

Limited Sources Justification and Approval (J&A)

Contracting Activity: AFMC AFRL/RW , Eglin AFB, FL

Purchase Request / Local ID Number: F1TBAU3010A001

Program / Project (and PE, if applicable): Lenel Access Control Systems Consolidation

Program Type (PEO or Other Contracting): Other

Authority: Multiple Award Schedule Program ([FAR 8.405-6](#))

Estimated Contract Cost (including options): \$100,000.00

Is this a Bridge Action as defined in the [AF Bridge Action Reduction Plan](#)? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description of Limitation:

AFMC AFRL/RW at Eglin AFB is requesting to limit sources to a single source, Allied Universal Technology Services (AUS), to provide and install Lenel Security Systems necessary for the consolidation of all outlying, stand alone AFRL facility Lenel systems into a single system controlled in total from the Security Office in building 13 on Eglin, AFB. Purchasing from a different company would incur a larger cost to the government from the purchase, training on, installation of new equipment, infrastructure, and software.

COORDINATION ([AFFARS 5306.304\(a\)](#))

Date	Project Lead / Program Mgr / Requiring Activity	Signature

APPROVAL ([AFFARS 5306.304\(a\)](#))

Date	Contracting Officer	Signature
Date	[Title] [Name] [Office Symbol / Phone Number]	Signature

Limited Sources Justification and Approval (J&A)

I. Contracting Activity.

AFRL/RWK at Eglin AFB

II. Nature and/or description of the action being processed.

Sole source justification for the consolidation for the Lenel Access Control System for building 13. A new firm fixed price task order to be issued against a GSA schedule, GS-07F-0251N with Allied Universal Technology Services (AUS), Delivery NLT 100 days ARO.

III. Description of supplies/services required to meet agency needs.

AUS will provide all the equipment for the consolidation and installation of Lenel Security System.

IV. Identification of the justification/rationale for limiting sources and demonstration of the contractor's unique qualifications to provide the required supply/service.

IAW FAR 8.405-6(a)(1)(i)(B), limiting sources to a single one, AUS, is in the best interest and value to the government.

AUS is the only contractor capable of providing this service. Due to the existing badge equipment being supplied by AUS and switching to another provider/contractor would incur additional costs to the government in terms of man hours, additional equipment/infrastructure, and funds to complete. This effort will add new compatible equipment to what is currently being used for AFRL/RW.

V. Determination by the ordering activity Contracting Officer that the order represents the best value consistent with FAR 8.404(d).

The ordering activity has concluded that the order represents best value and results in the lowest overall cost alternative, due to the special features, and the administrative cost and delivery schedule. The Contracting Officer will perform a price reasonableness determination as part of the best value determination. Pricing will be compared to pricing found fair and reasonable on previous orders for the same/similar equipment/services.

VI. Description of the market research conducted and the results, or explain why market research was not conducted.

AFRL/RW currently has a variety of AUS, Lenel Access Control System badging equipment in use. Additional equipment is needed for additional facilities and to enhance the capability of the existing badging system. Utilizing another system would require additional systems to be purchased replacing what is currently in place and would require additional training for the individuals issuing badges. Buying the equipment from Allied Universal Technology Services is the most economical choice given the equipment currently on hand, the additional cost to replace existing equipment, and additional cost to train on any new system.

VII. Other facts supporting the justification.

A sources sought was posted to the SAM.gov on 11 January 2023 and was active on the site for 6 days. No responses were received in relation to the posting.

Limited Sources Justification and Approval (J&A)

VIII. Actions the agency may take to remove or overcome any barriers that led to the restricted consideration before any subsequent acquisition for the supplies or services.

The Government, when fielding new systems competitively, researches all potential technical and cost effective solutions. If a new system configuration is required in the future at the base level, to replace the existing configuration as a baseline system, the requirement will be competed. It is not cost effective for this requirement, to obtain a system not in compliance or compatible with existing security alarms. It is in the best interest of the government to obtain competition when new or future requirements exist.

IX. Certification by the Contracting Officer.

As evidenced by my signature above, I have determined this document to be both accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

X. Certification by the technical/requirements personnel.

As evidenced by my (our) signature(s) above, I (we) certify that any supporting data contained herein, which is my (our) responsibility, is both accurate and complete.