager should have 5 or more years of experience as a project manager, managing similar projects to this solicitation. Project manager that holds active architect or engineer professional registration may be rated more favorably.
- Contractor Quality Control (CQC) System Manager(s): CQC System Manager should have 5 years of experience as a Quality Control Manager on similar projects to this

solicitation. CQC System Manager shall comply with personnel requirements listed in Section 01 45 00.00 10.

- General Superintendent: The superintendent should have 5 years' experience as superintendent managing multiple trades and subcontractors.
- Site Safety Health Officer (SSHO): They should demonstrate at least 5 years of experience as a Site Safety Health Officer.

Each resume should include the following information:

- Proposed role/title for this solicitation.
- Recent relevant projects: information should include the project name, role on project, prime contractor, owner, dollar amount, date of start and completion of role referenced, and brief scope of each project listed.

7.6.2 Evaluation Criteria

Strengths may be given for each the following criteria:

- Key personnel that demonstrate experience that is recent (within six (6) years of date of proposal submission) and exceeds the minimum qualifications necessary, which includes previous satisfactory experience in similar type work.
- Key personnel with recent relevant experience with ICD/ICS 705 and the ICD/ICS 705 tech spec on new construction projects.
- Federal government experience.
- Key personnel who also worked on the projects in Factor 1.

Weaknesses may be given for each of the following criteria:

- Resumes that do not clearly demonstrate the capabilities and experience of the proposed key personnel.
- Personnel experience working past projects that do not represent recent relevant experience in the same capacity/role as this solicitation.
- One or more personnel with a preponderance of past projects that do not exhibit experience with projects of similar scope, magnitude, or complexity to the proposed project.
- Any other aspect of a proposal that the Government identifies as a flaw that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

Deficiencies shall be given for each of the following criteria:

- Omission of one (1) or more of the resumes required for evaluation.
- Any other material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

8.0 VOLUME II

8.1 VOLUME II SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

This volume shall consist of all information required to support proposed prices. Certified cost and pricing data are not currently required; however, the Government reserves the right to request such data prior to award. The information submitted in this volume shall comply with FAR 15.408 and the requirements set forth below. The Offeror shall ensure that the information submitted in this volume is consistent with and fully supports the amounts set forth in the price schedule, SF1442 and continuation sheets. Proposals may be determined non-compliant and rejected from further consideration for failure to follow the following format and provide all the items listed below.

8.1.1. Offerors shall include a cover sheet in Volume II as required by FAR 52.215-1(c) and (2). The provision titled "Instructions to offerors – Competitive Acquisition," is included in full text in Section 00 21 00 of the solicitation. The cover sheet must provide the following information:

1. Solicitation Number.
2. Name, address, telephone, and electronic email address.
3. Statement specifying the extent of agreement with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to furnish any or all items upon which prices are offered at the price set opposite each item as reflected on the proposal schedule attached to the SF1442. (Note: Failure to agree with all terms, conditions, and provisions in the solicitation may result in the offeror's proposal being rejected as non-compliant).
4. Names, title, telephone numbers, and electronic email address of person(s) authorized to negotiate on the offeror's behalf with the Government in connection with this solicitation.
5. Name, title, and signature of person authorized to sign the proposal and any contract that may result from that proposal.
6. Acknowledgement that your company's record in the System for Award Management website is current per FAR Clause 52.204-13, System for Award Management Maintenance. (This clause is in Section 00 72 00 of this RFP).

Following the proposal cover sheet, Volume II shall be organized into the following tabbed sections:

8.1.2. TAB A. Standard Form (SF1442), Solicitations, Offer, and Acknowledgement of Amendments. The SF1442 shall be completed by the offeror and duly executed with an original signature by an official authorized to bind the company in accordance with instructions at FAR 4.102. Include with the offeror's name and address in BLOCK 14 of the SF1442, your company's UEID, CAGE, and Tax Identification numbers. Offerors shall acknowledge all amendments to the solicitation in Block 19. If the offeror fails to acknowledge all amendments, the proposal (Volumes I and II) will be considered as non-compliant and shall not be evaluated further.

8.1.3. TAB B. VOLUME II – FACTOR 4 – PRICE

8.1.3.1. Submission Requirements (There is no page limitation for Factor 4 Price)

Your proposed price for this project shall be in the form of the Proposal/Price Schedule provided in this solicitation. Offerors may not modify the price schedule wording or format. As this is a firm fixed-price contract, price proposals will not be considered which provide for subsequent increases in price. No qualified price proposal of any type will be accepted; therefore, all offers containing such qualifications will be considered unacceptable. If the offeror does not comply with all requirements of the proposal forms, the proposal may be considered non-compliant and eliminated from consideration.

Supplemental Price Breakdown. After Volume II submission and if deemed necessary to analyze cost/price elements of the price proposals, the Government may request a price breakdown of the Contract Line Items in an Excel format. The Government will provide details on where and how to send the breakdown. If requested, this information will not be needed sooner than three working days after the proposal submission due date.

Bond Ability: Submit information showing offeror's bond ability in the amount of the proposal. Include the name, address, and telephone number of the prime contractor's bonding agent. No formal bond is requested at this time.

8.1.3.2. Evaluation Criteria:

The contract will be a Firm Fixed Priced (FFP) contract. The Government will evaluate price reasonableness for the fixed priced effort. The base and all options shall be included in the price evaluation.

The RFP requires firm-fixed-price contract line items. A price reasonableness approach will be utilized by the Government to determine that the proposed prices offered are fair and reasonable and that unbalanced pricing between CLINs or Options is not occurring. Price reasonableness will be determined by using proposal price analysis techniques as detailed in FAR 15.

The Government is likely to not make award if the construction cost range set for this project is exceeded. Offerors are cautioned to distribute direct costs, such as material, labor, equipment, subcontracts, etc. and to evenly distribute indirect costs, such as job overhead, home office overhead, bond, etc., to the appropriate contract line items. If deemed necessary, the supplemental price breakdown information will be used to assist the Government in performing the price analysis described above.

Bond Ability: This item is not rated. Bonding information will be reviewed to determine the offeror's ability to obtain the required Performance and Payment Bonds. The successful offeror will be required upon award to be able to obtain the level of bonding required by the solicitation from an acceptable surety.

SECTION 00 22 00 - ATTACHMENT 1**COMPANY SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE - CONSTRUCTION / PRIME CONTRACTOR**

Provide the following information to show examples of projects your company constructed within the last **ten (10)** years as stated in Section 00 22 00, indicating experience with projects of similar type and scope. Use one form per project.

(a) Type of Facility and Construction Represented _____

(b) Your Firm's Name _____

(c) Name of Project _____

(d) Location of Project _____

(e) Owner/Customer _____

(f) General Scope of Construction Project _____

Your Role (Prime or Joint Venture) and % Work Your Company Self-Performed:

Construction Cost: Awarded _____ Completed _____

Type of Work and Extent (%) You Subcontracted Out _____

Dates Construction: Began _____ Original Completion _____ Actual Completion _____

Your Performance Evaluation by Owner, if known _____

Were You Terminated or Assessed Liquidated Damages? _____

(If either is "Yes", attach an Explanation)

Owner's Point of Contact for Reference (Name and Company) _____

Current Telephone Number & Email of Reference POC _____

ATTACHMENT 2 - PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (FORM PPQ-0)

NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)

CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)**1. Contractor Information**

Company Name:

CAGE Code:

Address:

EID/DUNS:

Phone Number:

Email Address:

Point of Contact:

Contact Phone Number:

2. Work Performed as: ☐ Prime Contractor ☐ Sub Contractor ☐ Joint Venture ☐ Other
(Explain)

Percent of project work performed:

If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #):

3. Contract Information

Contract Number:

Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable):

Contract Type: ☐ Company Fixed Price ☐ Cost Reimbursement ☐ Other (Please specify):

Contract Title:

Contract Location:

Award Date (mm/dd/yy):

Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):

Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy):

Explain Differences:

Original Contract Price (Award Amount):

Final Contract Price (*to include all modifications, if applicable*):

Explain Differences:

4. Project Description:Complexity of Work ☐ High ☐ Med ☐ RoutineHow is this project relevant to project of submission? (*Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.*)**CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 5-8)****5. Client Information**

Name:

Title:

Phone Number:

Email Address:

6. Describe the client's role in the project:**7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy):****8. Client's Signature:**

NOTE: NAVFAC/USACE REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO USACE WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON USACE SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY

ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE CONTRACTOR. HOWEVER, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO USACE. PLEASE CONTACT THE CONTRACTOR FOR USACE POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.

**ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE**

RATING	DEFINITION	NOTE
(E) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective.	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(VG) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.	A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(S) Satisfactory	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
(M) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.

(U) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating.
(N) Not Applicable	No information or did not apply to your contract	Rating will be neither positive nor negative.

TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT

**PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE.**

1. QUALITY:	
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	E VG S M U N
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	E VG S M U N
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E VG S M U N
2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:	
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. <i>(If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)</i>	E VG S M U N
b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	E VG S M U N
3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:	
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	E VG S M U N
b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	E VG S M U N
c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	E VG S M U N
d) Overall customer satisfaction	E VG S M U N
4. MANAGEMENT/ PERSONNEL/LABOR	
a) Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force?	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce to this effort	E VG S M U N
c) Government Property Control	E VG S M U N
d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel	E VG S M U N
e) Utilization of Small Business concerns	E VG S M U N
f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	E VG S M U N
g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changes	E VG S M U N
h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	E VG S M U N
5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)?	E VG S M U N
b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client	E VG S M U N
c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices	E VG S M U N

with appropriate back-up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns)						
d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i>	Yes		No			
e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i>	Yes		No			
f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i>	Yes		No			
6. SAFETY/SECURITY						
a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E	VG	S	M	U	N
7. GENERAL						
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or PCO in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues).	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Compliance with contractual terms/provisions (<i>explain if specific issues</i>)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	E	VG	S	M	U	N

Please provide responses to the questions above (if applicable) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk (please attach additional pages if necessary):

SECTION 00 72 00 - GENERAL CONDITIONS

The following have been added by full text:

DBWD CO20230016 BUILDING

"General Decision Number: CO20230016 01/06/2023

Superseded General Decision Number: CO20220016

State: Colorado

Construction Type: Building

County: Arapahoe County in Colorado.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Note: Contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act are generally required to pay at least the applicable minimum wage rate required under Executive Order 14026 or Executive Order 13658. Please note that these Executive Orders apply to covered contracts entered into by the federal government that are subject to the Davis-Bacon Act itself, but do not apply to contracts subject only to the Davis-Bacon Related Acts, including those set forth at 29 CFR 5.1(a)(2)-(60).

If the contract is entered into on or after January 30, 2022, or the contract is renewed or extended (e.g., an option is exercised) on or after January 30, 2022: 	. Executive Order 14026 generally applies to the contract. . The contractor must pay all covered workers at least \$16.20 per hour (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract in 2023.
If the contract was awarded on or between January 1, 2015 and January 29, 2022, and the contract is not renewed or extended on or after January 30, 2022: 	. Executive Order 13658 generally applies to the contract. . The contractor must pay all covered workers at least \$12.15 per hour (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on that contract in 2023.

The applicable Executive Order minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. If this contract is covered by one of the Executive Orders and a classification considered necessary for performance of work on the contract does not appear on this wage determination, the contractor must still submit a conformance request.

Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the Executive Orders is available at <http://www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts>.

Modification Number Publication Date
0 01/06/2023

CARP0055-001 05/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
CARPENTER (Acoustical Ceiling Installation and Drywall Hanging Only)	\$ 33.72	12.17

CARP1607-001 06/01/2022		

	Rates	Fringes
MILLWRIGHT.....	\$ 39.70	15.73

 ELEC0068-013 06/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
ELECTRICIAN (Excludes Low Voltage Wiring).....	\$ 41.30	17.87

 ELEV0025-001 01/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
ELEVATOR MECHANIC.....	\$ 49.74	36.885

FOOTNOTE:

a. Vacation: 6%/under 5 years based on regular hourly rate for all hours worked. 8%/over 5 years based on regular hourly rate for all hours worked.

b. PAID HOLIDAYS: New Year's Day; Memorial Day; Independence Day; Labor Day; Veterans' Day; Thanksgiving Day; the Friday after Thanksgiving Day; and Christmas Day.

 ENGI0009-017 05/01/2021

	Rates	Fringes
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATOR (Crane)		
141 tons and over.....	\$ 35.17	12.35
50 tons and under.....	\$ 31.70	12.35
51 to 90 tons.....	\$ 31.97	12.35
91 to 140 tons.....	\$ 33.05	12.35

 IRON0024-009 12/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
IRONWORKER, ORNAMENTAL.....	\$ 31.00	24.59

 IRON0024-011 12/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
IRONWORKER, STRUCTURAL.....	\$ 31.00	24.59

 PAIN0079-006 08/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
PAINTER (Brush, Roller and Spray; Excludes Drywall Finishing/Taping).....	\$ 25.11	10.95

 PAIN0079-007 08/01/2022

	Rates	Fringes
DRYWALL FINISHER/TAPER.....	\$ 25.81	10.95

PAIN0419-001 06/01/2022		
	Rates	Fringes
SOFT FLOOR LAYER (Vinyl and Carpet)	\$ 18.25	14.33

PAIN0930-002 06/01/2022		
	Rates	Fringes
GLAZIER.....	\$ 31.92	11.74

PLUM0003-009 06/01/2022		
	Rates	Fringes
PLUMBER (Excludes HVAC Duct, Pipe and Unit Installation)	\$ 41.33	19.29

PLUM0208-008 06/01/2022		
	Rates	Fringes
PIPEFITTER (Includes HVAC Pipe and Unit Installation; Excludes HVAC Duct Installation)	\$ 40.50	18.62

SFCO0669-002 04/01/2022		
	Rates	Fringes
SPRINKLER FITTER (Fire Sprinklers)	\$ 41.46	25.84

SHEE0009-004 07/01/2022		
	Rates	Fringes
SHEET METAL WORKER (Includes HVAC Duct Installation; Excludes HVAC Pipe and Unit Installation)	\$ 37.17	20.05

SUCO2013-002 07/31/2015		
	Rates	Fringes
BRICKLAYER.....	\$ 21.96	0.00
CARPENTER (Metal Stud Installation Only)	\$ 17.68	0.00
CARPENTER, Excludes Acoustical Ceiling Installation, Drywall Hanging, and Metal Stud Installation.....	\$ 23.83	5.63
CEMENT MASON/CONCRETE FINISHER...	\$ 20.33	6.76

ELECTRICIAN (Low Voltage Wiring).....	\$ 31.60	7.38
INSULATOR - MECHANICAL (Duct, Pipe & Mechanical System Insulation).....	\$ 23.12	7.97
LABORER: Common or General.....	\$ 15.21 **	4.54
LABORER: Mason Tender - Brick....	\$ 15.99 **	0.00
LABORER: Mason Tender - Cement/Concrete.....	\$ 16.00 **	0.00
LABORER: Pipelayer.....	\$ 16.96	3.68
OPERATOR: Backhoe/Excavator/Trackhoe.....	\$ 20.78	5.78
OPERATOR: Bobcat/Skid Steer/Skid Loader.....	\$ 19.10	3.89
OPERATOR: Grader/Blade.....	\$ 21.50	0.00
ROOFER.....	\$ 16.96	0.00
TRUCK DRIVER: Dump Truck.....	\$ 17.34	0.00
WATERPROOFER.....	\$ 16.94	0.00

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

=====

** Workers in this classification may be entitled to a higher minimum wage under Executive Order 14026 (\$16.20) or 13658 (\$12.15). Please see the Note at the top of the wage determination for more information.

Note: Executive Order (EO) 13706, Establishing Paid Sick Leave for Federal Contractors applies to all contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act for which the contract is awarded (and any solicitation was issued) on or after January 1, 2017. If this contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must provide employees with 1 hour of paid sick leave for every 30 hours they work, up to 56 hours of paid sick leave each year. Employees must be permitted to use paid sick leave for their own illness, injury or other health-related needs, including preventive care; to assist a family member (or person who is like family to the employee) who is ill, injured, or has other health-related needs, including preventive care; or for reasons resulting from, or to assist a family member (or person who is like family to the employee) who is a victim of, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO is available at <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/government-contracts>.

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within

the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

The body of each wage determination lists the classification and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the cited type(s) of construction in the area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order of ""identifiers"" that indicate whether the particular rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate for local), a survey rate (weighted average rate) or a union average rate (weighted union average rate).

Union Rate Identifiers

A four letter classification abbreviation identifier enclosed in dotted lines beginning with characters other than ""SU"" or ""UAVG"" denotes that the union classification and rate were prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2014. PLUM is an abbreviation identifier of the union which prevailed in the survey for this classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198 indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. 07/01/2014 is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate, which in this example is July 1, 2014.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all rate changes in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing this classification and rate.

Survey Rate Identifiers

Classifications listed under the ""SU"" identifier indicate that no one rate prevailed for this classification in the survey and the published rate is derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As this weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and non-union rates. Example: SULA2012-007 5/13/2014. SU indicates the rates are survey rates based on a weighted average calculation of rates and are not majority rates. LA indicates the State of Louisiana. 2012 is the year of survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. 5/13/2014 indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

Survey wage rates are not updated and remain in effect until a new survey is conducted.

Union Average Rate Identifiers

Classification(s) listed under the UAVG identifier indicate that no single majority rate prevailed for those classifications; however, 100% of the data reported for the

classifications was union data. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010
08/29/2014. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union
average rate. OH indicates the state. The next number, 0010 in
the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage
determination. 08/29/2014 indicates the survey completion date
for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January of
each year, to reflect a weighted average of the current
negotiated/CBA rate of the union locals from which the rate is
based.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can
be:

- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on
a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests
for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour
National Office because National Office has responsibility for
the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this
initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described
in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal
process described here, initial contact should be with the
Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an
interested party (those affected by the action) can request
review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator
(See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the
interested party's position and by any information (wage
payment data, project description, area practice material,
etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an
interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative
Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISIO"

The following have been deleted:

DBWD CO20220002 HEAVY

DBWD CO20220016 - BUILDING

(End of Summary of Changes)